	Page 1
1	
	FROM VISION TO RESULTS
2	
3	"A Quality Public Education For All-the Uses of
1	Law to Translate Theory Into Practice"
4 5	
J	A SYMPOSIUM PRESENTED BY:
6	THE PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTER OF PHILADELPHIA
7	
8	
	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
9	
10	HELD AT:
11	The Arch Street Meeting House
	4th & Arch Streets
12	Philadelphia, PA
13	
	COURT REPORTER: Laura A. Jimenez
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	VERITEXT NATIONAL COURT REPORTING COMPANY MID-ATLANTIC REGION
∠ ⊃	1801 Market Street - Suite 1800
24	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
25	

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 MR. JOSEPH: Welcome. Welcome.

Welcome to the third annual symposium sponsored by the Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia, commonly known as PILCOP. Not PHILCOP, not

PHILCOR, not PHILCORP -- PILCOP.

2.2

So we, the lawyers and staff of the symposium, the staff and I -- as the symposium chair -- welcome you to our day discussing the plight of urban education and what we can do about it.

Michael Churchill, our prior chief counsel, and Jenny Clarke, our present executive director -- and I consider her chief counsel, although I don't know that we have an official title for her -- were pressured in their choosing of this subject and in this timing.

You need not be a follower of

MSNBC or even NBC to know that education is one of
the foremost issues confronting the country today.

In the past week alone, you may have seen
headlines in the papers about President Obama
discussing the subject of education in the
nation's Capitol, where a mayor was thrown out of
office probably for doing the right thing about

education, or the headline about the Brookland School District in Massachusetts suggesting larger schools, contrary to the Gates Foundation premise, can be just as good as smaller schools. And you would not have to be focused on education to know of a documentary by the producer of the Inconvenient Truth, to know that watching Waiting For Superman is about to come onto this planet.

1.3

Were you to be an MSNBC junky, like my wife and I -- like my wife and me, you would know that Morning Joe produced an entire program in the evening last Sunday on the documentary, Waiting For Superman, and a two-hour program followed, and that every morning this week he is focusing on education.

Mayor Bloomberg, on a program about a couple days ago said, "We do not need to end poverty to have better schools," the theory that we have to change our poverty situation in order to have schools that work. "Instead," he said, "we have to have better schools to end poverty."

The context of this present focus, the -- going back to the real beginning,

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 now-Supreme Court Justice Elena Kagan was 3 criticized -- get this -- criticized for being the law clerk to Justice Marshall, maybe only one of 5 the greatest trial lawyers in this country who went into the south and got juries to change their 6 minds, even though they were racist and against his clients. But he also won something like 8 90 percent of his 30 or more cases before the 10 Supreme Court when he was with the NAACP, and he 11 engineered the Brown decision, which is really 12 where this story begins.

In context, for the next ten years, all deliberate speed meant very slowly. The Civil Rights Act of the 60's did speed it up, but was quickly turned around when the Supreme Court of the 70's essentially stopped busing and integration really became, ironically, another kind of equal but separate.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So I'd like to just remind you a little bit of the case law and then take you to where we are today, why we are so honored to have this organization putting on this topic, and why it is so qualified to do so.

So right after Brown -- or I

shouldn't say right after, but in the 60's, California said, the equal protection clause of the 14th amendment applies and funding has to be balanced across an entire state. The Supreme Court Rodriguez, in the early 70's, with Justice Marshall writing a fabulous dissent, if you want to read something very memorable about the need for education, said that they would not get into education.

2.2

So it became a state problem, and

New Jersey, early on, decided the Abbott case

under -- well, it was under a different name,

Robinson v Cahill, and they said that under the

"Thorough and Efficient" state constitutional

clause, one identical to Pennsylvania's, that they

should involve themselves; and today, the Abbott

court -- schools, are funded more -- excuse me -
better funded than or as good funded -- as well

funded as all of the schools in New Jersey.

However, despite New Jersey and three other states

deciding under the same clause, Pennsylvania took

another route.

PILCOP, in 1993, intervened in a moribund suit, a public -- a Pennsylvania Housing

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Commission -- excuse me -- Pennsylvania Human

Relations Commission suit that was going nowhere

having been started 23 years ago. They quickly

joined the State, the source of real money, and

Judge Smith issued an order, Judge Smith-Ribner

who will be honored tonight, issued an order for

tens of millions of dollars.

2.

2.2

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania used an extraordinary king's power and reached down and took PILCOP's victory out of the Courts and, in a subsequent case, very similar, but brought directly against the Commonwealth, they said the issue, unlike New Jersey, was not justicial.

But PILCOP didn't stop there.

They went over to Federal Court and they tried under the regulations of the Education Act and they won in the 3rd Circuit and they won in discovery. When the Supreme Court came down with Sandoval, a case that said Congress did not approve of these regulations.

Now, if you follow Supreme Court decisions in recent years, you know how restrictive Congress has gotten with these

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 remedial statutes which are to be construed broadly, and yet they are construing them as narrowly as one can.

1.3

2.2

So PILCOP is at the forefront of this movement. They have continued to today, last only in 2009, they had a historic order requiring the school district to comply with the five-year plan.

So here we are today. You are in for a treat because the preeminent law center for system change in this community, and I would argue one of the very best throughout the nation, is going to be educating us all.

Before enjoining this day, I want to thank -- I want you to know that the seminars will be coming in over the next year, we will be focusing on environmental justice. So reserve your time now for next fall when you can again receive six of your necessary 12 CLE credits with a wonderful educational day. And I hope they will have me as a chair again for one reason: I love that we're full here, but next year, I want people in the balcony.

So with that, I am going to -- one

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 other thing. We're also very fortunate to meet a 3 second goal that I set for this time, and this year, the speeches will not go into the air of our 4 5 memories. They are being recorded by a court 6 reporter. Raise your hand. Thank you, Linda -it's Laura. And they will be published in the Rutgers Journal of Law and Public Policy, 8 ironically, where Michael Churchill and Jerry 10 Walter were authors of articles in the very first 11 issue four or five years ago. 12 So with that, Michael Churchill 13 and Len Rieser -- or Len Rieser -- excuse me --14 are going to be taking over from here. So thank 15 you, Michael and Len. Let's get going. 16 (Applause.) 17 MR. CHURCHILL: Thank you. Len 18 and I thought that we would set the stage for 19 discussions about quality schooling that we're 20 going to have later today by looking at the legal 21 framework, which deals with the quality of the 22 conditions necessary for quality, including 23 resource availability. 24 So we're going to do a little bit

of a tap dance with each other back and forth on

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

this, and I'm going to start for a second just to review what Don has reminded us of. The top of the legal pyramid in this country tonight stays constitutional. And in, really, a terribly historically inaccurate decision, the Supreme Court, in Rodriguez, said that education was not a fundamental right in the United States.

2.2

Although, you have to understand, both in Brown and in the immigration case, the pirate case subsequent to Rodriguez, it did say that access to education was necessary for any adult's well-being, but they were not going to get into the thicket of school functioning or -- or -- and they have, indeed, stayed out of it. And as Don told us, that has pushed us into the State Courts and, in Pennsylvania, at the stop -- at the top of the pyramid is the Pennsylvania Constitution, which says, "the State will maintain a thorough and efficient system of public education."

That identical clause actually produced a cornucopia for which Michael is going to be a superintendent in Trenton, who will be on the program -- the panel right after this, but in

Pennsylvania, it produced nothing. The Court said, essentially, we don't care that other states find this justicial, we won't because we don't think -- at least this was the articulation -- that this -- that there's any manageable standard by which to judge whether or not the education being funded by the legislature is thorough, efficient or adequate or whatever other word you wanted to use.

2.4

One of the questions that we all need to think about is that case was in 1999, before there was any adoption in the State of -- of standards, as we'll hear a little bit further on. We now have them, in theory, anyway, and we're producing more every year. So, in 2015, I think it will be, we will actually have exit exams that students need in order to graduate. Does that now give us a standard to measure whether or not schools are actually performing in a thorough and efficient manner? That is still unresolved by anyone known, as yet, who brought that case in Pennsylvania. It's waiting to happen.

But the result of the inactivity of the Court is that we still have enormous --

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 enormous -- inequities in funding, even though the

3 | State legislators have begun to peck away and

4 | they're making some changes in the system, but we

have 5,000, 6,000, 7,000-dollar differences

6 between what students have -- what Philadelphia

has to educate its students in its state.

8 So let's see how that plays out,

9 what the statutes tell us that should be happening

10 when a child actually goes to school.

11 Len, do you want to tell us who

we're going to be following today?

MR. RIESER: Well, first of all,

14 | would someone remind me afterwards to buy the 1.75

reading glasses in case I ever find myself in this

16 position again.

5

12

15

25

17 Michael and I were assigned the

18 task of covering the framework of education law

19 in, I think, 25 minutes. I don't know if any of

20 you would prefer to do it. It's kind of a tough

21 order, and it's especially difficult surrounded by

22 people who know a great deal more about this than,

23 at least, I do. Welcome to all of you and this is

24 a little scary.

So in order to take sort of a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

quick pass at it, Michael and I decided to try to

tell a little bit about a story of a hypothetical

student in Pennsylvania. We've named her Jenny.

And we're just going to sort of follow her through

the day and talk a little about kind of the legal

environment that she's living in as a student in a

Pennsylvania school.

2.2

So we start with her getting to school, and these days, it might not be a current neighborhood school because there are more options now. That's one aspect of the legal landscape that's changed. There may be some degree of choice among the schools in her school district.

We're seeing more of that in Philadelphia.

There are -- there are charter schools, not necessarily convenient to where she lives, but maybe. There are a lot of them now in Pennsylvania and in Philadelphia. There are private providers running schools. There are schools with special opportunities, and there are more of those now than there used to be.

There are cyber schools, both charter and some, surprisingly, may have school districts that are in the business of developing

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 cyber programs. There may be vouchers. I don't think we have time to get into that subject. And, of course, there are sort of privately purchased schooling arrangements, private schools. There's homeschooling and so forth.

1.3

But if we sort of stick to the public field, on the one hand, I guess we could say that this kind of proliferation of new arrangements in boxes and containers for education is, you know, only -- at best, only a part of the answer to anything because just changing the boxes, changing the structures may not change at all what goes on inside and, in fact, perhaps if we get too distracted with changing the structures, we may never get around to what's going on inside.

But, on the other hand, choice can't be a bad thing for students and families.

And one thing that I think has sort of happened for us lawyers -- and I think it's for public education -- is that there are a lot of good programs out there now. Some of them are in public schools, some of them are in -- some in the traditional public schools, some of them are in

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 charter schools, some of them are in other places, but we have a lot to point to now in terms of what can be done successfully with -- with kids in cities, with kids in rural areas, with kids in other places. So there is an opportunity in there for all of us.

1.3

I mentioned to Michael that I sort of was thinking back to the days when we, in litigating special education inclusion cases, would say, well, yeah, we have all this law, but we can't find a single example of a -- of a good program. Well, now, there are a lot of examples of good programs.

And so, all right, in a sort of a roundabout way, we're back to Jenny. Jenny's got to school. We'll assume it's a neighborhood school. And we'll go from there.

MR. CHURCHILL: Well, I guess one of the questions is, who's at school with her?

And they can be neighborhood children. In Pennsylvania, we tend to use the catch-in areas.

We know that there's a great deal of ability to manipulate those catch-in areas. We just had the Lower Merion School District Case testing, when

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 you do it to try and balance out the racial numbers in between schools, whether that is legal or not.

2.2

But there are a lot of other things that control the number of -- or who else is going to school with you. One is public schools have selection devices. There are tests. There are controls over whether there's enough -- whether your attendance has reflected the appropriate character. And the -- there's -- I would guess, almost a third of the students in Philadelphia go to schools which have some restriction on who can attend that and get into that class.

The scope of the integration that we have by race is pretty stark. We still have 60 percent of our students in schools with children, 90 percent or more, of one race. We don't have a lot of economic diversity, but we have some. And, again, there are schools, 95 percent of the students are in poverty. But we also have schools where that is more spread out and more integrated, both on the poverty grounds and on the race grounds.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

And the questions that need to be addressed is, to what extent do we need to be concerned with those issues? Are those controlling about whether we're going to get quality or not? Or are those things that schools should just deal with? That's, I think, one of the issues that we're going to talk about: How should they deal with them when they see them?

But there are other things that separate students out and one of them, perhaps, is language. Len?

MR. RIESER: So, Jenny, in her school, may be in a school with immigrant students. That's certainly something that's increasingly possible in Pennsylvania. Numbers are rising. This is an area that we've done a lot of work in and it's an exciting area in that the law is reasonably strong about what kids are entitled to in terms of help with learning English and help with content.

The enforcement of the law is not so great. We have a kind of weak structure in the State Department of Education on this issue, as on many others, and so we see that play out with kids

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

in some schools who are unable to understand the instruction, who are not -- I think we see it more in the -- in the kind of regular classes, even in the English as a second language kind of program. At least we sort of know how to do that. There's large numbers of teachers who don't know or don't have the support that they need in order to adapt their instruction to kids who aren't going to understand the three-syllable words and, of course, all the words that they're accustomed to using with native speakers of English.

And we have racial and ethnic and immigrant verses nonimmigrant tensions, as we know from Philadelphia and many other places. And so this is an area that, again, there's a great deal to work with in terms of law and there's a great deal of work to be done.

MR. CHURCHILL: If Jenny actually hasn't shown up by the time the second bell rings, she would be officially absent. Pennsylvania law allows districts, when there are three or more unexcused absences, to take a child to court in order to assure mandatory attendance. The question, however, is, is that effective? Is that

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

just a technique that schools use in order to
increase attendance, or is it a technique that
they use to actually push students out?

We've been looking at one school

2.

2.2

district in Lancaster -- I mean in Lebanon,
Pennsylvania. Small district, 4,000 kids,
50 percent Hispanic. Of those families, I would
suggest that at least 75 percent are run by single
mothers. And they have assessed truancy fines of
\$498,000 a year against these mothers in an
attempt to see whether that will, I guess, control
the student population. It certainly has not
increased attendance by assessing those fines.
We've found that out.

So one of the questions is: Does the State law actually provide any assistance to school districts that are trying to find other ways of dealing with attendance problems rather than just through the Courts? And there actually is a guidance from the Pennsylvania State

Department that encourages school districts to use other techniques and to try to involve other social service agencies, but there is nothing mandatory about them. There is no legal

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 requirement at the moment that schools provide that kind of assistance or help.

2.2

One thing to note, if Jenny quit school, she has the right to return until she is 21 or until she's graduated. There are many projects working on trying to actually see whether that can become a realty.

MR. RIESER: We're a little back and forth with Jenny here. She went to school; she didn't go to school. But, okay, she's back in school. And now the question is, what classes is she going to take? Until, I don't know, 15 years ago, the law answered that question with a list of things like, four years of English and four years of math and two years -- that's all gone, as you know, in the public education system. It's not gone in the private school or religious school or homeschooling side of the ledger.

But in the public school system,
it's all been replaced by standards and benchmarks
and papers, and they apply all the way across all
12 grades. They're very detailed. I'm sure
you've all seen and lived with them. They define
what the State considers important for students to

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

know in the areas that the State considers important, which are areas like reading and math and science and so forth. There are a few areas that the State doesn't consider important enough to have standards about, and they are things like art and science -- art and music and world

languages and a few other things.

We're on our way to having, as Michael mentioned, graduation tests based on those standards, which puts an additional bite into them. And, I mean, I'm sure that everyone here is familiar with kind of the yin and yang of standards. On the one hand, they're problematic in that they're limiting and they can hamper teachers from doing good things that teachers want to do. They can be turned into highly prescriptive instructional techniques and units and scripts. The focus is on demonstrating knowledge through standardized testing, which can be limiting, and, of course, standards don't come with resources attached to them. So you can say all you want about what a child can achieve, but if you don't provide the opportunity to achieve it, then what have you accomplished?

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

1.3

2.2

On the other hand, we -- standards have helped in the sense that we're much clearer now that there will be some understanding across the board of what needs to be taught in schools. That's got to be, at least in part, a good thing. And standards have also provided a terrific legal platform for making arguments about the entitlement to resources.

As we know, for example, from the Pennsylvania costing out study of a few years ago, standards are exactly what you need in order to maybe respond to some of the Courts that base their sort of hands-off decisions on, well, we don't know what education's supposed to be anyway. How can Courts define what education is? Well, the State having defined what education is, we now have much better arguments about what needs to go on in schools and what resources have to be provided in order to make it possible.

MR. CHURCHILL: You know, it's -one of questions we have to ask is, are standards
for who and for what? I think that we need to
understand what is one of things that has driven
Courts for many, many years: Their desire not to

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

have any standard. They wanted to make sure that there was no tort called miseducation or noneducation or failure to educate. That lies deep in the heart of all of the refusals to take jurisdiction, all of the procedural mismash that we get in case after case because, essentially, the Courts wanted to make sure that they weren't asked to have a common law -- developed a sense of what needs to be done in a school.

And the regulatory framework never set -- as Len pointed out, and it's really important. I went back and looked at the public school code. There was nothing prior to ten years ago that said anything about the quality of schooling, except in one place where it says superintendents were to make reports to their school boards if there were not sufficient teachers hired to teach the classes required, and the second one that said superintendents were to make a report on whether school classes were age appropriate. That was it. They could do anything or almost nothing as far as state law was concerned and the courts weren't going to touch it.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We're entering a new era. standards movement started -- and I'm trying to understand it and there are others here who know much better than I -- to think about what are the standards for schools. Now we're talking about a very different thing about what are the standards of graduation. And one of the questions we need to ask is, is it really fair to impose the standards of graduation on individual students when the school itself is -- when that student is one of 75 percent of the school who are not making the standards? Doesn't it say -- and where the student could, indeed, in fact, be getting A's and B's on whatever work the school itself has assigned to them. Does that make sense? Whose conduct are we trying to change in that situation? Michael's mention of MR. RIESER: that antique statute about superintendents making reports, that's exactly the kind of thing that we used to spend a lot of time trying to figure out. What do we do with this? Can we file a lawsuit against a superintendent for not making a report? What good will that do? They'll just make a

report. And then, you know, this is kind of what

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 we had to work with. It is a different environment with the standards.

2.

2.2

We're going to take Jenny to science class. It's now 10 o'clock. We're going to get through this. We're doing a little better than I thought.

So if Jenny's like some kids that I know, she walks into her science class and there are smart boards, there's software. Apparently, you can learn chemistry through software now. Things have changed a little. There's equipment. There's stuff to do experiments with.

If Jenny's like some other kids I know, she walks into, essentially, an empty room with some wooden benches that used to have a few microscopes and no longer have much of anything on them and she listens to the teacher describe biology or physics.

It's really up to us to do something about this. We can do it, I think, by arguing, as I mentioned earlier, that the standards, now that we have them and now that they're becoming a graduation requirement, that they imply that the resources have to be present.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

And you can't learn biology simply by being told about what biology is.

2.

2.2

And beyond just making those arguments, I think we can start talking about, or continue talking about, how to get the law to be more specific about input requirements. There have been a few voices on that front. Of course, all of us have worked on the school funding issues and the resource issues there. Chaka Fattah has distinguished himself by pushing the question of input standards and input measures, not just put them in, and that's what we need to do in order to make sure that Jenny's science class isn't the second of the two that I described.

MR. CHURCHILL: Besides equipment, one of the questions, of course, is teachers and class size. Curiously, some states do have regulations on class size. Pennsylvania doesn't. There's no limit, except as the teachers themselves and their unions may bargain for those limits. And this question, again, of resources, we do have, at least in the early grades, through the Star Project in Tennessee and other states, evidence that for kids with -- from urban poor

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 backgrounds, particularly small class sizes, improves learning.

2.

2.2

But the other side of that or paired with that, also, is other school sizes, and I guess everybody saw yesterday's Times story that tried to say that even big schools can succeed. Although there is a lot of literature that says that it is a lot easier when the schools are small enough so that there is actual interpersonal connection that allows learning to take place and allows motivation for learning to take place in a lot more easy environment.

