IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Cassandra Adams Jones, et al.,)
	Petitioners,)
) No. 717 MD 2018
V.)
Kathy Boockvar, et al.,)
)
	Respondents.)
		_)

[PROPOSED] ORDER

AND NOW, this day of , 2019, upon consideration of Respondent Joseph B. Scarnati III's Preliminary Objections to the Petition for Review, the Answer of Petitioners thereto, and all briefs filed in support of or opposition thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Preliminary Objections are **OVERRULED**.

BY THE COURT:	
	, Judge

Molly Tack-Hooper **AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF PENNSYLVANIA** P.O. Box 60173 Philadelphia, PA 19102

Counsel for Petitioners; additional counsel appear on the signature page

.....

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA) assandra Adams Iones et al.

Cassandra Adams Jones, et al.,)
	Petitioners,)
) No. 717 MD 2018
V.)
Kathy Boockvar, et al.,)))
	Respondents.)) _)

PETITIONERS' ANSWER TO THE PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS OF RESPONDENT JOSEPH B. SCARNATI III

Petitioners submit this Answer to the Preliminary Objections to the Petition for Review ("Petition") filed by Respondent Joseph B. Scarnati III.

ANSWER TO PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.

- 3. Admitted. Petitioners admit the averments in this paragraph but further respond by directing the Court to the Petition for its full and complete contents.
- 4. Admitted. Petitioners admit the averments in this paragraph but further respond by directing the Court to the Petition for its full and complete contents.
- 5. Admitted. Petitioners admit the averments in this paragraph but further respond by directing the Court to the Petition for its full and complete contents.
- 6. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 7. Admitted. Petitioners admit the averments in this paragraph but further respond by directing the Court to the Petition for its full and complete contents.
- 8. Denied. The averments in this paragraph, including subparts A-D, purport to summarize the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 9. Admitted. Petitioners admit the averments in this paragraph but further respond by directing the Court to the Petition for its full and complete contents.

ANSWER TO FIRST PRELIMINARY OBJECTION

- 10. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the claims made in the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 11. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the claims made in the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 12. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the claims made in the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 13. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the claims made in the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 14. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 15. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.

- 16. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 17. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 18. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully request that the Court overrule the First Preliminary Objection to the Petition for Review filed by Respondent Joseph B. Scarnati III.

ANSWER SECOND PRELIMINARY OBJECTION

- 19. Paragraphs 1-18 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully request that the Court overrule the Second Preliminary Objection to the Petition for Review filed by Respondent Joseph B. Scarnati III.

ANSWER TO THIRD PRELIMINARY OBJECTION

- 21. Paragraphs 1-20 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 23. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 24. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 25. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.

- 26. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 27. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 28. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 29. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 30. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 31. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.

- 32. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 33. Denied. The averments in this paragraph purport to summarize the Petition. Petitioners refer to the Petition for its full and complete contents and deny anything inconsistent therewith.
- 34. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.
- 35. The averments in this paragraph are conclusions of law to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is required, this paragraph is denied.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully request that the Court overrule the Third Preliminary Objection to the Petition for Review filed by Respondent Joseph B. Scarnati III.

Dated: February 13, 2019

William T. Russell, Jr.*
Shannon K. McGovern*
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
Tel: 212-455-3979
Fax: 212-455-2502
wrussell@stblaw.com
smcgovern@stblaw.com

Adriel I. Cepeda Derieux*
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION, INC.
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004
Tel: 212-284-7334
Fax: 212-549-2654
acepedaderieux@aclu.org

Ezra Rosenberg*
John Powers*
Pooja Chaudhuri*
LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR
CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER LAW
1500 K Street NW, Ste. 900
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: 202-662-8600
Fax: 202-783-0857
erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org
jpowers@lawyerscommittee.org
pchaudhuri@lawyerscommittee.org

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Benjamin D. Geffen

Mary M. McKenzie (PA 47434)
Benjamin D. Geffen (PA 310134)
PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTER
1709 Benjamin Franklin Parkway,
2d Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: 215-627-7100
Fax: 215-627-3183
mmckenzie@pubintlaw.org
bgeffen@pubintlaw.org

Molly Tack-Hooper (PA 307828)
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
OF PENNSYLVANIA
P.O. Box 60173
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Tel: 215-592-1513 x113
Fax: 267-573-3054
mtack-hooper@aclupa.org

Witold J. Walczak (PA 62976)
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
OF PENNSYLVANIA
P.O. Box 23058
Pittsburgh, PA 15222
Tel: 412-681-7736
Fax: 412-345-1255
vwalczak@aclupa.org

Seth F. Kreimer (PA 26102) 3501 Sansom Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 Tel: 215-898-7447 skreimer@law.upenn.edu

Counsel for Petitioners

^{*} Admitted pro hac vice