*Numbers modified to reflect 7/31/13 testimony in closed court.

Assuming the "Exceptions" Spreadsheet Is Fully Accurate[1]

The information in paragraphs 1 through 4 below derives from the Department of State's "exceptions" spreadsheet and assumes that it accurately reflects people who went to PennDOT and applied for a DOS ID, but were unable to get a DOS ID while at PennDOT.

The spreadsheet lists 613 total "exceptions" since the DOS ID first became available on August 27, 2012, 140 of which were under Respondents' initial procedures for issuing DOS IDs. Under Respondents' new procedures, in effect since September 25, 2012, out of approximately 2530 people who applied for a DOS ID:

1. **473** applicants for a DOS ID were unable to obtain a DOS ID while at PennDOT

   a. **238** of those applicants still have not received a DOS ID (either because they have not been mailed the ID, or the ID was mailed but returned to sender)[1]

2. ~~130~~ **128** valid voters (registered on or before October 9, 2012) went to PennDOT for a DOS ID before the November 6, 2012 election, but did not receive a DOS ID by election day

   a. **49** of those valid voters received a DOS ID by mail after the election (10 of those voters received the DOS ID on or before November 12, 2012)[2]

   b. ~~81~~ **79** of those valid voters still have not received a DOS ID[3]

3. ~~188~~ **169** applicants were sent letters instructing them to return to PennDOT to get a DOS ID[4]

4. **146** voters were not given a DOS ID at PennDOT because their registration could not be verified in the SURE database, even though they were registered at the time[5]

---

[1]   All of the information in this stipulation derives from the Department of State's "exceptions" spreadsheet, except that, where possible, the Department of State's call log (admitted as Petitioners' Exhibit ##) was used to determine the date on which each applicant went to PennDOT; otherwise, the "Created Date" on the "exceptions" spreadsheet was used.

Assuming All 144 Were Mistakenly Included on the "Exceptions" Spreadsheet

The information in paragraphs 5 through 8 below also derives from the Department of State's "exceptions" spreadsheet, but accepts, *arguendo*, that all 144 voters identified by Respondents during trial received an acceptable PennDOT driver's license or PennDOT ID and thus should not have been included on the Department of State's "exceptions" spreadsheet.

Excluding the 144 voters, the exceptions spreadsheet lists 469 "exceptions" since the DOS ID first became available on August 27, 2012, 139 of which were under Respondents' initial procedures for issuing DOS IDs. Under Respondents' new procedures, in effect since September 25, 2012, out of approximately 2530 people who applied for a DOS ID:

5. **330** applicants for a DOS ID were unable to obtain a DOS ID while at PennDOT

   a. **95** of those applicants still have not received a DOS ID (because they have not been mailed a DOS ID, or the DOS ID was mailed but returned to sender)[6]

6. ~~58~~ **56** valid voters (registered on or before October 9, 2012) went to PennDOT for a DOS ID before the November 2012 election, but did not receive a DOS ID by election day

   a. **49** of those valid voters received a DOS ID by mail after the election (ten of those voters received the DOS ID by November 12, 2012)[7]

   b. ~~9~~ **7** of those valid voters still have not received a DOS ID[8]

7. ~~45~~ **26** applicants were sent letters instructing them to return to PennDOT to get a DOS ID[9]

8. **71** voters were registered when they visited PennDOT, but were not given a DOS ID because their registration could not be verified in the SURE database[10]

Assuming 84 of the 144 Were Mistakenly Included on the "Exceptions" Spreadsheet

The information in paragraphs 9 through 12 below also derives from the Department of State's "exceptions" spreadsheet, but accepts, *arguendo*, that 84 of the 144 voters identified by Respondents during trial received an acceptable PennDOT driver's license or PennDOT ID and thus should not have been included on the Department of State's "exceptions" spreadsheet.

With respect to 60 of the 144 voters, (a) the Notes field indicates that 7 voters had no ID on election day (ID # ███████████████████); (b) the Notes field for 11 voters makes a conclusory assertion that the voter would have had ID on election day but no issue date or other information is given (ID # ██████████████████████████ ; and (c) the information given is insufficient to verify an assertion that 43 voters had ID on election day because the issuance date is not between 8/27/12 and 11/6/12, and no notes indicate why these voters were at PennDOT in late September or October 2012 (ID # ████████████ ██ .

Excluding only those 84 of the 144 voters, the exceptions spreadsheet lists 529 "exceptions" since the DOS ID first became available on August 27, 2012, 139 of which were under Respondents' initial procedures for issuing DOS IDs. Under Respondents' new procedures, in effect since September 25, 2012, out of approximately 2530 people who applied for a DOS ID:

9. **390** applicants for a DOS ID were unable to obtain a DOS ID while at PennDOT

   a. **155** of those applicants still have not received a DOS ID (either because they have not been mailed the ID, or the ID was mailed but returned to sender)[11]

10. ~~91~~ **89** valid voters (registered on or before October 9, 2012) went to PennDOT for a DOS ID before the November 6, 2012 election, but did not receive a DOS ID by election day

    a. **49** of those valid voters received a DOS ID by mail after the election (10 of those voters received the DOS ID on or before November 12, 2012)[12]

    b. ~~42~~ **40** of those valid voters still have not received a DOS ID[13]

11. ~~108~~ **89** applicants were sent letters instructing them to return to PennDOT to get a DOS ID[14]

12. **105** voters were not given a DOS ID at PennDOT because their registration could not be verified in the SURE database, even though they were registered at the time[15]

---

[1] ID # ██ ██ ██

[[“Mailed” = “FALSE” *or* “Returned” = “TRUE”]].

[2] ID #

[[“Search Date” (if available, otherwise “Created Date”) on/before 11/6/12 *and* “Registered Date” on/before 10/9/12 *and* “Delivered Date” on/after 11/7/12]].

[3] ID #

[[“Search Date” (if available, otherwise “Created Date”) on/before 11/6/12 *and* “Registered Date” on/before 10/9/12 *and* “Delivered” = “FALSE”]].

[4] ID #

[[manually reviewing “Comment” field]].

[5] ID #

[[“Search Date” (if available, otherwise “Created Date”) after “Registered Date”]].

[6] ID #

[[“Mailed” = “FALSE” *or* “Returned” = “TRUE”]].

[7] ID #

[[“Search Date” (if available, otherwise “Created Date”) on/before 11/6/12 *and* “Registered Date” on/before 10/9/12 *and* “Delivered Date” on/after 11/7/12]].

[8] ID # [[“Search Date” (if available, otherwise “Created Date”) on/before 11/6/12 *and* “Registered Date” on/before 10/9/12 *and* “Delivered” = “FALSE”]].

[9] ID #

[[manually reviewing “Comment” field]].

[10] ID #

[[“Search Date” (if available, otherwise “Created Date”) after “Registered Date”]].

[11] ID # ███
███
███
███
███ [["Mailed" = "FALSE" *or*
"Returned" = "TRUE"]].

[12] ID # ███
████████████████ [["Search Date" (if available, otherwise "Created Date") on/before 11/6/12 *and* "Registered
Date" on/before 10/9/12 *and* "Delivered Date" on/after 11/7/12]].

[13] ID # ███
██ [["Search Date"
(if available, otherwise "Created Date") on/before 11/6/12 *and* "Registered Date" on/before 10/9/12 *and*
"Delivered" = "FALSE"]].

[14] ID # ███
███
███ [[manually reviewing
"Comment" field]].

[15] ID # ███
███
████████████████████████████████████ [["Search Date" (if available, otherwise "Created Date") after
"Registered Date"]].