But at the moment, the only way to deal with either of those questions is, again, through the resource issue of is there adequate resources to meet the standards. And the connection there is, of course, can you prove that causal connection satisfactory to the Courts and you can be sure that people are going to be trying to do that.

MR. RIESER: So, what actually happens in Jenny's class? I've talked a little bit about that. This is kind of the thing that interests me most because, in the end, it's kind

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 of what matters. Does the teacher stand up there and kind of drones away at her, the way we are with you, or does she break you up into groups? Does she give you some ideas about projects that you could develop yourself? Does she have you work with each other? I think all those kinds of questions are about what teaching really is and what learning really is.

Well, you know, the law doesn't really say anything about that. Kind of a -- kind of an amazing omission, if you think about the fact that that's ultimately what learning is about. But, on the other hand, it's kind of a challenge to capture notions of good teaching in legal language or legalese, even if there were an ability to do that.

I tend to think that improving teaching and learning has much more to do with supporting leadership. And, of course, there are legal aspects to this, too, because this is all about where we put our money, where we put our time and so forth. It's about leadership. It's about professional development. It's about allowing teachers to continue to learn and be the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

people that they went into teaching in order to

be. It's about the involvement of students and

families in shaping their own education. And

there are bits and pieces of opportunities for all

of those things in the law.

1.3

2.2

There is a lot more pushing to be done. There's a lot more resources to be allocated. And there's a lot more time to be found, somehow, in the school day for it really to work. I think that those kinds of approaches supported by the laws we have and, perhaps, the laws that we could get enacted may be more effective in improving schools than mandates or transformation through increasing prescriptiveness, telling teachers exactly what to say, or by replacing 50 percent of the staff.

Those kinds of things seem, to me, to offer less, ultimately, in terms of really supporting what ought to be going on in that classroom that Jenny's sitting in and somewhat more of a side issue. Which is not to say that there aren't principals who aren't successful and teachers who need to find another profession and so forth. But an awful lot of our emphasis these

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 days is on that side of the ledger and it seems, to me, there's less on the support side of the ledger.

MR. CHURCHILL: The good side of the lack of any strong hook for lawyers is that it leaves an enormous amount of room, actually, for educators to do what they determine will be successful. And we're going to be hearing a lot about their research and what they think that should be, but the underlying question, then, is going to be: How come not more? Why isn't it -- if the law doesn't prevent it, what else is preventing it?

And one of the other pieces that we need to look at is, as we tend to think we know answers, or as politicians tend to think they know answers, how do we keep them from doing some real harm? And some of those areas that we're going to come to next in Jenny's career may actually spell it out when we get to legislators and lawmakers beginning to tell schools how they should deal with, quote, unquote, disruptive children and children who are having problems fitting in with the pattern that outsiders believe ought to be the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 standard. So maybe we ought to turn to that.

2.2

MR. RIESER: Well, first of all, it's 12 clock and Jenny can go home now because she's in an alternative school and alternative schools in Pennsylvania are actually allowed to operate for a minimum of 15 hours per week. So, actually, she could have gone home at 11:00.

it's one of the areas where -it's one of few -- relatively few areas where we
actually have state mandates, or I suppose I
should say sanction: Delivery of an inferior
educational opportunity. And if anyone believes
that the amount of time spent learning has
anything to do with learning, our statutes and
rules in Pennsylvania do pick out a class of kids
who have had behavior incidents in school placed
in alternative schools and then tell them that
they can go home at 11:00 or 12:00. So that's an
area we need to work on.

Assuming Jenny isn't in an alternative school, she's still in school, but now a box cutter drops out of her backpack and clatters to the floor. We'll assume it's from her job unpacking boxes at Rite Aid in the evening, or

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

Jenny gets into a fight, and who knows why. Maybe someone else started it. Maybe she started it. Two different kinds of problems, obviously, but the law currently responds to them in more or less the same way. It's heavily tilted toward supporting zero tolerance approaches, exclusionary approaches, five-minute approaches, and report to the police approaches, and there's very little in the law, at the moment, that really supports the development of positive behaviors, social skills and so forth.

Now, that's not to confuse, you know, whether there should be box cutters in schools with the issue of social skills, but it is to say that we need to find -- to take like a silver lining approach. It's great that the pool is open for schools to institute positive approaches, to some extent, but it would be a lot better if we really supported those approaches.

One of the possible amendments, I
think, to the revised Elementary Secondary
Education Act grew out of a bill that then-Senator
Obama sponsored supporting the development of
positive behavior support programs in schools. I

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 think that would be a great thing to have in Jenny's school.

2.

MR. CHURCHILL: Well, it's important to actually turn to one of the areas where there is the most, in some ways, prescriptive and most supportive legislation and that's if Jenny actually has been identified as having a behavior problem that arises out of disabilities because, in that situation, she then becomes entitled to all of the protections and the support that the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act provides.

And IDEA actually has an enormous amount that is fair game for lawyers to work on, not just for the procedural protections that I think have -- so many of us have seen over the years, but actually for the standard of free appropriate education. You know, the Rally Court, while saying that it didn't mean maximize the potential for the child, did say it meant year-to-year progress appropriate to the child's abilities, and that's a real standard. It talks, also, about utilizing the best practices so that you can actually see what is happening and what

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 kinds of support systems the child is being given. It talks about qualified and trained teachers in a way that appropriate -- the skills appropriate to

the needs of the child.

2.

2.2

These are all beginning to begin to provide lawyers and parents, particularly parents, with the kind of standard that is actually useful in trying to make sure that the help and the support that will allow the child to learn is made available. And my colleague, Sandra Kerr, her panelists are going to talk more about that. But it is a remarkable statute. It is probably the first that begin to actually put in some concepts of educational progress that is necessary and measurable of any of the statutes that affected Jenny's school day.

What else? We've mentioned the parents who are important advocates for Jenny if she has special ed. What role do parents have under the Pennsylvania statutes in the child's schooling otherwise?

MR. RIESER: I'm going to try to wrap this up because we have some other classes to attend to in this room. I think I did touch on

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

the fact that there is, in the law, now -- there

are in the law a number of opportunities,

openings, for parents, and perhaps we'll talk more

during the day about how that works. I do think

we need to stop. It's about time for Jenny to go

home.

2.

2.2

She had five-and-a-half hours of instruction, by the way, if she was the school in Pennsylvania, and one might ask whether that's really the right amount. 5.5 hours, times 180 days may not be enough to make our educational system any better than others.

But I hope, at least, that you've got from this the fact that there are some opportunities. There are some exciting opportunities to support our students's abilities, despite all the setbacks and turnarounds that we've had, and we hope that the rest of the day will help you think more about what those opportunities are. Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. CHURCHILL: Our next panelist is really a fantastic one. And I think I will make my introductions, first, for all three

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

panelists, if they'd come up. Deborah, do you

want to join us up here? And Torch? I think I

saw him someplace here. There he is. He's

hiding.

1.3

MR. JOSEPH: One announcement:
The air-conditioning does work in the reading
room. So if you need some cooling-off period,
walk into the reading room where you registered.

MR. CHURCHILL: Well, I'm really excited about this next panel. We have brought to you some marvelous people who, for us lawyers, is a relief to actually hear about what happens and what works in schools rather than what we -- all the statutes are telling us should happen. So this is -- this is the real stuff.

And essentially, we're very fortunate to have Penny Bender Sebring here with us. She is, I think, with the publication of her recent book that she has co-written, the preeminent researcher in what is -- leads to improvement in schools and the country. She is the founding co-director -- I guess that's the right title -- of the Consortium Chicago School research, and she's a senior research associate at

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

the University of Chicago. She previously worked at the National Opinion Research Center at the university where she studied also progress, longitudinal results for middle and high school students. She is a graduate of Cornell College and has a Ph.D. from Northwestern. Outside --well, she's also a director of the Chicago Public Education Trust Fund. The -- she's written two books, which will be available, I believe, outside in the foyer at our break, and she is going to talk about them, so I will preempt that.

Deborah Meier has been a teacher for almost four decades. She is now a scholar at the School of Education in New York University. She has been described as a visionary, but frankly, to the extent that suggests to people that she is airy and not based in reality, she is the living disproof of that. She has done so much in making real schools work and that visionary is only really a half of her title. She is the founder and was the teacher/director of the Central Park East Secondary School in New York City, and similarly, I guess, that was her role in the Mission Hill School in Boston, both who served

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 inner city school children.

She has been a prolific author and the list of her books include: Playing For Keeps, Many Children Left Behind, In Schools We Trust, The Powers of Their Ideas. She has a blog, which I just encourage everybody to read and look at. It will either confirm your views or challenge them; but in either case, it is an intellectual treat.

And finally, we have Torch Lytle.

Torch is now a professor at the Graduate School of Education at University of Pennsylvania. I first knew him when he had the title -- I think it was executive director for planning, research and evaluation in the School District of Philadelphia. But Torch has, I think, filled every single possible role in the school system that is imaginable from teacher, principal of an elementary school, principal of a middle school, principal of a high school -- they really must kick him out fast -- assistant superintendent and then superintendent in Trenton beginning in 1998.

What was it, Torch, for eight or

25 | ten?

2.2

2.4

	lage 30
1	SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
2	MR. LYTLE: Eight. That's two
3	standard deviations (inaudible).
4	MR. CHURCHILL: He says that's two
5	standard deviations of a norm for superintendents
6	and probably also a norm for Ken holding a job.
7	But his latest book is Working For
8	Kids: Leadership As Inquiry and Invention. And
9	he has his doctorate from Stanford.
10	We're delighted to have these
11	people on our panel to share their ideas with us.
12	And I'll turn it over now to Penny.
13	The procedure's going to be we're
14	going to have Penny explain the research that has
15	been done and what it means for the rest of the
16	country, based on what she's learned in Chicago,
17	and then two of our panelists will sort of respond
18	about it and then we'll have questions.
19	MS. SEBRING: Well, good morning,
20	everyone.
21	AUDIENCE: Good morning.
22	MS. SEBRING: This is like school,
23	there's no air-conditioning.
24	(Laughter.)

So, Jeremy, do you want to fire up

25

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 | our PowerPoint?

2.2

2.5

So, I am glad to be back in

Pennsylvania. I actually lived here for 13 years
in Central Pennsylvania. I got my teaching
certificate from Penn State, and I did my student
teaching at Tredyffrin-Easttown Middle School.

And my husband Chuck is here with me. He's in the
second table back, and Chuck has ties here, too.

He grew up in Telford in Union Township, which we
took a ride to see yesterday as we arrived. And
he got his MBA from Horton. So we're somewhat
familiar with your city.

Okay, Jeremy?

So the first thing I wanted to do was to -- was just to remind people that this book was -- the book I'm talking about today was written by five of us, and these are my coauthors:

The first, the senior author, is

Tony Bryk, who I helped found the consortium with

20 years ago. Tony was our senior director for

many years. He is now the President of the

Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of

Teaching.

The next one is Elaine

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1.3

2.2

Allensworth, director and colleague, very talented researcher, and she's our senior research officer.

Next is Stuart Luppescu, who is -cares about careful measurement and has helped us
develop very reliable and valid surveys, as well
as to do a very careful analysis of test scores.

And then, finally, John Easton, who was our senior director until a year ago last year. At that point, President Obama named him to the director of the Institute of Education and Sciences, probably one of the most important research jobs in the country. So we have quite a group.

Before going into the research,
I'll say a little bit about the consortium. We
started 20 years ago. At the time we started, we
were very concerned about the fact that a lot of
research sits in journals, it sits here on
shelves, it just doesn't get used. So we set
about to invest, really, a new way of doing
research where we did it in a very engaged way
with educators and policymakers and civic leaders
and it affected the kinds of questions we asked
and the way we shared our information.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

So what we try to do is to do high quality studies that meet the standards of the University of Chicago, but are also practicable and help to form -- help to form policy and practice in Chicago inner schools. We don't advocate for any particular program, but we do try to break down the barriers between researchers and others who are working on school reform. And we try to search for the problems together -- search for the solutions to the problems of urban schools.

The consortium is part of the Urban Educational Institute at the University of Chicago, which is a much broader group, and we're dedicated to building knowledge through both scholarship and knowledge of good practice, building knowledge to help us improve urban schools. And in addition to the consortium, we have an innovative teacher training program that has a long residency period. We also run four charter campuses of the University of Chicago Charter School. So we try to bring all of this together.

In the beginning of the book, in

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2. the prologue, there's a description of two 3 schools, and we notice these schools early on. They're both located in about the same section of 4 5 the City, both a hundred percent African American, both a hundred percent low income, both were among 6 the a hundred worst schools in reading and math in the early 90's. Over a period of seven years, one 8 of those schools moved forward; that was Hancock. 10 And the other one actually stayed the same or got 11 a little worse.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Okay. And the next slide.

And so they were kind of like a lot of other schools. And here, we see the tremendous variation in the percent of students meeting national norms. The top line, the blue line, shows the top order of schools over this seven-year period, and that's a hundred schools, a hundred elementary schools. The dotted line shows the worst schools and their trajectory over a seven-year period. So it raised the question: How did Hancock beat the odds, and what happened to Alexander, and why did he have a hundred elementary schools make substantial progress in their learning grades whereas a hundred schools

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2 just stayed the same?

1.3

2.2

Okay. So that's what stimulated our study and, actually, at the beginning, I don't think we knew how complicated this was going to be or how long it would take, but what we were really trying to do was to take an empirical attack on this question and really understand the internal workings of the schools and how to differentiate the two groups and understand, also, the community or external conditions.

So this work began over 15 years ago, and we had done some work with the superintendent, Archie Johnson, and a group of people to start to create a guide for schools on how to go about improving their schools. And out of that work -- and this is a group of teachers, principals, school reform organizations, people in the central office, other researchers -- and out of those conversations in those early years came the gist of an idea, which eventually became the framework for our study.

So to start with, look at the classroom black box. That's where learning takes place, but how successful a teacher is with his or

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
her students depends very much on those other
aspects of the school organization or what we call
the essential supports, the five essential
supports.

2.2

So looking at these one at a time, school leadership is the driver for change and, then, in effective schools, we see the school principal as being strategic, as being focused on instruction. These principals engage other people, other teachers and other staff in the leadership group as well. So they're inclusive, and it goes without saying they need to be an effective manager.

The next area is the parent/community ties. In strong schools, where these ties are strong, teachers actually know something about the local culture and they draw on that in their teaching. There's a lot of activity to reach out to parents and the schools draw local organizations to help them, particularly with the needs of the students and their parents.

The professional capacity rests very much on the quality of the faculty and the staff who are recruited to the school. But in

addition, those people have to have ongoing opportunities for learning. They have to have — the faculty has to have a sense of collective responsibility, that they're responsible for the progress of the whole school and not just their classroom. And finally, the faculty and staff have to come together in what's called a professional community. There's got to be a lot of teamwork.

2.2

Next, the learning climate. First and foremost, and it's unfortunate we even have to talk about this, but particularly in our urban schools, safety and order are a huge issue. And this is actually one of the most powerful indicators of successful schools and the students. The climate has to -- the kids have to be pressed to learn challenging material, but at the same time, supported in that -- in the process of doing that.

And then, next. Okay. We've got this thing in the way here.

And lastly, we talk about instructional guidance. So in strong schools, there's a coherent well-recognized curriculum and

a curriculum that asks students to learn new material each year. And that seems like an obvious statement, but we found that several years ago, in a study we did on pacing in math, that once you get to fourth and fifth grade, the introduction of new content actually started to fall off in Chicago. And so a critical point here is that you have to keep introducing new material, even though it seems like a very basic point. And then, in addition, the academic worlds need to encompass their own basic schools with the more challenging intellectual tasks.

So the concept of essentiality kind of relates to a metaphor I used in the book, and we got this from the former vice president of our teacher's union, who used to say, "Well, if you're baking a cake, you need all the ingredients. So what kind of cake would you have if you left out the eggs or the baking power?"

And what we say about the essential supports is they're kind of a recipe of sorts, that you really need all of them and if you leave any one out, you're not going to be successful.

So now, we'll just look at some of

our findings. These are the data resources we had. We had student test scores going all the way back to 1987. We do extensive surveys of teachers, students and principals, and we have data from 260 elementary schools. We also drew on a study of communities that had been done by a sociologist. We obtained crime statistics by census block. And for each school, we were able to obtain the percentages of students who have ever been abused or neglected.

2.

2.2

2.5

We also made one very deliberate decision, and that was we left out magnet schools and high achieving schools from our analysis.

That was about 15 percent of the elementary schools. We left them out because we were most worried about the neighborhood schools and understanding what it takes to improve them.

Now, our indicators of improvement, in the next few slides you'll see words like "substantial improvement" or "stagnation." So we had, basically, two kinds of indicators. One was attendance. We looked to see whether attendance improved over time.

Secondly is the school's value

added to student learning. And for this, we created a learning gains index. So we started with each individual student, went back to the prior year to see what their test score was compared to the current year and did that over and over again over seven years. And so what we were -- what we're really focused on is the improvement and gains and whether the gains were getting larger. And that's a pretty high bar. So you'll see in the next few slides we'll contrast the schools with strong improvement versus the stagnation.

2.2

And a little footnote here, I'm going to answer Deborah's question. She asked me, "Well, how did Chicago do on the National Assessment of Educational Progress?" So -- and there are now about 18 large school districts that participate in the national assessment, which is this very highly regarded federal assessment.

And, frankly, Chicago generally is below average compared to the full group of the cities.

Philadelphia is, too. So we can commiserate where we are and talk about how we can get better.

However, Chicago, like the other cities, has been

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 improving in its math indicators. The most recent report on the reading has not come out yet. So that's an answer to that.

1.3

All right. So here, we are going to look at schools that are strong and weak in each of the areas. Each area, one at a time. And what this shows is the percentages of schools that improved substantially in reading. So the -- I think, kind of kiwi color. It's yellow, normally. But on this projector, it's kind of kiwi -- represents the weak schools. These schools were rated weakly on all these five areas, and you can see that a relatively small percentage of them improved substantially over the seven-year period.

Okay. Now, if we add the maroon bars, these are the schools that were strong in leadership, strong in parent involvement and the other areas. And in just looking at leadership, there were over four times -- or they were about four times more likely to improve if they were strong in school leadership by itself, and you see roughly the same pattern going across.

Okay. And here we have mathematics and we see a similar pattern. The

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 strong schools, far more likely to improve substantially, at least their rating is getting larger, than the weak schools.

2.2

And this is attendance. And for attendance, the attendance doesn't differentiate -- the strong or weak schools don't differentiate too much on attendance. But notice safety and order. So the schools that were strong in safety and order are three times more likely to improve attendance than the schools that were weak in that area.

So I'm not going to show a very complicated graph because it will take too long to explain. So I'll just say that, in the analysis, we started looking at one score at a time, and then we looked at two at a time, and then, finally, three and five at a time. And what we saw was that the real value of the essential supports is their combining force. And so schools that were strong in three to five of the domains were ten times more likely to improve in reading and math than schools that were weak in three out of the five areas. So it was very -- it just really -- these are just enormous differences.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

1.3

2.2

We also found that if you looked over time at whether schools were weak in an area and then two or three years later, continued to be weak in that area, that tended to undermine improvement. So we really kind of need all five. Although, at some point, we do talk about three. But over time, you need to really be building a school with all five.

Okay. So this one, I think, is trust as the ovens heat. We found the trusting relationships in the school were very predictive of strength in the essential support practices. So going back to our metaphor of baking a cake, if you think about putting a cake in the oven, you have to put it in the oven so it will rise and congeal and cook. And we view trusting relationships in the school in the same way: Provides the social energy. Provides the foundation for people working together in order to build these strong practices.

And so to demonstrate that, let's look at the top -- the top graph, which is something called work orientation. And this is a measure of teachers's orientation towards

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

innovation and their commitment to the school. So the black dot -- we're going to -- this is -- it's like we're comparing two schools here. The black dot, the schools are right together. They're the same size. They have about the same level of mobility. The one -- the blue line shows that the school where trust was high in the first time period, when we follow them to the next time period, 1994, they were in the 70th percentile on work orientation, whereas the school that was low on trust in the first time period, if we followed them for three years, we see that they're in the 33rd percentile.

So the point here is that developing these practices requires an enormous amount of work and detailed collaborative work among the adults and with the students as well, and it's very hard to get this done unless you have a trusting environment.

And then the next graph, at the top, just shows a different -- another three-year period, and then the bottom graph shows the parent involvement. So we found very consistent results that trust was very important.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

So Michael told me not to spend too much time on this one, which I won't.

2.

2.2

After the initial seven years, and we replicated the analysis from 1997 to 2005 and, basically, we found the same relationships persisted. The stronger for those schools that were stronger in the supports and those schools that improved over time in the supports. This had a significant effect on their value added measures.

And one thing I'll say about this at this point is that some of you may be thinking, well, you know, this all makes sense, doesn't seem like rocket science. It's kind of intuitive. We know these practices are important. But the fact of the matter is, there are not -- in Chicago, there were not that many schools that were strong in even three of its essential supports. So it's something, I think, that educators know intuitively, but to find it in the practice is rare.

So up to this point, it's been a pretty, you know, optimistic story. There's -- we found there's kind of a recipe. It's not an easy

thing to do, but you can follow these practice -follow these ideas in this recipe to improve
schools. But we also need to look at some of the
more sobering findings, and these have to do with
the profound influence of community context, and
that leads us to the part of the study where we're
going to talk about social capital of the
community and students's needs and how these
affect the capacity of schools to develop their
essential supports.

2.

2.2

2.4

So this map shows the improving schools. This is a map of Chicago, the 77 neighborhoods, and it's shaded according to median income, with the darker areas being the areas with lowest income. And we can see that improving schools, we could find them in most parts of the City.

The next one shows the stagnating schools, and you can see that they are concentrated on the west side and the south side of Chicago and in the areas with the lowest income.

So I think we're going to skip this one because I don't have too much time to

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 explain it.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

So we did some analysis to try to figure out what comparisons we were going to make and we determined that race and SCS -- race, ethnicity and SCS are highly interconnected. So we create seven groups of schools. And here they are:

The first three are a hundred percent African-American schools -- are almost a hundred percent African-American schools, but the truly disadvantaged were -- and you'll see this in a minute -- very poor economically. And then the next group is a little bit better off, and the third group is moderate SCS African-American schools. And then a group we call predominately minority, which is a mixture -mainly a mixture of African-American and Latino students. Then we have the Latino schools. Racially diverse schools, which are at least 15 percent Caucasian, so they kind of have all groups in them. And then, finally, racially integrated, which are at least 30 percent Caucasian.

Okay. Let's move to the next one.

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 Oops. All right. Okay. So let's -- do you want

3 to click again? There we go.

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

time.

So just to give you an idea of
those communities, the percentage of families
living below the poverty line ranges from
7 percent for the truly disadvantaged all the way
over to 7 percent for integrated schools. And
median family income, same thing: About \$9500
median family income for the truly disadvantaged
schools all the way over to over \$37,000 for the

integrated schools. And, actually, that latter

national average was for median income at this

figure is just a little bit above what the

46 percent of them stagnated --

So now we're going to look at the extent to which these groups of schools stagnated or improved. And here, you see the truly disadvantaged had a very -- 45 percent --

And now can I have the next one?

-- and that 15 percent improved.

So, in general, as you read from the left to the right, the left side, you see much more stagnation; on the right side, you see more

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

improvement. However, notice that every single group, including the truly disadvantaged and the integrated schools, had schools that improved or schools that stagnated. So we found those among all the groups. The trend is there.

So, normally, researchers can stop at this point and say, well, that's just the way it is. But we didn't want to do that. We really wanted to probe this further to find out what are some of the characteristics of these schools that differentiate them so much? So that led us to look at the literature on social capital, and sociologists have been writing about that for a number of years with respect to urban communities.

So here, we -- we first think about social capital as bonding social capital, and this is -- that is the connections between people that help them work together towards a common goal. So, often times, in immigrant communities you see this, people working together to help each other. And so from our -- from one of the other studies that we used, we were able to get data from a community study where the researchers had interviewed 8,000 people in

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Chicago about their community, and then we linked their study in ours so we could see how people were describing their communities around the schools in our study.

2.2

We had measures of collective efficacy, which is the social condition of the community. We had measures of religious participation. We also, as I mentioned, had crime statistics, and we put this on the list as a negative indicator of social capital, because high crime tends to undermine the ability of people to get together and work together.

We also had a measure of what we call bridging social capital, and bridging social capital is connections that people make to people who are not like them, that are different from them in some way. And our measure here was whether people in these neighborhoods had contacts with people in other neighborhoods.

Finally, we knew that we have children living under extraordinarily difficult circumstances and we did get data on the percentage of students who had ever been abused and neglected in the schools in our study and who

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 are in and out of family care.

2.2

Okay. So now we're looking at the characteristics of communities in relation to their essential supports. So essential supports are less likely to develop in communities with weak bonding and bridging social capital.

Let me just explain the fist set of bars there. The blue bar is communities that are high in -- I'm sorry -- low in religious participation. Only five percent of the schools in those communities improved substantially. The maroon bar is schools that are high in religious participation, and you notice that they -- almost 40 percent of the schools in those communities improve substantially. And we see the same thing for collective efficacy and for connections to the outside. So weak social capital depresses the probability of developing a strong school.

This graph shows that essential supports are unlikely to be strong in schools servicing communities with high crime rates and high percentages of abuse and neglect. So the blue bar is communities high in crime. Very few schools in those communities have -- for strong

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2 schools had strong essential supports.

2.2

Among the low crime areas, we had 36 percent of those were substantially improved -- or I'm sorry -- 36 percent of those had strong essential supports. And then we had a similar, although more dramatic, comparison between the schools that had relatively high percentages of abuse and neglect, and almost none of those schools in that community had strong essential supports.

Okay. Tom and Sara, how much time are you allowing me?

MS. SARA: A couple minutes, one minute, two minutes.

MS. SEBRING: Okay.

MR. JOSEPH: Two.

MS. SEBRING: Two, okay. So let's skip this one, then.

So our -- we did find that those communities in the disadvantaged communities -- or those schools in disadvantaged communities that had strong essential supports did improve substantially, so the essential supports are important for all kinds of schools. We found,

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 however, that in the most disadvantaged

3 communities, the supports had to be very robust.

Okay. All right. And so now I'm going to, maybe in one minute, just give a preview of something else that's coming, and that is that this research was based on a very extensive survey system. Now districts are asking us to make our surveys available to them and we're getting ready to do that. An important thing to remember is every time we do a survey, we return the results to every single school. So every single school sees how it's doing on the essential supports. And we are -- we're now building capacity to do

So let me just show you what a school report looks like. So this is, the first picture, if your school has been -- done a survey and you're getting a report back, this is the first picture you see. And my son-in-law says it looks like the inside of a Chinese takeout box.

(Laughter.)

that for other school districts as well.

MS. SEBRING: So it shows the five areas, and green is good, red is bad. Let's just look at professional capacity over there on the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 right. There are several components of professional capacity. Each of those components 3 has measures associated with them. For example, 4 5 whether teachers are having reflective conversations about teaching. 6 7 Okay. Surveys -- we survey all teachers and survey students grades six through 8 12. 10 Okay. Let's go to the next one. 11 Here's how a school can compare themselves to 12 other -- to other schools. They can see how 13 they're doing over time. They can see how they're 14 doing in a system average in the schools like 15 them. 16 MR. CHURCHILL: Good. 17 MS. SEBRING: So that -- this is 18 something Philadelphia could do. 19 (Laughter.) 20 MR. CHURCHILL: Wait one minute, 21 because I had one -- we're going to save questions 2.2 until the end, but I actually have one which I 23 need to have. If you would go back to chart 29, 2.4 the one she said she was going to skip, for a 2.5 second.

Page 63 1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2. MR. JOSEPH: What page is that? 3 MR. CHURCHILL: That's it. There 4 we go. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What page in the book? 6 7 MR. CHURCHILL: I can't tell you. It's labeled 29. 8 9 MS. SARAH: 77. 10 MR. JOSEPH: 77. 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 77. 12 MR. CHURCHILL: Would you explain 13 this chart, at least just with one -- just do with 14 the reading for a moment and tell us what that 15 says. 16 MS. SEBRING: Okay. So this 17 shows -- this shows the percentage of schools that 18 improved substantially. The kind of curved line 19 is -- are the schools in the most advantaged 20 communities: Low crime, high social cohesion, low 21 abuse and neglect, et cetera. The mustard-colored line is -- are the schools in the disadvantaged --2.2 23 just those opposite characteristics. And then, at

each point along the bottom, it shows -- you go

from the schools at the bottom on the left side

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 are the schools that were weak in the essential supports, schools in the middle were average, and schools on the right were strong. And so what it shows is that, regardless of the community, with more supports, you did better.

1.3

I think the interesting thing here is the gap at the average. So it shows that the advantaged communities, they could do -- they could still improve, even with average level of essential supports. Didn't have to be all that strong. However, the disadvantaged communities, they couldn't. They had -- the only way that they really succeeded convincingly was to have the strong supports.

MR. CHURCHILL: Thank you for that, but one further question. I notice that when you have both high community resources and high in-school supports, you're still showing -- I think this is the right way to read it -- 50 percent of the schools are substantially improved. Does that mean 50 percent of the schools, even with all of that working for them, are not improving? And what is that telling us?

MS. SEBRING: Yes, that's always a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

question. Why didn't all the schools improve?

It's, you know, I think partly this is because we don't have perfect measurements; and if we had even measured more deeply, especially in the instructional area, we might have gotten slightly different results.

1.3

I look at this a little bit like why I take medication. So if taking medication is going to improve my chances of good health by 40 or 50 percent, I'm going to take it. Okay? And a lot of medical research, actually, if you look at your -- if you look at the leaflets that come with your prescriptions, a lot of things are like this, you know, that you have to play kind of the probabilities. So with that, I hope that answers your question.

MR. CHURCHILL: Okay. Torch says that he'll be short and sweet.

MR. LYTLE: I will, if you'll be quiet.

MR. CHURCHILL: Good. Hold on one second.

MR. LYTLE: I know this has been very hard work, going through the Organizing For

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

(sic) Schools Improvement book. And yet, those of us in the business feel that this is probably the most substantial piece of work on improving urban schooling that has ever been written. So I commend it to your attention. It does show that no large urban school district is perfect in any way, shape, or form, but there is a great deal to be learned.

Now, if you put your finger in your pack, right at the binder that says "section three," and you will turn back one page, you'll find the book in one page. Only I know how to do that.

(Laughter.)

MR. LYTLE: So on the left-hand side, you're going to find the graphic with a couple of pieces of frosting on it. On the right-hand side, you'll find the measures that were used to define each of the terms that Penny has been using in her remarks.

Now, I want to talk very briefly about why I think this work is so important for educators in Philadelphia. Let me start from a simple premise. If I am a parent, the first thing

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1.3

2.2

I want is safety in my school. I want the teacher and the kids to respect each other. I want a school where people care about my child. I want to trust the school. And finally, I would like my child to achieve. But the first four things are my first concerns. I want the safe school first. And if you watch the charter school market in Philadelphia, you'll see that it's driven very much by parents who are looking for a safe school for their children.

Now, if you look at this piece of paper here, the one I'm pointing to and, particularly, the model, this raises a couple of important questions for me. One is, in the current federal policy initiatives, both "No Child Left Behind" and "The Race to the Top" are we seeing policies that, in effect, are supported by the research in Organizing For Schools

Improvement, or are we seeing policies that are contradicted by Organizing, and I will argue that in almost every respect, the federal policies are in contradiction, not in support, of the research findings. And I will give you a few examples of that.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

"No Child Left Behind," for example, is driven by accountability, by states testing. They're driven by decision making, choice, charter schools, privatization, teacher qualification requirements, merit pay, competition and so on. Underneath it all, "No Child Left Behind" introduces market models in the public school area. And Philadelphia, they have one of the most aggressive experiments in market-driven reforms that we can find in the country in the presence of expanding charter schools, the education management organizations and the remaining public schools.

You will note that, in

Philadelphia, the strategy, particularly during
the Balacera Latin general election, you spin off
the lowest performing schools and, voila, the
performance in the organization goes up. If you
don't understand the mathematics of that, see me
after class, but...

Now, when "Race to the Top"

emerged -- and "Race to the Top" is unique in

federal policy or in federal program

implementation because the Congress never

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

authorized the provisions of "Race to the Top."

Instead, "Race to the Top" is funded through

stimulus allocations, and it has given the Obama

administration enormous leverage and authority in

awarding grants, which is why you see things like

"Race to the Top" funds awarded to 16 states

rather than to 50 states.

So the Obama administration -- my own point of view, I will vote for Obama again, I promise, when the presidential election comes -- but in a sense, they have taken the Bush agenda and driven it even farther than was the case when the Bush administration was in place because the "Race to the Top" policies really drive things, like tests, that tie directly to individual teachers.

So one of the requirements for the "Race to the Top" grant was that you had to have a state testing program that allows you to tie the test results to the child that taught -- or to the teacher who taught your child. Now, you could claim that this is evidence for transparency. You could also claim that there's absolutely no evidence, at this point in time, that either merit

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

pay or teacher accountability that ties directly

to student tests improves student performance.

And there are a variety of reasons why that is, in

fact, the case, and the reasons are on this piece

of paper.

2.2

as I'm concerned in my school's work, is relational trust. The kids have to trust the teachers. The teachers have to trust the principal. The parents have to trust the principal and the teachers. And the kids have to trust everybody. And if those conditions are not attained, as you have seen, the probability of school performance is exceedingly thin.

So a very good question is: What are districts doing to improve relational trust? How are they managing this whole set of conditions here? And in Philadelphia and Washington DC, for that matter, one of the things that is currently the case is that principal turnover in schools have been accelerated would be a kind word. In the most recent edition of the Philadelphia Notebook, the efficacy newspaper, we learned that over 100 principalships out of 265 schools have

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 changed in the last year. And the same thing is true in the District of Columbia, principal turnover has been somewhere in the 30 percent range annually.

2.2

Well, go back to the piece of paper here. Leadership drives change. Leadership drives improvement. If you do not have the same principal for more than six months in the school, the probability is that nobody's going to learn to trust anybody. So if you have continuing leadership turnover, you have a -- it's a nice simple -- I mean, there are a lot of other conditions at play, that one alone suggests that the probability of success over time is dramatically diminished.

If you add in the intervention models in low performance schools, throw people in the street, convert the schools into charter schools, impose scripted curriculum and other fancy solutions, again, you don't have a set of conditions that builds trust in any way, shape, or form. Essentially, you're experimenting with other people's children in inner city communities and are requiring people to participate in an

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

exercise that is not supported by what we know best.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

And I guess I would -- you may ask, you know, why is it that I make statements like this, and one is that I've lived in this world for a long time; another is that I teach teachers and the teachers that I teach all teach in either Philadelphia charter schools or in Philadelphia public schools. Most of them teach in high school, believe it or not. And when I ask my students, what is the level of relational trust in your school? Do people trust each other? Two -- I've done this for three years now. answer, ever year, has been no one. No teacher I work with works in a school where people trust each other. So you ask yourself, how is it that we are going to get rid of this as we go? MS. MEIER: You heard the story about the dog that ate the homework right? Well, on the way here, I left all -- everything in the taxi.

(Laughter.)

MS. MEIER: Yeah, because I had detailed notes and three or four books that I

Page 73 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 wanted to tell you about. So -- and since this is the second time on this trip that I've left 3 something somewhere, it's just a warning. When 4 you get over a certain age, you need an assistant 5 6 by your side. 7 (Laughter.) MS. MEIER: That's the kind of 8 9 support --10 (Laugher.) 11 MS. MEIER: That's for our 12 children. 13 You know, I was thinking -- your remarks were so, so apt. I was thinking that I 14 used to like it when the chancellor of New York 15 16 City changed every two years because it meant he 17 couldn't get anything done. 18 (Laughter.) 19 MS. MEIER: And the less he could 20 get done, the more I, as a principal or a teacher, 21 could get done. There was a direct correlation. 2.2 (Laughter.) 23 MS. MEIER: And, unfortunately, 24 that city now has had the same person for a long

time, but they've had a greater impact.

25

	Page 74
1	SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
2	There's one thing that I wish to
3	spend a little more time on, was that I was
4	thinking Philadelphia Chicago is a very
5	interesting example of the fact of change within a
6	system itself. And you had the 1988
7	Decentralization Act, and I guess it was about
8	five years, seven years seven years of a highly
9	decentralized school system, which a lot of
10	authority was placed in the hands of people close
11	to the action. I have some criticisms about that
12	particular law, but the idea was to provide
13	maximum authority to those closest to the action.
14	And then, I'm a little unclear,
15	but somewhere between after 1995, that was
16	reversed and was there someone between that and
17	Vallas? That's what I can't remember.
18	MS. SEBRING: He came over after a
19	mayoral takeover.
20	MS. MEIER: After?
21	MS. SEBRING: Yes.
22	MS. MEIER: In any case, then we
23	had and you all know Vallas. And,

Katrina, so we didn't have a maximum opportunity

unfortunately, there wasn't, in Chicago, a

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2 to do its best.

1.3

2.2

So from 1995 to the present time, but in terms of this study, in 2005, we moved in the extreme opposite direction. And I thought it was interesting because something similar happened in New York. And in both cases, the notion that is publically available to us is that decentralization was a disaster.

I mean, when I ask someone about decentralization in Philadelphia, they -- you know, off the top of their heads, they say that it's a disaster. And if I ask about it in New York, the average New Yorker would say, well, that was a disaster. Mail control has changed that.

And what's interesting when I look at the data -- and one of the arguments was it decentralized accountability so that nobody could point to somebody else. You couldn't go around and say, "It's the teachers's fault. It's the parents's fault. It's the resources's fault."

It's all accountability. That was what, as I recall, the argument for decentralization of power.

Now, I think she kind of did one

thing very smart, and that was to hire an independent person and group to check on the claims they could make about accountability.

Although, I think Duncan -- I know he is -- we all need his support sometimes. But Duncan still keeps walking around acting as though it was his reforms in Chicago that everybody should follow, that it was an example of great success. Just as my mayor, Bloomberg, claims that his record is evidence of school success.

And so in thinking about this whole trend, the number of people who have come into our city schools and say, he's super man, you know, people in Philadelphia are a good example.

Right? You've been saved by three or four outstanding educators who left amidst a blaze of glory.

My friend, Tony Alvarado, came into San Diego following my friend, Tom Payzant, who has since been declared one of America's great chancellors/superintendents, and -- but as what I gather, Bersin and Alvarado's thought was that San Diego was -- needed to be saved. It had just been saved, as far as I gathered from Payzant, who was

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

there for ten years, and Bersin and Alvarado came
in and they saved it again. And then -- I don't
know who's there right now saving it, but I wish
them luck. So just thinking how fast we declared
decentralization, how little we studied what could
have been done to have improved that work that you
did. And we did.

2.

2.2

You know, it happened in Philadelphia, too. There was a charter school movement before the current charter school movement in Philadelphia that my friend, Michelle Fine, was involved with. And what's interesting is each wave comes, the history's rewritten so that you wouldn't know there had been a previous wave, and you never learn anything from the previous wave. And being as old as I am, I have one enormous advantage over most of you. I've been through this over and over again.

When I came to New York City -from Philadelphia, by the way, where I was a Head
Start teacher -- when I came to New York City,
the -- I think there were like 33 percent -- I'm
slightly making this up -- 33.6 percent -- I'm
going to make it up --

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 (Laughter.)

MS. MEIER: -- 33.6 percent of the students were reading below grade level; and within four or five years, 55 percent were. Now, that was true of the school that I worked at in Central Harlem, and it was true of the people who used this reading program or -- this was in reading -- or that reading program. It even -- you and I might know what happened. We used the same test year after year after year.

Now, it's true I didn't exactly cheat, but it was hard to forget what the items were in the vocabulary section. And it was sort of, you know, natural for me to occasionally focus on --

(Laughter.)

MS. MEIER: -- the 20 words so you could determine their score in vocabulary and so on and so forth. Or to tell some stories about wood cutters and trees and logs because I knew there was a paragraph about the rings in a tree, which is not exactly something that every second grader would know. But after a year with me, they knew that.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Then after five years, we got a new superintendent who was very smart. He changed the test, and the scores went way down. And now, he could bring them up. And then when he left --

(Laughter.)

2.

2.2

MS. MEIER: -- they would change it, and then they went way down and so forth.

And so I started off saying that the person I have least trusted is generally those who gather the information. And so I started reading this book with great skepticism because I thought, another study based on data. What's the old slogan? Garbage in, garbage out. Does that ring a bell --

(Laughter.)

MS. MEIER: -- with some of you?

My husband was in the field of -- worked

(inaudible) for that matter -- but in the computer room. Garbage in; garbage out. And it's -- I feel that we've been dealing largely with garbage.

I can tell you how to get better attendance rates. It is not true that attendance is always taken first period. When -- I think it was 14 years -- I can't remember which chancellor

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

came to New York and he sent the focus b

2.2

came to New York and he sent the focus back there on attendance because if kids aren't there, you can't teach them. And it was miraculous, attendance went way up. We took attendance at a different period. Instead of taking it first period, we took attendance the period right before lunch. And nothing in the law says when we have to take attendance. And lo and behold, attendance was better later in the day. Not after lunch, but right before lunch.

(Laughter.)

MS. MEIER: And the same was true about dropouts. And it's embarrassing to tell you that -- how good I got at never having a single dropout. You know, it's -- people have argued with me when I say we should just say how many kids come into ninth grade and how many graduate every year. And they tell me all the things that make that complicated. People move from here to there. And I say, you know, but it's a lot harder to fudge that than the way you're doing it.

Because do you know, at least in

New York, if a child moves out of your

jurisdiction, he's not a dropout? Now, how was I

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
going to know where all these children went and
left? Especially if you had a big class. It's a
little harder in a small high school how would you
know? They disappeared.

MR. CHURCHILL: Excuse me. I have just one suggestion, which is, if you'd grace us a little about Hyde Park.

MS. MEIER: The people in the back heard nothing that I said, so shall I start at the beginning?

Now, there are 12 kinds -- and I won't give you details. If you're a principal, I'd be happy to -- about how you can have zero dropout. And there are some things you should avoid, too, because -- but since nobody actually really wants to catch you. One of the great discoveries I made in New York City when I noticed a certain kind of cheating was going on -- but even my friend, Tony Alvarado, said, "Listen, don't bring it up." And I said, "It's very hard for me not to bring it up because what I'm worried about is that you believe these scores, Tony, and that you're making policy on the assumption of scores."

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

You know, there are reporters, terrible, but there were some schools that were headlined in the New York times, "great improvement," and no one noticed that they were serving a totally different population from the year before. They became gifted. That was the program. They became a gifted school program. And when you see a huge jump in test scores, anyone who knows children and schools knows something's wrong, not something's right.

And in any case, I did inadvertently let this fact be known by a friend of mine who's a reporter, who wrote a story about it in the Daily News, and he came back and there he was standing there. And he said, "Don't worry, I didn't like it. I wasn't happy about it, but you warned me, and I don't -- I respect that you felt you had to do it. And he said, "But I want you to do me a favor, come tomorrow night to a party with the school board and because I want to make sure that they don't come after you.

And you know, speaking of support, his existence was, for me, an extraordinary advantage to engage in reform because I absolutely

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

trusted him. I didn't trust him; I agreed with him, but I trusted him in terms of the mutual relationship that if -- that he would tell me things straight. He liked to argue and that he would tell me when he disagreed, or sometimes he would pretend he disagreed just to get a good argument going. And that was a tradition I liked.

But it's interesting, after all the talk and that's just -- I'm somewhat in despair. I sound perky and cheerful and full of jokes, but the fact of the matter is, I'm feeling extremely -- depressed is the wrong word. I'm suppressing anger, and I guess that's kind of a form of depression, because it is an absolute fact that we have the research to tell us that this race we're on is wrong. It's wrong even in its own terms of the gap between scores.

And keep in mind, most of the time, not entirely this report, when we speak of achievement, we somehow think we're talking about test scores as though that's what we're -- is an achievement. But even on those terms in which you would think it would be enormously hard, if all you're devoted to is getting those two scores up,

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 that we could fail.

2.

2.2

and local resources are focused that narrowly, I find it amazing how little change has been in test scores. In fact, I really don't -- that's the thing that troubles me because it seems to me, that back in the old days of New York when we had that leap in test scores, that there'd be more cheating than apparently there is. Or maybe there was so much before that it doesn't matter anymore.

That is the advantage of the new scores that's pointing to us, that New York City has, up until this moment, claimed enormous increases in test scores. And the mayor, in fact, got reelected on the basis of his promise to be accountable and his success. A month after he was elected, so to speak, the NAEP results come out and it shows that there's been no change over the eight -- seven years -- or eight years of his reign. The gap was the same. Greater in some; less than in others. Substantially. No chance in NAEP scores.

Now, "trust" is a funny word. I think that report has it right, that the trustful

and respectful relationships are essential, not only to good schools but to democracy. And I think we're suffering from a period in our country of maximum distrust. And I think the schools are a handy place we're putting distrust. I am stunned at the number of business people who have deluded us about the State of the economy for many years, who expect us to trust them now. So sometimes trust is dangerous. And -- but the dilemma is, without it, very little good can be accomplished.

2.

2.2

2.5

And that's why I liked it when the superintendents changed all the time because I didn't even have a chance to trust or distrust -- (Laughter.)

MS. MEIER: And so I didn't -- you know, it was easy for me because I kept my -- and because I was working in a district where I did trust the superintendent. And that's true for -- I've had a charmed career -- that's true for my relationship with the principals that I worked with. It wasn't true about Head Start here in Philadelphia, but it wasn't that I distrusted the head of that. I just didn't respect them.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

And the connection between respect and trust is another complicated question. And I think that this study could get at that, but the degree to which children enter a school in which they have been properly taught to be distrustful is a complicated and long term task, and it's certainly related to what children experience in the majority of their waking hours out of school, reasons to distrust the society and the way it treats their parents and themselves.

There was virtually not a kid in my high school who hadn't -- a boy in my high school, a boy of color, who hadn't been arrested at least once and most stopped and frisked many times. I raised my children in New York City not to trust. That's a different experience with your relationship to trust.

And my support for small schools is not that it's the only way we can build it, but it's the easiest and I'm sufficiently late or lazy, whatever you want to call it, I wanted to make my job as easy as possible. And working full-time in a small school, I couldn't get anything done, and I didn't want to ask the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

teachers to do more than they were doing because

they couldn't do more and we all need some form of

tunnel vision and my tunnel was hard enough to get

5 through.

2.2

good memory for names, so it was hard enough in a school with a few hundred kids for me to remember their names or their parents's names. It got so hard, I was talking to a parent and you're trying to think, do they have a son or a daughter? And you're trying to figure out how to keep this conversation going? Do I say "her" or "him," until they give me some hint or the kid comes running over. But it's a lot easier. I knew a lot more names than I would have in a big school. So there are a lot of factors that make it a lot easier. And since I think what we're asking schools to do is virtually impossible, I want to make it as easy as possible.

And the place I want to always limit is how do kids and the adults in this community -- one of the values that they -- in their daily life together, that we pass on to kids. And by "values," I mean both intellectual

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1.3

and behavior values. What do they -- do they want to join our community? Would they like to be part of an adult world? Not all the time, but would they like to sneak in and see what's happening?

I was in a school in -- I don't remember where it was -- but I was visiting the school and afterwards, the adults got together and asked me what I thought, but they first had a little moment where someone was complaining about kids that ran in one door and out the other door of what was the office of the staff room. And they were just using it like a hallway. And I said, "You know, I noticed that, too, and it's the greatest compliment they could pay you. They were curious about what you were doing. And they wanted to know what their teachers were up to when they're not in the classroom, and we should make that as available to them as we could."

People used to be concerned because kids would see me on the phone arguing with someone downtown, and they'd say, "There's kids in the room." And I'd say, "That's good.

Let them hear what it's like when adults engage in an argument with authority." Occasionally, I

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 wasn't doing it too well and I wished they hadn't been there, but I deemed it important for them to know that we're not always successful in our relationships with authority.

2.2

But I don't think there's anything we can pass on to the next generation except what we live ourselves. And that the study, I think, helps focus on the aspects of schools that I think have a shot of giving kids awareness of what healthy adult relationships are like and how their parents can be included in that world.

And I just want to mention that sitting back there is my friend, Lynne Strieb, and she has written a book called, Inviting Families into the Classroom: Learning from a Life in Teaching. And it is that notion of invitation.

When I first started my children

-- we were in Chicago. When I first brought my
kids to public school, the message I got was,
leave them at the door. I don't care if they're
screaming or crying, leave them at the door
because your job is over when you get them here.
And especially in poor neighborhoods. And the
degree to which we express this disrespect for the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

families of those children is just enormous and it's hard to see. It's hard to notice, but it

4 happens in small and big ways every day.

2.

2.2

And I think we are more and more coming to the point where we are acknowledging, in a terrible way, those studies that Jensen did years ago which he argued that, "those kids weren't capable of serious intellectual work and we shouldn't bother to offer it to them." And I think a lot of the schools that we think work right now have solved some problems by providing kids with a different substance of the education than we offer well-to-do kids. But really it's stimulating intellectual.

The word "academic" has now covered things that were never part of the vocabulary. Reading and writing, for that matter, were not academic subjects. They preceded the academy. But we have distorted the word "academic" and I think we should go back to more honorable words, that the purpose of school is to explore and deepen children's awareness in aesthetic, moral and intellectual issues. There is -- and to satisfy a little bit more of their

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 curiosity about the world around them so that it 3 gets deepened over the years and so that a curious four-year-old entering Head Start would be even a 4 more curious 12th grader when they graduated high 5 school. Thanks. 6 7 (Applause.) MR. CHURCHILL: Well, that is 8 9 wisdom and that is the challenge, of course, as to 10 how do we bring that into every school. 11 think I'll take the privilege of asking the first 12 question, if I may, of Deborah. And of course, 1.3 first, but what -- you suggested that the thing 14 that the district can do best is to stay out of 15 the way a little bit. 16 MS. MEIER: But tell me, first of 17 all, what's the district? 18 MR. CHURCHILL: I understand. 19 (Inaudible.) 20 MR. CHURCHILL: I understand. But 21 that was the thrust, a little bit about --2.2 MS. MEIER: No. 23 MR. CHURCHILL: What should 24 parents be asking the districts to do when they

have concerns that their schools don't have that

25

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

trust, don't have the -- and are not producing the intellectual children or child that they should be doing? So while I think we have a wonderful study that says we know what should be done, how do you encourage that or what steps do you take? Because you've said eloquently in some other places that the more pressure you put on the system, the more it's going to cheat. So what is the right kind of pressure that parents should -- and advocates should be putting on the district in order to bring about the kinds of schools that you've been urging on us?

MS. MEIER: Well, I think if you want systemic changes that would increase the opportunity for a trust to develop within a school -- now, by the way, I'm still a believer in democracy, despite the fact that I haven't figured out how to make it work. So the criteria is, how to make very strong, real democracies.

We teach children about majority voting. I realize there are -- you know, there are three or four states in this union, have one-tenth of the population put together of New York, who can block legislation. You know,

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 there's a lot of things still wrong with democracy. So the fact that there are still things wrong with our schools doesn't make me despair. It's when we learn all the wrong lessons from all the research that's out there when we learn what direction we should be moving. So I want us to keep moving in the right direction. When I left New York City -- or

2.2

before I left New York City, actually, there were in District 4 -- there were a hundred schools where there had been 20 -- 31 -- or maybe it was 77 schools that had been 31, and it was nevertheless a very accountable process, and that's probably because the numbers were so small that the people probably trust. Schools were known well. The principals met often and, over time, began to trust each other. There's nothing greater than the distrust between principals. You know, if you got that in your budget.

So there are things like school reviews that they -- we do in the pilot schools in Boston. Between the schools and the superintendents, we put together a committee that comes on a regular basis to look and make a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 judgment about schools with access to a lot of information, that included test scores.

2.

2.2

MR. CHURCHILL: Penny, do you want to take a crack at saying what you think -- your study talks about the district and -- I mean, on the school level -- and I was wondering if you had any thoughts now about what kinds of ways the district can or should intervene in those schools which seem stagnant.

MS. SEBRING: Okay. So beyond trust? Beyond building trust?

MR. CHURCHILL: Well, how do they go about building that trust that's necessary?

Anything that you want to think that would be useful for the outsider to be asking the district to do.

MS. SEBRING: Well, in Chicago, actually, the system has adopted the five essential supports as the definition of a good school, and they ask schools to develop their school improvement plan around the five essential supports. And they -- and then the timing is such that they get their survey reports from us, they get their data about how they rank in these five

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 areas in time to develop their school improvement 3 plan. And what that encourages, and not in all the schools, by any means, but in some of the 4 5 networks of schools, that schools actually come together and talk about these results. And it's 6 actually, in a way -- it's a more objective way to do it so that they don't have to sit there and 8 blame each other of various things and they can 10 kind of be more analytical about why is it that 11 trust is low. So I think you can use the data as 12 a -- in your planning.

MR. CHURCHILL: Torch?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. LYTLE: First, I want to commend to the audience a new study by the Wallace Foundation that simply addresses this question of how you teach -- how you connect leadership and learning, and you can access it from publications at the Wallace Foundation website.

And this study makes several arguments. The first is that neighborhood or context matters, and that certainly is clear in Chicago where -- one of my concerns in Philadelphia is that schools are treated as uniform and there isn't really any consideration

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

in evaluating or making the determination about whether they're doing an adequate job. There isn't any consideration of where they're located and who their student intake is. And those things, obviously, need to be in consideration when determining what help schools need and what reasonable judgments might be made on it.

The Wallace Foundation list I'll give you in six seconds: Provide human and financial resources, provide flexibility in pursuit of goals, help organize the data and help people use -- make sense -- and give people help in making sense of it -- that includes parents, kids, teachers and administrators -- have clear direction regarding achievement standards and district-wide curriculum -- that would include an assessment program that's reasonably stable -provide continuing principal and teacher support and development, and maintain leadership stability, something I spoke about earlier. So -and I'm not making those things up. Essentially, those are the district conditions that have emerged from very extensive studies that Wallace has underwritten.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

MS. MEIER: I think there are two things that people often leave out. One of them is time. You know, there was a list in some book I read recently -- maybe it was in that book. No, it was someone else. James Bollman, I think it was -- who listed what fields of books were covered in 1900, in education, and what's covered in the 1920 curriculum.

We keep expanding what schools should do as though time is not a factor. And in a great many of the countries that we are competing with -- why must we compete with them? Why can't we all get to do better -- that we are competing with, teachers teach fewer instructional hours and have more time.

To assume that we simply should add to the day -- because getting trust with parents, for example, if we really meant it, that's an extraordinarily time-consuming job, especially for kids whose families have experiences in school, too. And a lot of reasons, societal reasons, is we start off with distrust. The amount of time that it takes and how you organize that time and at what price in other

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

ways -- you can't expand 24 hours. It's enormous.

2.2

2.4

And I think -- the other thing I think we should think about is due process, which you rather attacked today. I feel safer in an environment where someone with greater power than me can't instantly change my life by deciding to say, you've lost your job. I think it's a value I want to instill in kids because I think it's in the heart of democracy and I think we're in a period right now, and it strikes very close to home for me, in which we think it's an advantage for teachers to believe that we can be fired at any moment because the principal says they're a bad teacher.

And whatever the principal or the superintendent says about those teachers, you know, as I said, we are people in a rubber room --we're sex abusers and so forth --who knows what they're there for? I'm not sure that anyone knows I'm there for sex abuse. But no one knows because no charges have been brought against those people.

MR. CHURCHILL: Okay.

MS. MEIER: None. They're simply in exile. And so due process, which I think is a

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

- 2 | larger issue, but I think if our schools don't
- 3 honor it, to say we're preparing kids to defend it
- 4 is questionable.
- MR. CHURCHILL: Okay. Let's have
- 6 | some questions. Who would like to start?
- 7 MR. SEIL: I have many, many
- 8 | questions, and it's a little hard to pick the
- 9 | right one, but --
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you
- 11 | speak up?
- MR. CHURCHILL: I'll give you the
- 13 | microphone.
- 14 MR. SEIL: -- since Deborah Meier
- 15 | is here, one of the things -- and I do like the
- 16 | study and the characteristics. I think we've done
- 17 | some study that indicates maybe there's some
- 18 others.
- But in any event, I want to ask a
- 20 different kind of question because the paradigm
- 21 | that Deborah said in Central Park East was very
- 22 different from the paradigm of test scores and "No
- 23 | Child Left Behind." It had to do with authentic
- 24 performance, portfolios, kids doing portfolios and
- 25 presentations, kids going out in the real world --

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 and this is in high school -- going out in the 3 real world and doing apprenticeships, the curriculum being somewhat interdisciplinary and 4 5 coherent, where science and math people work together and social studies and English people 6 work together. And if I'm correct in this, I believe that as a result of all of that, 8 97 percent of the kids graduated and went on to 10 college. And that --11 MS. MEIER: Kids that are counted 12 in graduation. 13 MR. SEIL: I'm sorry? 14 MR. CHURCHILL: Depends on how you 15 count graduation, of course. MR. SEIL: Well, all right, but 16 17 I'm assuming that's a pretty accurate statistic that most of the kids who do that kind of work 18 19 they did got interested in school, motivated and 20 wanted -- and yet somehow that whole paradigm has 21 That's my depression. That that been lost. 22 paradigm of -- instead of that, you have, you

know, these standardized tests and one single

measure and the movement away from that kind of

23

24

25

learning.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

So I'd like the panel to comment, because I think the study is -- while it's good, it focuses on the current paradigm, that the test score paradigm of improvement as opposed to changing the system to make it much more relevant to kids and flexible and -- and working within a system that's a 21st century system rather than a 19th century system.

MS. SEBRING: Hard question. I agree that people have lost perspective on the test scores. And, you know, we as researchers, we know that there are these broader objectives that we have for kids learning, yet the only thing we have are these more narrow test scores. So I think that it's really important for school systems and everybody to have perspective on what they represent.

And at the same time, we did a study of authentic learning maybe ten years ago and we actually collected student work, we collected teachers's assignments, and we defined learning and authentic learning in a much broader way with the help of Fred Newman. And so I think, in practice, we should be doing much more of that.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 MR. CHURCHILL: And the studies 3 showed very positive results.

MS. SEBRING: Yes, and the study
actually didn't correlate with the test result --

6 test scores.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. CHURCHILL: But didn't you actually look at different kinds of teaching and why maybe not looking at different measures of success, didn't you find that different styles of teaching actually made a difference in how children were doing?

MS. SEBRING: Yes, the -- you know, these teachers who gave more challenging assignments got more -- got higher level of products from their students. So yes, it did make a difference.

MS. MEIER: Is it called
Campbell's law? What you measure is what you -becomes corrupted in the process of measuring it.
And that's a danger in any system you use,
including performance assessments.

There's 35 schools in New York

State that even when the shift in the paradigm happened, because of some prior very clever

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

political maneuvers on the part of the previous superintendent, Tom Sobol, managed to have held on to their right to continue to graduate high school students on the basis of performance assessment.

Now, part of the agreement was to take a study group and you could study not just the process itself, but what happened to those kids and they would do controlled studies and so forth. Of course, that never, ever happened.

They finally hired some psychometricianist and one lawyer -- because, I think, Mills thought they would be on his side -- to look at what we were doing in New York, and they only had about three, four months to do it. So you -- but they said, "It looks terrific and it looks like the results are much better, even considering control groups, so we recommend you not eliminate -- you not get rid of it, that you have these 35 state schools -- schools in the State, we suggest you do what you originally said you'd do, study them."

Answer: This is ten years later and they haven't done a bit of that. And we're satisfied if they could just hold on until

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

something happens in the world. But you are right, it's an incredibly dangerous moment, I think, for what we're looking for at schools.

MR. CHURCHILL: We have time to take one more question.

MS. MEIER: Can I say one thing about what you were saying? There are so many

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

about what you were saying? There are so many examples of what could be done. It's particularly painful for me because we got \$50 million -- I can't get over that -- \$50 million from Annenberg to do exactly that and a new chancellor came in and a new superintendent and a state superintendent came in and said no.

MR. JOSEPH: Torch, could you answer that?

MR. CHURCHILL: Torch, do you want to take a crack at that?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LYTLE: No, I want to answer a different question. Sorry.

I want to go back to markets just for a second because I think one of the cautions that everyone in the audience needs to be acutely aware of is that the policies we are being asked to abide by are driven by notions of competition

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 and market choice, and I have yet to find capital systems are wholly equitable in terms of how they work.

2.2

I think Deborah makes the point repeatedly, but the first purpose of public school in the U.S. has been to help us understand how to live together in a democratic society. And to the degree that we are competing for admissions for schools and competing for test scores, we are, in a sense, defeating those purposes, so I worry a great deal about where we are headed. I feel that the kind of schooling that is being afforded to the least advantaged kids continues to decline in quality, not increase, and we need extreme caution not to be seduced by a whole lot of the hoola that's going on currently.

MR. CHURCHILL: Okay. Let's have some applause for the panel.

(Applause.)

MR. CHURCHILL: I understand that during the break you will be able to actually purchase copies of the book out in the foyer, and our panels are here and I'm sure will be delighted to talk to you during the break if you don't

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 surround them too deep.

1.3

MR. JOSEPH: We have gone into the break and we're only going to go into the next one by a minute or two. So please take your break quickly.

_ _

(Whereupon, a recess was had between 11:22 to 11:37 a.m.)

MR. JOSEPH: One of the things that I have to tell you, and I'm disappointed that we have failed already, is that sticking to the schedule has a real reason for it. And one of the reasons is the best part of these symposiums often are the breaks. That's where people get to talk to each other and they get to generate ideas and synergize what is happening. So now you know why I'm going to be, again, a stickler and we are going to try to end this session on time, even though Sonya knows that I'm a troublemaker and she's not going to be happy.

But with that, I introduce you to our wonderful staff member, who's going to introduce the panel and lead this panel. Sonya

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Kerr, thank you so much.

(Applause.)

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. KERR: Thanks, Don. Well, we people who deal with education and often go to school meetings understand time constraints because we're always under them. So we'll probably try and adapt here and get through in our time frame.

It's great to be here and we have a very exciting panel for our next topic, which is, "Has 'special education' lost its way?" Our three panelists are: Martin Ellis, Umar Abdullah-Johnson, and Dr. Theresa Perry. going to briefly introduce each of them and then ask them to just give you a synopsis of where they're coming from on this topic, and then we will have a bit of a discussion by Martin about the current state of inclusion of children with disabilities in Pennsylvania, and we will then seque into questions and discussion about appropriate education -- appropriate use of special education, and the inappropriate use of special education and disproportionality of African-American students in special education.

2.

2.2

2.5

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

So Martin Ellis is a long-time parent advocate in special education in Pennsylvania. He is also the parent of a child with a disability, and he had the distinction of serving as the chair of the Bureau of Directors Advisory Panel for the Gaskin case on this restrictive environment.

Martin, would you like to say hello, briefly?

MR. ELLIS: I began in this field a long time ago as a psychologist and family therapist. I moved into training and evaluation and now I'm a special education advocate.

MS. KERR: Thank you.

Next is Dr. Theresa Perry, and Dr. Perry is a professor of African Studies and Education at Simmons College. She has been instrumental in many research projects on the education of African-American students. She was coauthor of Young, Gifted and Black, and other numerous studies in this area. Dr. Perry?

DR. PERRY: Good morning. I'm primarily interested in how we normalize high achievement for African-American students. And

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

I'm also interested in how we build one movement between black parents in suburban communities and in urban communities to combat the multiple ways that black students, whether they are middle or upper class or poor, experience separate and unequal education in the context of color blind racism.

MS. KERR: And our final panel member is Umar Abdullah-Johnson, who is a nationally certified school psychologist. He works in the Philadelphia area with many students, and I'm sure he will introduce himself at this time.

MR. JOHNSON: I'm an evaluator. I specialize in differential values of students with learning disabilities, emotional disturbances, and mental retardation, particularly African-American males, and the focus of my work is upon coming up with a stronger definition of what a learning disability is as opposed to those that are currently used now. And, basically, my premise is that the reason why we see so many students of color, especially African American and Hispanic kids, put in special ed is because of the socially

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 constructed nature of what a learning disability, mental retardation and emotional disturbance is.

2.

2.2

2.4

MS. KERR: Thank you.

I would be remiss if we didn't try to explain why we're talking about both special education and, in essence, the education of students who are African American in the same panel. The reason is because, as most of you know, when special education began, and it began in Pennsylvania many years ago, one of the models we looked to was Brown v. Board of Education and the need for equal education, the need for fair education for everybody regardless of what their differences might be.

And here we are, in 2010, and we know, based on study after study, national studies, state studies, that students who have differences as a result of disabilities are not fully included in their educational student environments and we know that students who are African American continue to lag behind in academic achievement skills.

So what we're asking today is, What's going on and has special education lost its

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

way? We're going to start with Martin describing

what we are as far as students with disabilities

5 MR. ELLIS: Thank you very much. 6 If you could put the first slide up.

and inclusion. Martin?

1.3

2.2

Has special education lost its way? My first answer is no and yes. And as an example of the no, special education has not lost its way, I'll show you this picture here of a young lady with Down's syndrome. She is -- this is a formal professional picture of her high school prom that occurred this year in Spring City. This young lady has been fully included since preschool, has not known any segregated kind of services at all, and this September, is enrolled at West Chester University auditing a Theater 101 class and has an internship lined up as a theater intern as part of her transition plan.

This is a really typical high school prom. You can see the gown, the limousines, the DJ, the deserts. I'm sorry. She's with her high school friends and students. It occurred between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 1:00 a.m. on, I think it was, a Friday night or a

3 | Saturday night. I'm not sure which one it was.

4 And she had a ball. Okay.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

This same young girl -- we have slide two -- the same young girl, had she been born, say, two generations ago, could have ended up here, actually. The school she went to is also in Spring City, the same place that Pennhurst is. She could be here. And I think that Pennhurst is called a school. I'm not sure it was really that much of a school. If they had a prom at all, it would have been kind of a fake prom. It wouldn't have been an inclusive prom because it would have been on the grounds of Pennhurst, and it would be just the other residents of Pennhurst. It would probably not have been on a Saturday night. It probably would have been sometime during the day because that would be when staff would be most likely to want to do that sort of thing and it would be very inconvenient to have it, you know, at 8 o'clock to 1:00 a.m.

So I include this slide to say our vision has not been lost. If you compare slide one with this, we have really come a long way.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

When you think that that same young lady could have been right here two generations ago, you can

4 | see how far we've come.

1

2

3

5

6

21

22

Here we have a picture from last year of a special education prom. What is a special education prom?

However, there is a part two.

8 It's a separate prom. At this prom, you don't go 9 with your classmates; you go with your prom buddy,

which is how they justify inclusion. It's not

11 your regular classmates. If there was any regular

12 students there, they called it a prom buddy.

When did this occur? This

occurred on 10:00 a.m. on a Friday morning. Who

would go to a prom, a high school prom, at

16 10:00 a.m. on a Friday morning? Who was there?

17 | 150 special education students from all over

18 | Cumberland County. So it wasn't one school; it

19 was a whole bunch of schools. I guess they

20 decided, okay, well, you know, we better have a

prom. These guys are not included, so they're not

going to a regular education prom, but we'll set

23 up a special education prom.

24 And you can see the reason for the

25 headline. It should have read, "Dancing the Night

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Away," but the reporter obviously realized that was not going to work here, so it's, "Dancing the Day Away." And who's here? Parents, teachers, aides and buddies, and they all look like they're

2.2

2.5

joining in.

If you go to the first slide, at the regular prom, parents and teachers were way over in the corner. They were not dancing with the students.

Okay. So I'm looking at these pictures and I'm thinking, gee, isn't this closer to Pennhurst than the first slide? You know, it's an atypical time of day, it's totally -- it's noninclusive. It shows me that there's a lot of Pennhurst in this slide. So where have we come? How is it that we could have the first slide for a young lady, and this slide? Both live in Pennsylvania, both within a year of each other, both high school proms, and I think that really does summarize where we are in special education inclusion in this state at this time. I would say the majority of special ed experience for students with disabilities is more this slide than the first slide.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 | Well, for the past five years,

3 | we've been battling with this Bureau of Special

4 Education to increase school inclusion in

5 Pennsylvania to move from this slide to the first

slide. And when we started five years ago,

7 Pennsylvania was 48th in the nation and we are now

8 | 43rd in the nation. So we've made some

9 | improvements, but we're still way down there on

10 the bottom, which is not at all what we wanted.

11 An earlier presenter mentioned the

12 -- used the cake analogy, baking a cake. I could

13 use the same analogy as the chair of the panel

14 did, but when we started the panel, we were

15 expecting an oven like a commercial Vulcan, you

know, with 3500 BTU's, you know, it's something

17 | you can really cook with; and, instead, we were

given an Easy-Bake Oven, something that was

19 totally not what we were expecting or wanted or

20 | could even do the job.

So you have in your packet some

22 statistics from the five years of the settlement

23 | agreement.

1

6

16

18

2.4

MS. KERR: Start at Page 106.

MR. MARTIN: But I'm also

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

projecting it onto the -- on the screen here.

2.2

This is the chart the Pennsylvania

Department of Education brings out whenever you

talk about LRE. The reason is because it looks

like LRE has increased over the five years of the

settlement. But --

MS. KERR: Excuse me, Martin. For those in the audience, it's on Page 112.

MR. MARTIN: If I tell you that this statistic here is made up of 50 percent of one group, and that one group really did improve and that group was specific learning disabilities, you'll see that this graph is really misleading.

But what isn't misleading is this one here. This is the percentage of students in other cities, not the neighborhood school. These are approved private schools. That -- the kind of the epitome of noninclusion is -- are the settings. That changed not one little bit in the five years of the settlement agreement.

And if you go to the next page,
you will see the LRE statistics for students with
autism. And this is the kind of benchmark -- the
triangulars here included 80 percent of, which has

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

become kind of the gold standard in terms of

measuring or having benchmark inclusion. You'll

see the students with autism are improved, but if

you play the graph out, in order to get up to

80 percent of students, 80 percent included, it's

going to take another 14 years to get to that

benchmark.

2.2

Next one is, we look at students with emotional disturbance, I think is the term that's used. They've also increased a little bit. But it will take another 12 years in order to get to the benchmark of 80 percent.

And here's the -- kind of the worst news: Other disability categories really got no better or even worse. Actually, if you have deaf/blindness, you were better off before Gaskin than after Gaskin.

If you flip to the two pages here, you'll get to students with mental retardation.

The next one. This is what we're looking for.

This is where the young lady on slide one would be. Went from less than ten percent to 15 percent over the five years. However, look at this one.

This is other settings. That's increasing. And

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

if you take out -- if you draw the graph out, how

long would it take for students with mental

retardation to get up to 80 percent goal is

84 years. At the current rate of change in

Pennsylvania it's another 84 years.

2.2

Okay. Multiple disabilities is the next one. Here, we have the graph down here. You're never going to reach 80 percent here. If you have multiple disabilities in Pennsylvania, that flat line.

So the picture that the Department of Education would like to present to us is, oh, yes, we fully support Gaskin. Oh, yes, we fully support full inclusion. The facts, however, show that, at least over the last five years, that has not panned out.

Okay. If we go back to my first PowerPoint, I can tell you why. Why has this happened? Why do we not expect to see the improvement that we all wished for? And one of the clearest reasons was a lack of leadership.

Five years ago, when the settlement was signed, we were all expecting to use another analogy, a basketball analogy. We all

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

wanted LeBron James to come out on the basketball

field, and what we got was a person who didn't

even want to suit up. And lack of leadership is a

killer. One sure way of deep-sixing something is

to put the wrong person in charge or no person in

charge. And we had a battle with leadership the

entire five years.

2.

2.2

The second reason is that inclusion is not seen as a civil rights issue. It's still, in Pennsylvania and other places, seen as an education issue. And if it's seen as an education issue, teachers are the experts. If it's seen as a civil rights issue, we are all interested and experts and you kind of claim -- no one group can claim the monopoly on civil rights. But until school inclusion is seen as a civil rights issue, I don't think we're going to get too far.

And the third reason is that people do not see segregation as abuse. It's a form of abuse when you have children with low expectations, when you stigmatize children, when you take them out of the typical world and you build simulated environments, when you take away

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

their opportunities in life, that's abuse, in my
book. That's child abuse. That's education

abuse. But it's not seen like that in the State.

It's seen as, well, acceptable. It's seen as
acceptable.

And finally, I think one of the reasons for this is there's a lack of identification for students with disabilities. If I went into a typical classroom and asked the teacher, "Can you give a list of the five best students" -- students that come on time, hand their homework in, get good grades, act as good role models, are in tune to classes -- and I say to that, to the teacher, "Okay, I've taken those five, they're coming to my classroom," the teacher's going to kind of look at me, "Why are you taking those students from my classroom?"

But I wonder what the reaction

would be if I said, "Well, who are the students here with IEP's? Can I take them for my class?" I bet you the majority of responses would be, "Okay." Not "my goodness, who do you think you are? What are you doing? They're a part of my class. They belong here."

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

I think my ten minutes is up. We

3 have a long way to go.

1

4 MS. KERR: Thank you.

5 (Applause.)

6 MS. KERR: Thank you, Martin.

7 Okay. Thank you, Martin. I think we understand

8 where we are in terms of forms of segregation

9 | involving students with disabilities.

I wanted to ask Umar to speak a

11 little bit, if he would, about students who are

12 African American and why -- what seems to be

13 | happening with respect to identification of

14 | students who are African American and whether

15 | they're being accurately or inaccurately

16 | identified for special education and segregated as

17 | a result.

18 | MR. JOHNSON: I think the only

19 problem that's probably bigger than inclusion is

20 inaccurate identification. And, unfortunately,

21 too many African-American and Hispanic students

22 | are being inaccurately identified with

23 disabilities that they do not have. They're being

diagnosed as having learning disabilities, in

25 particular.

24

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Mental retardation is also the big issue, particularly in Pennsylvania where
African-American males are four times as likely to be referred for mental retardation evaluations, but four times less likely to be referred for gifted evaluation.

almost exclusively a black issue, particularly with African-American males. And I think the reason why this is done is because enough attention is not being paid to the relationship between an inadequate regular education and special education. You can't fix special education until you fix regular education.

For example, in Pennsylvania,
57 percent of the fourth grade African-American
males cannot read on their grade level.
57 percent of the fourth grade black boys in the
State can't read on grade level. So do we assume
that all 57 percent of those boys have a learning
disability? Many schools will because,
ultimately, you have two decisions you can make.
One decision: Say he's got a disability, put him
in special ed. Okay? It becomes a scapegoat.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

You put the kids in special ed who you don't want to help.

The other thing you can do is help them and get them up to where they need to be.

That takes work. That takes effort. With special ed, you get money. Keeping them in regular ed and helping them because you know they haven't received an adequate education, you're not going to get extra funding for that. That's going to be done with the child. So you have to look at how special ed is being used, okay, to get rid of the children who no one really wants to help.

A learning disability, just like mental retardation and emotional disturbances, are socially constructed. They're not organic.

Blindness, deafness, traumatic brain injury, those are organic. You don't have to be an expert to tell that a child can't see. But when you say a child has a learning disability, you're making an assumption that they can't learn, and a lot of times we make that assumption based on the scantiest of evidence, and looking at the ability achievement scores has gotten us in a whole lot of trouble because there's a million-and-one reasons

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

why a child is not where they are: Frequent suspension, inadequate education, high teacher turnover, poor education.

2.

2.2

For example, in Philadelphia, only 29 percent of the black males were able to get a high school diploma. That's the worst graduation rate in the country, tied with New York City. So, again, you have to look at regular education and how it actually feeds the over identification of special ed students as an excuse for why they're not learning.

MS. KERR: Thank you, Umar.

I wanted just to mention for the audience, in case you didn't see it in the packet, in Session 3, Page 146, is a summary article explaining the concerns and the extensive research that's been done on over identification of students of color into special education.

Dr. Perry, I want to give you an opportunity to come and address.

DR. PERRY: First, I want to comment that I think it's curious that the report -- was that the State report you referenced?

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

MS. KERR: (Nods head.)

3 DR. PERRY: -- did not segregate

4 data. So we don't know what the progress is in

5 | inclusion by the data you presented for black

6 kids.

1

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

(Inaudible.)

DR. PERRY: Yes, but it's not in
the report. Yes. And so, that's the first thing

11 | I wanted to make note of.

The second thing is, could you have put up an image of a black kid with autism who was -- had had as much progress as the -- in terms of the white kid with autism? Because we know just as black kids are over identified as learning disabled, emotional difficulties and mental retardation, they're under identified with things like autism, dyslexia and other issues.

So I guess the first point I want to make is that, at every point, we have to insist on having data that shows what's happening to black and Latino kids as opposed to what's happening to white kids in special education.

The second thing I want to say is

that the real issue continues to be the various ways we figure out how we offer separate and unequal education. And I want to challenge people to look beyond urban districts, to look at your suburban and affluent communities, and also to get rid of the notion that is simply poor African American kids who are being separated and given unequal education.

2.

2.2

2.4

And I'm just going to give you some anecdotes. About four years ago, a black psychiatrist was doing her work in the Boston area, and she happened to be sent to this very elite and affluent school district to work with a group of three black boys in special education. And she was stunned when she went to their class and they were in the basement of the school building, a room with no windows, and the class was almost exclusively black and brown boys. And she was renting from a neighbor, who was a very progressive community activist, and when she told her about this class, and they said, "That couldn't be, this is so-and-so town."

The next thing I want to tell you is just last year, in another high income suburban

town, a couple -- and the male in the couple happened to be a law professor, a black law professor -- and in November, they figured out that their five-year-old kid had been placed in special ed. And the school district was -- wasn't chastened by the fact that he was a lawyer or that he was upper income or that they hadn't gotten permission to put the kid in special education.

2.2

Last semester, I was teaching a course at Simmons for school leaders called Race, Culture, Identity and Achievement, a seminar series, and two of the black women who were on the staff, had very high level positions at a suburban district, they told the class of how their kids had been pulled out -- and one of the black woman was third generation college, her grandmother had a Ph.D. -- about how their kids had been pulled out and put in small reading and math classes, and they began to query, "Who were the people in their classes?" And they were all black kids.

This last incident happened just maybe a month ago. I was -- met a colleague of mine, and her daughter has been a great advocate for special ed kids, and now she's in a suburban

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 elite district, director of special education, and she told me that 50 percent of the kids in -- 50 percent of the black kids in the suburban district are in special education.

2.

2.2

So we tend to focus on what's happening to black kids and we kind of ignore what's happening in urban districts. But I think that suburban districts and urban districts have figured out very sophisticated ways to normalize the delivery of separate and unequal education to black kids.

would have liked to have seen what kind of education and who the kids were in those magnet and exam schools that we didn't look at. In my town in Boston, two years ago, myself and John Diamond, we worked at a small pilot school, and they didn't have a -- they didn't have a library. The elementary school did, the high school did. They didn't have a library. They didn't have a gym. You know, they didn't have science labs. And there's no discourse. The discourse, I think, over the last 10 or 15 years has been choice rather than equal education opportunity and not

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 about the multiple ways that -- my students came to visit this school, but at the same time, we went to a program at the Laddin School in Boston, and they walked in and they said, "Is this a public school?" Because they had been to the other school.

2.2

So here's my thing: I think that we have to begin to make -- have black parents from the City and the suburbs have one conversation, and we have to begin to demand that people provide information on the percentage of black kids that are educated in both separate and unequal sites in urban and suburban communities, because it's only if we make that information public that black parents from -- because I think many black parents now realize that in these suburban systems, the education that their kids are getting is no different than if they had remained in urban communities.

So I think that the task is to begin to create the context where people have the data so they can organize and also to begin to, as policymakers, to routinely make that data available in terms of the kind of curriculums

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 available in the other schools, the kind of 3 resources that are available, but more importantly, the way segregation occurs, the 4 5 way -- not only special education issues as a tool 6 for segregation, but all kind of programs are used to segregate black kids, probably done in the most sophisticated way in suburban districts. 8 Thank 9 you. 10

(Applause.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

MS. KERR: Thank you, Dr. Perry.

I want to pose some questions to the panel and give each of you an opportunity to jump in on them.

First question is: What are the barriers or the conditions we need to improve so that whether a child is a child with a disability and is being wrongfully segregated or the student is African American and is being segregated by the use of special education, what kinds of conditions -- what would change this? How would you change this?

Umar, do you have a comment on what we could do?

MR. JOHNSON: Well, firstly, it's

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

hard to improve education when the teachers are not accountable to the community where the students live. Most children, particularly African American children, the teachers do not come from their community; they come from outside. And they are not stakeholders in the child's success. And by virtue of that, you either have to make them accountable or you're going to have to improve or increase the number of teachers who are coming directly from that community.

When you look specifically at African-American males who are the hardest hit in private education, private education, charter school education, you find that there's almost no African American male teachers. So one of the things you have to do is you have to increase the number of teachers who look like the students they're serving. And a lot of times we ignore that argument, but the research is clear that teachers pay the most attention to students in the classroom who look like their own children. So if you want African-American males to be successful, then they have to be taught by African American males.

2.

1.3

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

You also have to look at the historical intent of public education, which was never particularly designed to teach black children in the first place, and so most of the schools where our children dominate, although they are the majority, the system under which they're learning is not really conducive to what they need.

And so I think you have to look at teacher accountability. I think you have to look at restructuring public education so it works.

And I think, lastly, the definitions of success and the definitions that we're using for special education also have to be modified.

MS. KERR: Dr. Perry, would you address the same question, and particularly, would you focus on how -- what people can do, what schools can do to address high achievement for African-American students?

DR. PERRY: Well, before I get to that, I just -- I mean, I think that many of you saw the CNN study whereon -- where they tried to replicate the doll study? How many of you saw that? Do you remember that the highest -- the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 question -- this is with the four-year-olds -- the 3 question that indicated the strongest white bias was a question where the researcher asked them, 4 5 with kids of all different hues -- these are four-year-olds -- show me -- "Point to the dumb 6 kid." And over 78 percent of white kids pointed to the black kid. And I think the thing that 8 Margaret Beale Spencer noted was that white skin 10 bias did not change significantly as the kids grew 11 older because they tested two different groups of

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

kids.

I think one of the things that -by and large, many people still think that African
Americans are intellectually inferior. And I
think it's evident by the fact that the children
so easily pointed to, without hesitation, to the
black kid as the dumb child. And they so easily
pointed to the black kid as the child the teachers
and adults don't like.

So I think that -- I think we really have to challenge teacher's notion, educator's notion of intelligence, of what is intelligence. I think Lauren Resnick's work that the malleability of intelligence and intelligence

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

is a muscle that only -- that develops by work.

And we simultaneously have to challenge the notion and make teachers aware of the notion of the -- of their acting out idea that black African American

kids are intellectually inferior.

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

But I think that the panel and myself, we've been looking at environments that normalize high achievement for African American kids, and I won't go through all of it, but I do say what we think is necessary and sufficient is that the environments are organized such that everything about them is based on the belief that you can be an achiever, that once you work, once you walk in those institutions, that they're organized around the belief that if you're in this institution, everybody can be an achiever, that everybody can be a full member and can be an achiever. So when a kid steps in there, they know this. It's not organized around the belief that a few kids are and some kids are not. And those institutions systematically hand over to kids the beliefs and behaviors that are necessary in order to be an achiever: Persistence, thoroughness, commitment to doing one's very best, and hard

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 work.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

Now, there's some things that are necessary, but not sufficient, but these schools, irregardless of pedagogical process, irregardless of who the teachers are -- and what it means is that those institutions systematically push back at the document narrative that African American kids are underachievers because they are able to help kids define themselves as achievers and that kid -- and to think about it, identities are the stories we tell ourselves in the world about who we are and our attempt to live in accordance with those stories. And identities are one's standpoints that make a modicum of self direction possible. So they hand over to kids the capacity to achieve and they change how kids see themselves.

(Applause.)

MS. KERR: Martin, speak up.

21 MR. ELLIS: I think the question

22 | was what would drive change?

MS. KERR: Yes.

MR. ELLIS: I think in school

inclusion, it's very clear that parents drive

change in the school districts. It's still way too common for parents to know more than the teachers at an IEP meeting about differentiating instruction, about the standard school year, all the -- LRE, all the usual things that we would think teachers would know that still don't. So in Pennsylvania, if we ever go to a tipping point with parents who really were a critical mass, then there would be some -- a lot of systemic changes.

2.2

MS. KERR: Thank you. We are sitting with like eight minutes left. So I do want to give some -- well, 20 --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 18.

MS. KERRY: Okay. Good. We're good. (Inaudible.) So I will bring up two other important issues that I thought we weren't going to get to.

One of the things that people who deal with education a lot hear about consistently is funding. It's all a problem of funding. We can't have kids included if they have significant disabilities; we're not testing correctly because we don't have the funding; we're not providing, you know, enough instruction to the kids who are

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
African American because of funding.

2.

2.2

And I'd like the panelists to address the issue of funding in terms of how we're educating or not educating students who are African Americans, students with disabilities.

MR. JOHNSON: Well, with special ed funding, the biggest problem in Pennsylvania is the oversight. How it's spent. School districts can spend special ed money on anything they want, including new uniforms for the football team, new computers for the staff. And I see heads moving around, so y'all know exactly what I'm talking about. And I think until there's more oversight from the State and federal government in terms of how special ed money is spent, it's never going to benefit the children it's designed for in the first place; so that's number one.

Number two, I do not believe that a lack of funding is the principal cause of educational failure, essentially not for African American children. If you go back into history, reconstruction, post slavery civil rights, black people had no problem educating their own children with so-called inferior books, inferior teachers,

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 inferior schools and they did an excellent job of doing it; so it's not the money.

Plus, also, the research shows that most money that comes into schools is spent on personnel. It's not spent on other resources. So you bring in a couple million more dollars to Philadelphia or any other school district, they're just going to hire more people who they assume can make a difference. The money does not go to the classroom.

So number one, there has to be greater accountability of spending. There has to be an increase on using money -- an emphasis on using money for resources other than hiring more staff. And I think in terms of special ed, the greater part of that money that comes with that child needs to be spent on that child and not for some other nonrelated school function.

MS. KERR: Thank you. Martin?

MR. ELLIS: I ditto everything in

terms of students with disabilities.

MS. KERR: Okay.

MR. ELLIS: Money is not the

25 problem.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

MS. KERR: Okay. Great.

DR. PERRY: Sonya, I think money

4 | matters. I mean, I don't think money necessarily

5 | guarantees that you're going to have equal

6 | educational opportunity, but certainly -- you

7 know, I've been studying a teacher who,

8 unfortunately, just left the City to go teach in

the suburbs who is just a fantastic teacher and,

in her class, she had 700 books. A high school

11 | teacher. The school didn't buy those books. She

12 bought the books. And they were titles that she

13 knew that would motivate predominately black kids

14 | to want to read. And as one of the students in

her class was going to the next class, she said,

16 "I looked in the class and the teacher had

17 | notebooks and I was wondering if I was going to

18 lose my desire to read since I didn't have books

19 | all around."

1

2.

10

15

20 So money/resources matter. Books

21 matter. The presence of computers. Another

22 school where kids were writing their papers on

23 | their Sidekicks -- you know, you guys know the

24 little phone with the Sidekicks -- because they

25 didn't have enough computers in the school to sit

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 and write their papers.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

I think the fact that you don't have a library in a school is significant. I think that you could have these things and -- and just several miles away, that you could have Newton North open a \$200 million school. That's significant.

So I think that -- I think one of the interesting things, some of my friends have said that Obama is running the Department of Education like it's a foundation rather than writing education policy. You know, what if we could get money that would define what is required for a school building to operate, what labs should be like, what the computer equipment should be like? So, what if we can really define what's necessary, the resources that are necessary -- not sufficient -- for us to begin to pursue quality education? And I think that, by and large, everything that's going on in education is a distraction from the fact that we have such gross inequalities within districts and between districts.

(Applause.)

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

MS. KERR: Okay. Thank you,

3 Dr. Perry.

Another concern with respect to students with disabilities and African-American students is the question of whether -- and I'm not sure exactly if we have statistics, per se, on this, but I'm wondering, particularly Umar and Dr. Perry, with respect to African Americans, and also Martin, with respect to students with disabilities, is Pennsylvania, you know, doing a worse job in terms of segregating kids than other states?

I know you gave the one statistic,
Martin, but I'd like you to elaborate in terms of,
overall, are Philadelphia schools, Pennsylvania
schools just more segregated in terms of anybody?
I mean, are we just parceling out, people have to
go here or there because of some characteristic?

MR. JOHNSON: Pennsylvania and Texas lead America in terms of educational disparity by virtue of outcome. The black/white test gap in Pennsylvania and Texas was greater at nearly every grade level than any other state in the country. I think that Pennsylvania is doing a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 slightly worse job than other states. But no one is doing a good job. Only one out of every four black boys will get a diploma in America. Only three out of every black baby who's born will every see the inside of a college. That's nationwide. But it does get worse in certain places.

2.2

In Texas and Pennsylvania, for whatever reason, they tend to lead the country in terms of perpetuating that black/white achievement gap, which mirrors the prison gap as well. Most of the U.S. federal prisons in the northeast corridor of this country are located in the State of Pennsylvania. And, of course, states control education and they control prisons and they spent more money on prisons than education, so it's kind of clear where the focus is.

So Pennsylvania is definitely behind or should I say in front of everyone else in terms of racial disparity and achievement gaps.

MS. KERR: Martin, did you want to make a comment?

MR. ELLIS: I just want to

reiterate the previous comment. I don't have the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

actual statistics of race and disability together.

But I copy that in that, overall, we're 43rd in

the nation, so...

2.2

MS. KERR: Okay. We've heard this morning, we've heard some great speakers about the essential components to create good schools, and I'd like the panel to address, you know, if we know how to teach kids and we know what's possible in terms of instruction, how much of the difficulties in terms of meeting the needs of students with disabilities, students who are African American or Latino, how much of the difficulties are a function of trying to have sort of one-size-fits-all requirements within a school or even within a system.

All charter schools do this or that, you know, people pick particular emphases for charter schools, people have particular curriculum that has to be used district-wide. Is that why we're not making progress? Or if we have the knowledge -- it sounds like we have the knowledge as to why -- or how to educate kids, but we're not getting where we need to be.

MR. JOHNSON: It's the personnel.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

It doesn't matter what curriculum you have. It doesn't matter how much money the school district has. There must be intent on the part of the leadership within that district and the teachers that they hire to teach the students that are in

front of them.

And I think one of the biggest problems in education is that when someone looks to get hired, a teacher or a principal or anyone else, the first question is, "Are you certified?" Which is important. You want them to be competent. But there's never a question as it relates to whether or not you're in this job for the right reasons. And a lot of people are not in the job for the right reasons. A lot of people don't know anything about the children they're teaching, nor do they care to.

But I think a bigger issue is union control of district policy. And in education, it's the only industry where a principal, as the manager, really doesn't have control over their teacher base; and if they have a teacher who's not teaching, it's almost difficult to get rid of them, and most of the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 times they'll just be transferred to another school where they're going to do the same thing they did in your school.

2.

2.2

And, unfortunately, some teachers have so much power that if a principal tries to reprimand them, the principal can end up losing their school, okay, because the teacher is powerful, you know. And so you have to deal with the power of the unions; it's excessive. I think that teachers have to have a right to be represented. I've seen teachers get mistreated, but the power of the union shouldn't be so overwhelming that they can actually stifle educational progress.

I read the President Obama article and I hear him say that we got to get rid of teachers who are not good. And I totally agree. But it's going to be difficult to do that because educator unions have so much power, so much political influence, they dominate elections a lot of times. But until the teacher can be held accountable for how well they learn and until we stop blaming the child -- the problem with education is we assume it's the child's fault that

they're not learning. And that's why special ed is growing, special ed rates are growing as quickly as they are. No one ever says, well, maybe we don't have a good instructor here, maybe they don't have enough experience, maybe they weren't properly trained at the teacher college. Okay? We always assume the child, and we have to start looking at the educator. If they cannot be held accountable for their job, they're not going to teach.

2.

2.2

DR. PERRY: Well, I'm going to disagree, because I think that I am very happy that we have unions in Boston. I know extraordinary teachers who are doing extraordinary jobs. One person in particular recently, Filipino-American woman, she was so good, that when her principal had her talk to all the principals in Boston about how she got her special ed children to make three-year gains in a year, the principal retired and a new principal came in. Because she had assumed a leadership role in the school because the other teachers would come to her, they fired her. She had to only be in school this fall one day to get tenure.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

So all of us who work at school, all the academics, say, "Where is Faye? Where is she?" The principal put out the word on her and she ended up going to Brookline.

My point is that schools -another school in Boston, it was a top school
until it changed, last year, its principal. The
lowest performing school, Orchard Garden, had six
principals in seven years and turned over
50 percent of their teachers every year.

So I do think that the piece of the Chicago Consortium research about the centrality of the leadership is important and whether leadership is able to create a collaborative teaching and learning community.

I'm not -- you know, so my
experience is that for us to disproportionately -just like it has been wrong to say it's the
parents -- you know, we say, "If the corn don't
grow, Daddy, don't ask what's wrong with the
corn" -- you know, I say it's equally wrong to
focus on the most vulnerable part of the education
system, and I think that what's happening in the
larger discourse all over this country is that, at

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

least if other places are like Boston, teachers

are dispirited, and the better ones are the most

dispirited because the conversation is not how do

all of the stakeholders assume responsibility and

work collaboratively and be a social capital so

that schools -- so that kids can achieve.

2.2

I think the philanthropic community is deeply implicated in the field because they have put money primarily in the expert community and not community building the capacity of local communities to not only advocate, but build capacity to hold districts and schools accountable.

If you look at the history of philanthropy in the early part of the 1990's, it was focused on how many black communities build capacity to not only educate their kids, but to hold the powers-that-be accountable.

So I guess, Umar, it's not that I don't know teachers who don't teach -- I know -- and the other thing, I think it's teacher capacity, too, because if you look at districts like Berkeley, California and Cambridge,

Massachusetts, they say they intend to teach and I

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 know they intend to teach. Many of them don't have the capacity to offer -- they don't know how to offer high quality instruction to any kind except a white middle class kid who comes to their classroom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELLIS: I would just add that one of the problems is the usual community activist problem, and that is vested interests and the way things have always been done. I mean, the cutting edge in special ed is moving so quickly, I'm kind of embarrassed to put up grants that have people labeled by their disability. That's so old school. We shouldn't be thinking in terms of, oh, this person has mental retardation, this person has emotional disturbance. Really, it's what does this student need to learn? And we need to have whatever it takes for that particular student, whatever their mix of abilities or characteristics That's the cutting edge. That's what will area. move us forward rather than sticking to the old -you know, the old things.

MS. KERR: Okay. Very good. The minute clock came up and I think we have a few minutes for questions, if anyone has questions.

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

2.5

I'm going to give the microphone to Jeremy so he can walk around with it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As far as segregating students, isn't there something to be said about focusing on special needs and having the expertise, the special expertise, concentrated to deal with special needs?

MR. ELLIS: Well, that is one of the rationales that you get, people justify segregation, but the correct response to that is, you know, all the research shows that inclusive environments are better for everyone than a segregated setting.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, two examples that I have are in my own family where dyslexia runs rampant. And my nephew and my cousin's son, they went to special schools for dyslexia and one graduated from Temple University, computers -- in computers, and the other one graduated from Boston university and he became a line backer for Boston College based on having attended special elementary and high school that focused on dyslexia.

MS. KERR: So the question is

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 posed in terms of students attending special private or approved schools for students with dyslexia. Umar?

2.2

MR. JOHNSON: The reason why inclusion is so important is because research shows that a child with a disability will learn better and more efficiently if they're given the opportunity to learn with nondisabled peers. There's a natural motivation that comes out of a child when they're surrounded by other children who can do a little bit better than they can.

Another reason why you need inclusion is you have to make sure that the child with the IEP is not falling so far behind that they're beginning to lose too much footing with their original class that they began the school year with.

See, when you give a child an IEP, you're reducing the educational expectation.

That's a major shift. Okay? If a child is in the fourth grade and they're no longer on the fourth grade curriculum because they have an IEP, okay, then they're no longer expected to keep up. So if they're no longer expected to keep up, how are you

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

going to make sure that the gap between them and their peers doesn't get worse than what it started out as when they first went into special ed?

And, unfortunately, one of the problems with special ed, because the children are segregated as often as they are, you may have been two grade levels behind when you went into special ed, but by the time you graduate, they're like six grade levels behind. And even though a student with a disability may still get a regular high school diploma, that doesn't take away the fact that when they get to college, they're not going to make it through if they were not properly educated. Sometimes we let them cheat because they do graduate with a diploma, but then when it comes to postsecondary education, they're not able to keep up. And even though we spot them for accommodations on a college level, there's no IEP's in college.

DR. PERRY: I think we know that, ideally, the desire is to include students. But we also know, especially students with dyslexia, often, in urban communities, in urban schools, there's not enough expertise in the classroom to

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 really teach them how to read. So -- and that's 3 the case in -- you know, I mean, they don't -- you know, and so I think you're not alone. We have 4 5 some parents who would say, I'd rather my kid go to the Carroll School in Boston, and I know 6 parents who have taken their kids out and kept them there until they merge, like the second or 8 third grade, and then put them back in the 10 Boston -- the point is that inclusion works if you 11 have a teaching force that has a range of 12 capacities that know how to support the 13 achievement and development of all the children. 14 (Applause.) 15 MS. KERR: Okay. Another question 16 over here. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'll be 18 quick. I'd like to take advantage of food for 19 thoughts. There could be several people in a room 20 who are involved in school funding reform efforts 21 in Pennsylvania for basic subsidy and for special

others that there is an apparent contradiction or

education. Some progress on both fronts.

occasionally pointed out by policymakers and

conflict between arguing against segregated

2.2

23

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 services and for segregated monies, arguing for inclusion, but also arguing for a special line item for special education services. Could you just speak to that issue?

1.3

2.2

MR. ELLIS: Yes. And I would say wouldn't it be wonderful if all children were considered the same and they got the funding they needed in that school? But that's not where we are now and this is part of the old ways of the old system. Unfortunately, this is the system we have to work with right now. It doesn't mean to say that's the ideal. And, you know, wouldn't it be great if like in ten years' time, we wouldn't think in terms of regular ed and special ed? It's all regular Ed, and it's all regular ed funding. That would be great.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you,

I just wanted to make a couple comments as

somebody who was a teacher in the Philadelphia

School District for more years than I care to

remember and was a supporter of inclusion. And as

I still see it, there's two problems: One is a

lack of leadership, and the other does have to do

with resources.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

1.3

2.2

And the lack of leadership, I would note, I saw inclusion come without any serious effort to engage classroom teachers in a discussion about what that meant, give them the tools and the training to do an effective job.

And secondly, the resources, I
mean, just to give a concrete example, my last
year of teaching, I had 33 students, eight of them
were special education students, six of whom I
felt I could effectively address their needs, but
two who I didn't want them out of my classroom,
but I wanted their needs addressed, and frankly, I
was incapable of doing so by myself without any
additional support. And I think that's a story
many other teachers can echo.

And I would, finally, just want to include that I think training needs to include a really serious anti-racist training that would challenge stereotypes about African American children and other children of color.

And one final point in terms of the union question, I just point out that the states that have the lowest student achievement are the states with the weakest unions and vice

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 versa.

2.2

MS. KERR: All right. I think
we'll stop with that. And thank you. I think
you're still teaching. Thank you very much to all
our panelists.

MR. JOSEPH: Just a couple of things before we break for a well-deserved lunch. Number one is that if you are leaving now and not staying, we would very much appreciate your filling out the evaluation forms. And in Session I, ironically, the person who presented the study from Chicago that was to be the focus of the entire session -- she's going to think it's Session II -- Penny Sebring is not listed. So if you would please add her to the form and then your evaluations and turn them in when you leave, that would be great.

The second thing is that some of our speakers and related groups have books for sale out in the gathering room where you started, where you registered. Two of them -- in fact, one of them was written by the Public Interest Law Center, PILCOP, and a second one, A Quality Education For Every Child; Stories From the

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Lawyers on the Front Lines, is out there. And also Penny Sebring's book that she talked about is there, as well, for purchase.

Those people may not be there for the entire lunch period, so if you're really

interested in buying the books, I suggest that you go there first. But with that, we will break for a half hour lunch, and thank you.

(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was had from 12:48 p.m. to 1:17 p.m.)

MR. JOSEPH: I'm ready to turn the program over to another of our staff members.

Adam is going to be introducing our speaker from Brown University. And as a relative of many Brown graduates, I'm positive that Dr. Simmons will be spectacular. Adam?

MR. CUTLER: Thanks, Don. And thanks, again, to everybody for being here today. I'm Adam Cutler, on staff here at the law center, and I manage our environmental practice. We do have some intersections with the educational world, which is -- and so it's always nice to be here. You can ask those synergistically.

But I'm here today to introduce

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 Dr. Warren Simmons. He is the director at the

3 | Annenberg Institute for School Reform at Brown

4 University. Before joining the institute, he was

5 an executive director of the Philadelphia

6 | Education Fund, so many of you may know him from

7 that experience --

8

14

17

(Applause.)

9 MR. CUTLER: -- where he supported

10 district-wide and was first to implement

11 standards-based reform. Dr. Simmons earned his

12 | Ph.D. in psychology from Cornell, received his BA

13 | from Macalester College in St. Paul, Minnesota,

and he's on the boards of several national and

15 | local organizations, including the Merck

16 Institute, the Campaign for Educational Equity,

and College Crusade of Rhode Island.

18 Dr. Simmons recently received the

19 | National Governors' Association Distinguished

20 | Citizen award for his contribution to education

21 reform nationally and in Rhode Island, and

22 Dr. Simmons is here today to talk about the

23 | federal involvement in this issue.

24 With that, we welcome Dr. Warren

25 | Simmons.

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 (Applause.)

3 MR. SIMMONS: Thank you. Thank

4 you. Are there any questions?

5 (Laughter.)

MR. SIMMONS: You know, I have

7 | like 20 slides that I usually do. I was going to

8 | show them. And then I said, no, you've seen

9 enough slides. We're going to have some more of a

10 | conversation. So please hold me to that.

11 | I was asked to answer the

12 | following question: What is Washington doing and

13 does it help or hurt? The answer to it -- to that

14 question is: It could help more and some of what

15 | they're doing has the real potential to do some

16 | serious damage. All right. Any questions?

17 | And let me tell you how I arrived

18 at that answer. I spend time, as a result of

19 being at the Annenberg Institute, in several

20 | layers of education reform conversations. Part of

21 what we do at the institute is we support

23

25

22 community organizing and engagement, and so we

provide technical assistance to community-based

24 organizations, who often ask the question: Is

what the superintendent doing going to be any good

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

for the kids in my communities and schools? And

that really grew out of the work at the Cross City

4 | Campaign, where I met many of you over almost 20

5 years ago. Right? So that's one source of the

6 work we do.

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Another source of the work we do is called district reform and leadership. And in that setting of work, I spend my time with school board leaders, urban superintendents and leaders of teachers' unions, who are formulating reform strategies that are asking the questions: What those guys in Washington are doing, is that really going to help us out, how do we understand that and how do we speak to that.

And then another part of how I spend my time, because we are the Annenberg Institute and people think we are a philanthropy foundation, is I talk to people at the Gates Foundations goals, Merck and others, who ask my advice and counsel on what they should be doing, and I also chair the National Superintendents, and so I speak to 11 or 12 superintendents and we meet about twice a year.

So, I mean, these different

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2 layers -- national, state, and district and 3 community conversations -- and there are some significant disconnects going on that pose 4 5 challenges to our nation's states, which are to get all students to proficiency, however that's 6 defined, and now it's defined primarily by standardized tests in English and mathematics, and 8 in new goals, set largely by the Gates Foundation, 10 people setting (inaudible) to make students 11 college ready. And then the question becomes: 12 Well, what kind of students do we need to get 1.3 college ready, and who defines that? Right now 14 the people defining it are professors and universities are defining what that means. 15 16 So at the national level, we have 17 been defining the standards and setting timelines 18 for change, and the result is that the 19 conversation is dominated by leaders and it's very 20 leader centered, and it is very -- not very much 21 community centered. Right? 2.2 So let's talk about the implications of having a leader-centered dialogue 23 24 about education reform that's dominated primarily

by corporate, philanthropic, political and media

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
elites, who can actually convene themselves
regularly because they exist and work in groups of
50. Right? 50 governors, 50 chief state school
officers, the President and assistants and
deputies, and then the four or five foundations
that are supporting, you know, that agenda.

2.2

So they have a set of frames, and there's a wonderful word that I learned from a lawyer back in Memphis, who I just met going to New Orleans, this notion of "cartographers," who are the people who are drawing the maps, and how do they represent reality and where are they misrepresenting reality?

is warped, first of all, by the fact that we have a federal governance system in education which differentiates the role of the federal government, state government and local government. Right? So the feds say, well, our role in education is very limited. Right? We only provide about ten percent of the money. The only thing we can dictate is what the standards are, and we can't even do that directly. We have to have national voluntary organizations, and our roles are also

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 around assessment. Right?

2.

2.2

So the levers that the federal government feels are primarily available to them are the standards levers and the assessments levers. And their theory of action has been, since standards-based reform, is if we define the standards and we develop assessments, we can strengthen accountability so at least we can identify the good schools from the bad schools and we can reward the good schools, punish the bad schools, and then that will lead to system change given the responsibilities we have at the federal level.

So it's no surprise, then, that the Obama administration's four-point framework emphasizes standards and assessments, emphasizes improving teacher and principal effectiveness, but the leverage they use to do that are sanctions and rewards. Give more money through merit pay to good teachers; fire the bad teachers. Be silent about building professional capacity because that's not the federal government's role, right? So when they say we recognize the importance of teacher/principal equity and effectiveness, they

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 focus on the narrow banner of incentives and sanctions and merit pay for that. Capacity building is not their role. That's the state's role and that's the local role.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And then, of course, the federal government emphasizes data. Right? If you're going to have an authentic accountability system, you have to have data and you have to use data, and recognize the need to turn around low performance schools, but, again, in their mind, they can't get into the teaching and learning because that's a state and local responsibility. So as far as we can go, we can just push people to at least change the people in the places. Get rid of the principals, fire half the teachers, change the management organization and restart transformation by turnaround. That is a unique product of our government system because other countries that have national systems actually don't respond to this problem in that way. Right?

So one of the things we have to ask ourselves about in this country, and I think we're starting to ask ourselves about this not only in education, but also in the nature of the

symposium - September 30, 2010
economy and border democracy, is our federal
government system up to the task of producing
results at scale?

1.3

2.2

And I think that, you know, because I've been in this business with many of the people in this room, Theresa Perry, Asa Hilliard and others, for a whole 20 years, I'm basically beginning to -- the answer to that question is actually no, because there isn't a degree of conversation between the national, state and local. The national and state and prescriptions are basically almost uninformed by the conversations that people are having locally.

Because I just come from New
Orleans, New York, Boston, Chicago, headed to San
Diego, Berkeley, and the conversations I have
locally aren't about this set of levers entirely.
They're about these levers plus. So let me finish
these levers: Standards assessments,
accountability, citizen sections, data use,
alternative staffing and school governance models
and plus, fixing low performance schools, and now
the Government, to its credit, is putting its
money where its mouth is. There are going to be

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 billions of dollars, both public and private, 2 3 flowed to states to race to the top, as someone already described, through the New Innovation Fund 4 5 and through school improvement grants, which are 6 already out the door in many states to support turning around schools where black students are highly likely to be present, along with Latinos, 8 where most of the schools are in cities and urban 10 areas, although some are in rural and suburban 11 areas, and yet the options don't speak to the 12 broader issues that you saw reflected in the 13 consortiums piece, in the research of Theresa 14 Perry and the studies of high schools and even on 15 the system of questions that Torch presented because, actually, if you look at the turnaround 16 17 strategy, it's all about change within schools and structural change within schools, silent on the 18 19 role of the district in supporting this and 20 deepening this, silent on the role of the states and silent on the role of the community. And so 21 2.2 there are some stark missing ingredients in this 23 reform approach.

exclusively views reform as a technical

First of all, it almost

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 enterprise, not a political, social and cultural enterprise as well. And so if you view this solely from a technical standpoint, there's no need to engage community, because the expertise doesn't exist in community. You want to share with the community what you, as the expert, know should be done, but, actually, you don't need to spend a lot of time talking to community because you guys don't know what to do. If you did, you would have done it already and talking to you slows me down. Right?

The leaders are three-year time Maybe four. And those time setters are determined by the length of their contracts and their political life spans. Right? That's their timeframe, and no matter who they are and no matter when they arrive, they have a sense of urgency that they have to get this work done and produce measurable results in three to four years And that's the mind set of the leaders, and quite frankly, I'm not criticizing them for that mind set. That's a reality for them. The contract exists, the mayor who I'm responding to is only going to be around for four years, I got

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

to produce some results, and talking to you people is going to divert me from my task. And what are the most available levers I can apply to produce the results? Which, for the most part, over the last 20 years, by the way, have been successful in getting kids from below basic to basic. Right? And then the tests are recalibrated and a new generation of kids arrive and we find ourselves who are, again, below basic and we get them to basic. And this recalibration, this bouncing back between below basic and basic has been going on for the last two decades, which is why successive superintendents can all declare success. Mostly by the way of the elementary level, silent on the high schools. Right?

Why in the world would the consortium focus on the elementary schools? Look at Chicago high schools. Look at the Philadelphia high schools. I can tell you the four high schools that are probably failing in Philadelphia are probably the same four high schools that were failing when I was here 15 years ago. Right? I can tell you that right now without any data or research behind it.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1

2.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Nonetheless, there are some new It does take a system to reach scale, which, unfortunately, doesn't seem to be a lesson we want to acknowledge in this country. We look at other countries, they have systems of education. When I go to the U.K., which I do go for over eight schools in London and eight schools in New York, the problems I present to them are almost unfathomable. Right? It's a national system. We can set the standards. We can develop the standards. We can get the higher education community to teach these standards. And, in fact, we can have the technology and infrastructure so that, in fact, teachers can see the standards in the curriculum and, in fact, can engage and augment it. And I've actually seen it in schools. Right?

So when we say a national curriculum, you know, Americans in a position of assistance think that means somebody up here knows the curriculum that people in Washington have set.

No. They have expertise in schools developed in their national curriculum and then augment it because they have the technology and the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 infrastructure to do so. And they convene people 2. 3 across schools and across the country and they visit internationally and they do lots of things 4 5 to build professional learning communities and trust and they have their problems. But they 6 have, at least, a national infrastructure organized behind what they say they're doing. 8 Right?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We seem to be avoiding what the system is that we have to build to get us to the results, and the people on the -- and I don't even know how to describe the political orientations anymore: Neoliberal, neoconservative. But none of us, and I've been here -- except for Torch, we shouldn't be silent on what's the system. can't simply be done in schools alone, school by school, because you won't get there. That's my conclusion, you won't get there. And when I hear people in school after school, from Boston to New Orleans with charters and education management and organizations say, eventually, and it's usually within two years, now we need to talk to each other to learn from each other, and there's no mechanism at the system level to do that. Right?

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

2.5

No support for conversations across schools among teacher leadership, principal leadership and even central office leadership for how we learn how to do this work better over time. That's a system conversation that, apparently, we seem to have avoided that other countries perhaps their cultures and values don't avoid.

So at least we are now in some forums talking about what's the district or system look like, and I will take credit for the Annenberg Institute for starting that conversation in the Task Force for the Future of Urban Systems. And there are three theories of action about what the system looks like.

One theory of action actually was popular with Obama before he became mister portfolio schools, which he actually isn't. He's managed instruction. What he did in Chicago was to do a decentralization. The first work of decentralization is local school counselors, which is community centered, not necessarily school centered. Right? It brings communities into the governance of schools and helps schools plan.

When Paul had said, "That's not

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

going to get us where we need to get very quickly
in the span of my leadership, " and so he
introduced managed instruction. He established a
district-wide curriculum in mathematics and
science and became very top down and directed.

That's one path. And there are other methods that
are connected to that path as well.

2.

2.2

Another path, professional learning communities. The way you build and design a system is to encourage teacher collaboration with principal leadership and share data within schools and across schools. And my colleagues, Joan Talbert and Willie McLaughlin and Torch and others have had adherence to that sort of professional learning community, and I think the Chicago Consortium research echoes that in terms of what it looks like in the schools, but it's sort of silent about what district supports are necessary for that to spring to life across schools and lead to reform at scale, for some reason.

The other model that seems to be highly popular today is the portfolio of schools approach. Now, this model says, well, schools

1 2 3

23 24

25

19

20

21

22

should be about the business of knowing how to improve teaching and learning and that's the job of teachers and principals. Right? And the job of the district is actually not to dictate what teaching and learning should be, but only to set the standards, collect the data, hold schools accountable. When they don't do well, close them down and restart them. Right? And if the expertise doesn't reside inside the system, we will resort to providers and partners who do so. Right? That's the portfolio of schools. district, as an organization, it provides data and standards, run some schools themselves, but when schools falter and fail, you bring in outside, external partners, and support a relationship agreement outside of -- it's an argument that's authentic in that it believes that the districts, as they currently exist, really don't have the capacity to support performance scale, so you narrow their responsibilities and you broaden their partnerships. But, again, for that model to succeed, an infrastructure has to be with it and

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

establish relationships with communities and a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 discussion about what that infrastructure is and 2. 3 looks like, particularly in schools serving disadvantaged students from neighborhoods that 5 have been distressed and ignored and disinvested in, has to be had for any of these models to have 6 traction. Now, I would also say that in most 8 of the districts I've worked in, because of the 9 10 leadership turnover, all three models are in place 11 to some degree. Right? 12 (Laughter.) 13 DR. SIMMONS: So it's like New 14 York. You know, I've seen New York, over the last 15 few years, who were applying the managed 16 instruction move to professional learning 17 communities and now going to portfolios of 18 schools, at least as its frame. But, in fact, if 19 you look at what the people are doing, there are 20 still people who have the old adherence to 21 coalition in professional learning communities, 2.2 and that's people asking for a new curriculum. 23 Right? 2.4 So, you know, depending on the frame of the leaders and the supporters, this work 25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

can be described as portfolio or could be described as professional learning community. And I would say, quite frankly, if you think about the system that supports differentiated approaches, it's probably the case that you have to have an integration of all three of these approaches to serve the range of needs and resources that exists in communities and schools.

But here's what I also see happening at a national level:

2.2

One is an agreed-upon recognition that these three approaches are, first of all, not mutually exclusive; and second of all, as we've seen recently in New York City, we don't seem to erase achievement gaps, and we don't seem to be getting from basic to proficient. We seem to be successful at getting from below basic to basic and recycling that.

The other issue is the approach coming from the national level down. As I said earlier, it doesn't pay much attention to the political, social and cultural dimensions of reform. It also doesn't pay attention, given the states are now in the driver's seat with the money

and the definition of the strategy of becoming successful, of the enormous lack of capacity that exists at the state level and the historical tensions -- political, social and cultural -- between state education agencies and state government and urban school districts and school boards and school committees. Historical issues about race connected with inequitable distribution of funding.

2.

2.2

So those guys that you've been suing for the last 20 years or 30 years -- how long have you guys been suing those guys? They're now in the driver's seat. They have your money. Well, your state doesn't have it, but some other states have it. And they're in the drivers's seats, and they're able to dictate from the frames that they use it. How you are going to use your resources? And their frames lack this community-centered, political, social, cultural analysis.

And so, if you look at the recent ETS report on the black/white achievement gap and when the progress stopped, oddly, it stopped at the rise of the corporate elite's view of

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2. education reform with the nation at risk, that 3 when this country was investing poorly, inadequately and corruptly in model cities and 4 5 investing in narrowing achievement gaps and 6 poverty, we seem to have made more progress in narrowing the achievement gap as measured by the National Assessment of Educational Progress than 8 we have made since 1990 and the present time. 10 And, oh, by the way, another announcement shows 11 that while the results were stagnant between 1990 12 and 2000, they have widened with the inception of 13 "No Child Left Behind." Right? So when you ratch 14 it up and now we're moving away from standards with essentially accountability and assessment 15 16 driven reform, the results are even worse. Right? 17 So the recognition of this in 18 Washington -- but their interpretation of the 19 problem is somewhat different from the DTS point 20 of view, from the consortium's point of view, from 21 Theresa and Asa Hilliard's point of view. 2.2 of communities, we have to have a reform agenda that also builds on the social capital and 23 24 intellectual capital in schools. It has to be built in the neighborhoods as well. 25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

And so, in fact, we not only need a school reform strategy that thinks about system building within schools and within districts, we have to have a broader education and community development strategy mounted in cities that connects that cross networks of schools and reaches into neighborhoods and faith-based organizations and community-developed organizations to provide the supports inside and outside the school that catapulted me, by the way, who grew up in East Harlem and attended Brandeis High School, which is one of the worst schools in New York City for a long time. Right?

And I got into college, in large part, due to the East Harlem College and Career

part, due to the East Harlem College and Career Counseling Program that had arts and recreation programs and college counseling programs, much like those run by the Philadelphia Education Fund and other college access programs around the country, that allowed me to overcome, change my own view of the world, more successfully work with my schools, and now, the latest label that I learned from Bob Balfrins, who's been doing some analysis of the resistance strategies that student

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 use.

1.3

2.2

One of the obvious resistance strategies that students use going through the school system that increasingly ignores them and underdevelops them is you drop out, anybody with any sense doesn't subject themselves to that.

Anybody with a lot of aggressiveness, you know, drops out and, I think, has a lot of help with that.

I had a guidance counselor who told me very early on that I should drop out, that I was not college material, and I should just go to work. That was in my junior year of high school. Right? But I wasn't one of those people. I'm now one of the people that Bob Balfrins, who runs Town Development, said he has a whole set of pain-in-the-ass indicators. Right?

(Laughter.)

MR. SIMMONS: That there are a group of kids in schools, in that wonderful way, who don't resist by dropping out, they resist by becoming pains in the ass. Right? They do enough to stay in school, but they resist openly by staying. They get suspended sometimes. They

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

don't show up in class. They cut the first period for the teacher who's drunk, but they go to the second period and get an A for the teacher who engages them.

2.

2.2

2.5

So they have a portfolio of responses and a mix of grades, which I had. I had A's and 95's and I had zeros. You know, and so people couldn't make -- they didn't know what to make of me. I was saved by the fact that I had a high SAT score and I graduated in '69, when America's small elite colleges said to get rid of the riots, we got to take some of these kids out of the ghetto and put them in schools so that's what gentrified my whole generation, we arrived in colleges and become the people who we are today.

So there was a community-centered analysis at some point in our nation's history. Politics and successor republican Presidents changed that frame and the current corporate philanthropy has a narrow lens. It comes from their perspectives in their worlds as cartographers and how they see the world. I don't think we can change that perspective.

The challenge before us is how do

1

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 we, as a community, develop our own agenda and speak powerfully that perspective? Because what I've also seen, that I hold all of us who are in this room collectively accountable for, is an amazing degree to which all of this work we do over 10 to 20 years of time in our careers, we are prone to set aside when a new leader arrives in town. It amazes me. Right? A new leader arrives in town, and it doesn't even matter who it is or the quality of their strategy, and we allow, from the mayor to the City council, school board and teacher union leadership, by the way, and grass roots organizations demonstrate here's what we're going to do now and you line up with this, despite all the research behind the work that you've done. And I've seen this in community after community after community. It damages and undermines sustainability and it leaves us susceptible to voiding the system building infrastructure that takes a longer period of time than the school -individual school change can occur. Right? This building of the system around those schools is a larger endeavor than building the infrastructure in those individual schools, even though that's a

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

- 2 | hard and difficult slug. Right?
- 3 | So ladies and gentlemen, my
- 4 suggestion to you and the conversation I'd like to
- 5 have with you, because I need to close this,
- 6 because I promised to be briefer than I
- 7 intended -- I've got like 20 more slides --
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 MR. CUTLER: You have at least 15
- 10 | more minutes.
- MR. SIMMONS: Good. I'm going to
- 12 tell you my thinking about what the infrastructure
- 13 looks like. We have to build a community-centered
- 14 | platform for reform that interacts with the
- 15 | leader-centered platform that currently exists,
- 16 and responds to and expands the current narrow set
- of frames that may be necessary, but are
- incomplete and, to some extent, they're misguided,
- 19 typically, in certain communities. Right?
- 20 | So I want to tell you what that
- 21 | platform should look like. I think it actually
- 22 | should be built around, with some modifications
- 23 and discussions, the five essential components of
- 24 | the consortium's work. But addressing the
- 25 | question of what kind -- what's the role of the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

system? And I don't want to use the term

"district," because once I say the word

"district," certain people in this room and in the

philanthropic community only conjure up the

dinosaur that never worked and served anybody

2.2

well. Right?

But it's interesting that the colleges and the charter community are now talking systems. They're not talking about the traditional; they're trying to reimagine a new set of supports that charter schools have to have so that they can produce results at scale and not replicate the normal distribution of some of the schools, a lot of the mediocre ones and some horrible ones, because they're just catching up with them, and they're going to be in the same boat as many of you have been.

So if you start with that
framework of essential supports, you might augment
it. You might even highlight the community ties
more and the need for extended learning
opportunities and the role of faith-based,
community-based organizations. But if you start
with that, then you have to ask yourself, what's

the system that gets that to scale? And particularly pays attention to putting that in place in schools and communities that have been distressed because they've been neglected historically and we failed them over time and every solution we seem to present to those schools is to save students individually and teachers individually and close them down or ship them out to magnet programs or to the suburbs. You know, that's the historical solution we have provided.

2.2

2.5

So if we look at the other countries that we so-called compete against, they seem to pay lots of attention to adequate and equitable school funding, which we sort of leave off. They've got national standards and curriculum, but they focus them on higher learning, inquiry, motivation and technology. They have national teaching policies supporting strong teacher education improvement and funding for teachers, raising salaries, giving teachers time to collaborate. This comes from the work of Linda Darling-Hammond in her latest book, the Flat Earth, or something like that.

So they support ongoing teacher

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

learning, not just within schools, but across
schools. They pursue consistent long term reforms
over decades, ladies and gentlemen, not this
three-year, hmm, we've reached our plateau, let's
throw out what we're doing and try something
different, and they focus on broader community
supports. Right?

Each of these countries that we compare ourselves to -- Finland, Singapore, the U.K., Japan -- they have a social safety net that puts what we call a safety net to shame. Right? They have health care. They have people -- parents, when they're pregnant, they get six months off. They have vacations. They have a social safety net that supports and reenforces the learning and achievement goals that we have in schools.

So I think it's our job -because, nationally, we seem to be pointing to the
results that those countries are achieving, but
not the strategies that they're using. It's our
job, as communities, to not only point to the
results, but to the strategies; and ask ourselves:
What would those strategies look like in our

national government system if they were operationalized? We can't operationalize them nationally because we have a federal system. But could we operationalize them at a state level? Could we operationalize them at a regional level? Or if it's possible, could we even operationalize those sets of strategies at a city level? Again, avoid the word "district" -- right -- because that would just get some of you to resist the idea. At a city level.

2.2

2.5

So, here's my recommendation of what it's going to take to operationalize that strategy that we've seen in other countries that's working at a city level:

You have to have a mechanism at schools that calls for constructive partnership, planning, design and communications. If you're going to expect education people to work with housing people, transportation, health care people and city agencies in some cities, there has to be a mechanism for them to come together to examine data and come up with constructive strategies; and most of the cities I work with, do not have that mechanism. They understand the need for it. They

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

are struggling to build it. San Francisco is.

Providence is.

2.2

Duncan, Chicago, I was part of something called the Mid Size, where I was asked by the mayor -- Mayor Daley, for God's sakes -- and local fundraisers to bring together the school department and the housing department and community and political leaders to redesign supports for schools in the mid south of Chicago in a neighborhood called Bronzeville, so that you have the schools necessary to support economic development and mixed income housing strategy.

And the City had the patience to do that for about four months.

(Laughter.)

MR. SIMMONS: Gates came to town with \$50 million and offered Chicago Renaissance 2010, which is about integrating small schools, the least likely charters disconnected from that large strategy.

And, in fact, the response to the Mid Size effort, although the school system did bump us out of it, was that other communities that

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 saw that model said, "I want that on the west side" and "I want that on the north side." And the Mayor's response was, "Oh, God, everybody now wants to do this. I can't do this."

2.2

And the business community -- and Gates came down with \$50 million. And again, a good example of leadership and performance. The community says we're going to do. Gates is a leader. He comes to town with \$50 million and the mayor, the school superintendent, the director of housing, business leaders, all basically said, "Okay, we're going to do this now." Right? Just boom. Just that fast.

So, in essence, how can we, who represent communities, not respond if you have a stronger cross-sector partnership with Gates, with Merck, with Annenberg, with any funding that comes to town that says, "This is what we want to do"? You have a set of constituencies and a plan in place to say, "We might do some on that, but here's what we've been doing and here are the results that we've obtained."

Secondly, in addition to the cross-sector partnerships you have to -- as

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Deborah Meier said -- you have to have a broader set of outcomes. And maybe feds, given a limited role, can be consistent and focus solely on mathematics and English. That doesn't mean you have to do it in your community.

1.3

2.2

One of the things that I've said when we've brought standards down to the development in Philadelphia, was when you brought it to the local level, people said, no, we don't need standards just in English and mathematics. We need it in arts, in social studies and world history and world languages. It was a whole range and in keeping with a discussion about standards, when it was local as opposed to when it was conducted and formulated at the national level, and so you need that mechanism to respond to a national vision and a state vision of what we're after to one that says, hey, what we're after locally, we're going to put our resources behind locally.

Final -- a few things I'm going to say and then I'm going to stop because, I mean, I can go on for another 30 minutes, is this work has to be community and family centered and not just

individually oriented. The bias of the national perspective in corporate philanthropy is the problem in educating the individual student and working with the individual teacher and individual principal. They don't see the cross communities of learning, either at the adult level or the cross communities of learning at the student level.

2.2

2.5

And, in fact, I would say I am a product of that strategy and I am a demonstration of its success and its failure. Because what they did was they succeeded in taking me out of that community and educating me. I'm now happy to say I have enough money to retire successfully, we're building a house. What they didn't understand was what they were behind and so people like me and Jeffrey are out of Harlem. Right?

And they had no strategy for dealing with the greater concentration of poverty and dysfunction when they took people like me and Theresa and Jeffrey to Bowdoin, to Macalester -- I don't know where you went -- and left behind a community that was weakened as a result.

To Jeff's credit, he went back and

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 spent the next 20 years of his career, unlike me, building a base. Most of us didn't. And most of 3 us, when we had children, took them to the suburbs 4 5 to go to school. So we need a strategy that recognizes, as the consortiums we're in today, 6 that this is not fixing simply individuals and individual teachers and individual schools, but 8 there are some communities and neighborhoods that 10 over 20 years have been -- they've been 11 disinvested in. And to get from basic to 12 proficient is going to require an enormous 13 investment of capital, of fiscal resources and social and cultural resources, and that's the 14 conversation that hasn't been had in New Orleans 15 16 or Chicago or New York because it's focused on the 17 individual school, individual teacher, individual 18 student. And you are responsible, ladies and 19 gentlemen, for the people who live in these places 20 for 20 or 30 years of your lives, for shifting 21 that debate. 2.2 I think it calls for more action 23 research organizations. I think it calls for more

engagement, not the media communications stuff

of an investment in community organizing

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 that we see happening where everybody goes to see 3 a movie and you get the money behind it. genuine dialogues in communities with teachers and 4 5 their union leadership and district leadership and political leadership to develop a 6 community-centered plan to respond to the enormous power that we are currently faced with by 8 corporate philanthropy and now by your own tax 10 dollars, ladies and gentlemen, are being leveraged 11 by corporate philanthropy to impose, I'd say, an 12 agenda that may have some of the necessary 13 ingredients for success, but really is absent the focus on community, on culture, on race and 14 ethnicity and a border set of developing 15 16 investments that are necessary. 17 If we don't respond, ladies and 18 gentlemen, I can tell you what's going to happen 19 in the next two or three years. If Obama gets 20 reelected, we're going to look at the results of 21 all this money and the Republicans are going to

We all created and were involved,

Deborah Meier.

say, "You did what? You spent how much money?

the Annenberg Challenge, right?

You invested how much?" Because that was part of

22

23

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
many of us, in the Annenberg Challenge. The
public frame from the right was, you know, we let
a guy who was a Republican get swayed by these
radical liberals to pursue this little small

schools agenda and it didn't produce any results.

We are never going to let that happen again.

Now, fortunately, it didn't matter if they didn't do that because we also got the Gates Foundation to invest in small schools in many of the same places with many of the same people. And then another four years passed and the people were incensed and they said, "They didn't get that we were never going to let that happen again."

So I now am having conversations in small rooms, and I don't know how I keep getting invited, but where these corporate billionaires keep talking to each other and they agree on who they're going to invest in and who they're not going to invest in, and they have their own data generation machine that produces the results that they want to see, and unless we develop infrastructures and mechanisms to respond to that at the local level, we are going to be

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 blamed for the lack of results that this next 2. 3 round of reform is eventually going to produce and we will be subject to and vulnerable to the next 4 5 set of leaders who come with the next set of prescriptions funded by and framed by those 6 7 cartographers, billions of dollars at their disposal, and control of the media. And I don't 8 9 want to live through that again.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. CUTLER: Thank you,

Dr. Simmons. That was terrific. Do we have time for Q and A, Don? A couple of questions? Five minutes? We have five minutes.

MR. CHURCHILL: Prescription is wonderful. It also sounded to me like it's really like saying it's going to take a long time to do these things -- the money, the supports, et cetera -- that would make these changes. It seems to me what's driving public support to the extent that there is some of the alternative corporate agenda that you describe is the desire to have something done faster; that, how are we -- you know, we need success. Our kids are there now.

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2 And what are we going to do that gets us a change 3 now? How can you deal with that in a way that will -- will not tell people I have to wait 4 5 forever, but also do what needs to be done? 6 MR. SIMMONS: You know, 7 fortunately, the public has demonstrated that it's not as stupid as we thought it was. Right? 8 know, because the whole rationale for the fancy 10 reapproach was urgency. "These communities, these 11 schools, these kids have been devastated. 12 don't have time to talk to anybody. We know what 13 to do. Get out of the way. Let us do it." 14 Right? 15 And what happened? What happened 16 when we went to the ballot box? People understand 17 the need for change, but they also know when 18 change is -- I think we'll just all use the label 19 authentic. Right? And if it's not going to be 20 authentic, then your urgency loses credibility. 21 Right? 2.2 And it's also the case that people 23 have not been through local communities, these

of. They've been part of small schools. They've

rounds of reform that all of us have been a part

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
been part of professional learning communities.
They've had standards delivered to them, as we did
in Philadelphia in big, thick books on the front
desk the day before school started. And they've
had money poured down them in some cases, and they
haven't seen change.

2.2

I think many people in communities understand this is a longer term effort than we give them credit for understanding. And they're willing -- they're more willing than we give them credit for, to be engaged for the long term, if we build a mechanisms to hear them and have them collaborate for a long term. If there aren't any mechanisms for that, then they will ride the three-year cycles of change and they will, you know, deal with the compromise, knowing that that three-year cycle, we'll help some of these kids at stake, but not all. Right?

That's their desperate charter school phenomenon. So allowing the kind of system that we build to educate all kids fairly and equitably, but it's inherently inequitable, but that presentation shows you desperation in the absence of a mechanism that guarantees that, over

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 time, we build a system that will work. So build 3 mechanisms of community organizing and engagement and people will give you more time. 4 5 I will try to measure the length 6 of my answers going forward. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Are there any cities that are beginning to do this more? 8 9 DR. SIMMONS: People always ask 10 that question. The answer is: For a period of 11 time. 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 13 DR. SIMMONS: And they get swept 14 aside by the new round of urgency, the new cycle 15 of -- by the way, remember goals 2000? Somebody 16 raise your hand. We were going to first in the 17 world by when? 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: 2000. 19 DR. SIMMONS: 2000. Did anybody 20 lose their heads when we weren't first in the 21 world? 2.2 (Laughter.) 23 DR. SIMMONS: Well, most people who did were people in the schools. Students and 24

some teachers and some principals lost their

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

heads. Did anybody at the top lose their heads?

So this is unequal accountability that we have going. Accountability seems to be going down, but it doesn't go up. Right?

2.

2.2

So another thing that the communities have to argue for is: How do you build reciprocal accountability systems? Right?
"I will do this in exchange for these kind of scores from you; and then if we fail, here are the consequences I face and here are the consequences that you're going to face." So reciprocal accountability systems as articulated by the Cross City Campaign for Urban School Reform.

So have cities done this? I can point to Chicago, for a brief period of time. I can point to Rhode Island, Urban Education Task Force. I can point to Mobile, Alabama, The Public Education Fund Network has had many organizations that convene these conversations -- The Philadelphia Education Fund -- but have we ever sustained it? Children achieving wasn't simply David's ten points. The flesh and bones of those ten points were articulated by work groups organized by the community, as I recall. Right?

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is

3 true.

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different.

DR. SIMMONS: So then we slam the leader and we pushed aside that mechanism and allowed another leader to come in and we say, no, seriously, he's going to say something very

So the answer to the question,

"Has a community ever done this before," well, how

come those guys don't answer that question, by the

way? I mean, that doesn't seem to stop them. Has

anybody done it before? It makes sense to me.

Let's do it. I mean, I could give more evidence

to support --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just wanted a model; that's all.

DR. SIMMONS: There's more evidence for the work that we do than I see behind the hundreds and millions and billions of dollars that are driving those forced school turning options.

So the other problem that we face, ladies and gentlemen, is, you know, if I was a multibillionaire, which I'm not, but I had like

\$50, \$60 million and I sat in this room and surreptitiously I said, I'm going to listen to these people and then based on what they do, I'll give them \$100 million, if I get a clear consensus of what they want to do. I sit in the back room, I've got an open mind, but I don't have a clear consensus on what you want to do. But I hear you critiquing each other more than I do you reaching a consensus about what to do.

When I'm in those rooms with those guys with the millions of dollars, they are certain about what to do and they've echoed and reinforced that. We seem to nitpick with each other more than they do. So I'm going to take my \$100 million and put it behind the guys who are certain about what to do, not this room full of nitpickers and, you know, qualifiers and caveat builders.

Okay. Did I say something wrong?

MR. JOSEPH: We've got one problem

here.

2.

2.2

2.4

DR. SIMMONS: Yeah, what's that?

MR. JOSEPH: That we didn't

schedule you for another hour.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DR. SIMMONS: But you need to schedule yourselves, ladies and gentlemen. What I will say is that all of us are going around the country -- or some of us -- because I was just in this conversation in New Orleans; I'm in this conversation in Rhode Island; I'm in this conversation in New York; I'm in this conversation in Boston; I'm in this conversation in Chicago. And you are around other conversations. These are the kinds of things people are talking about at the local level. We have to create mechanisms to strengthen, define, articulate, make them more powerful, and then push it back up. MR. JOSEPH: With that, I prove that the Brown connection was exactly right, and

he deserves a wonderful round of applause.

(Applause.)

MR. JOSEPH: So this conference keeps getting better and better. It's just Thank you, Warren. fabulous.

Jenny Clarke is now going to introduce the next panel. And while they're coming up, I suggest that we all, in place, stand up and take a stretch and do something because

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 | it's hot, and it's a long day.

3 | - - -

4 (Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding.)

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. JOSEPH: Okay. So we're going to get started again. I am going to manipulate the schedule a little bit, so don't rely on the times that are in your schedule, but we will have -- everybody will have pretty much the same amount of time. I may shave five minutes off of two or three of the remaining sessions.

So with that, I'm turning the podium over to our chief executive of PILCOP, Jenny Clarke, who I might tell you is, with Michael, the brains behind all of this. As you can see, I try to make the trains run on time and I'm a little off. But Jenny, good luck.

MS. CLARKE: Thank you.

Before we start this session, I just want to have an advertisement for the very last session, because we're really going to continue the conversation that Dr. Simmons encouraged us to have about building a platform

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 for continued conversation in this city.

2.

2.2

But for this session, we're going the pick up on another topic that Dr. Simmons touched on, and that is, it's really the same conversation that we've been having all day, but from a different focus, and that is: Why do kids leave school?

We have, today, four experts in the subject, and I'm not going to go into great detail because their bios are on Page 357, but just briefly, we have Kay Kyungsun Yu, who is the chairperson of the Philadelphia Human Relations Commission and has been hearing -- or has been chairing the panels around the City over the last year about school violence in South Philadelphia high schools and around the City. Kay is also the -- on the Task Force on Racial and Cultural Harmony, which was formed by the school district.

We are also very fortunate to have David Lapp, who's the staff attorney from the Educational Law Center, our great partners in Philadelphia on educational law, and David has focused his work on school climate and alternative schools.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

We have -- we're very fortunate to have Courtney Collins Shapiro, who is currently the deputy innovation officer at Mastery Charter School. She's been, I think, quite busy recently raking in money.

(Laughter.)

2.

MS. CLARKE: But she also was formerly at the School District of Philadelphia, and she managed the Multiple Pathways to Graduation Division.

And finally, we are exceptionally honored today to have a student, Brandon Williams, and Brandon is going to talk to us about this subject as well.

So, with no further adieu, I want to just start by pointing you to two studies in your materials which talk about the dropout rates in the School District of Philadelphia and nationally, and I'm not going to go through the numbers. The numbers are terrible. But the numbers really show you that we have to worry about why kids leave school, as well as what goes on in the school. And I recognized it's the same conversation, but the number that really will jump

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 out at you most is the number in the gentrified 3 SHOT report, the 50-state report, on black boys, and that tells you that dropout -- that the number 4 5 of boys who stay in school in the City of 6 Philadelphia is 28 percent. The rest drop out. So those are horrifying numbers, and this topic is one that I hope you pay close attention to. 8 9 So what we're going to do today is 10 we're going to have a little bit of a Q & A, a 11 little bit of presentation, and I hope a great 12 dialogue. 13 So we'll start by asking Courtney 14 to talk to us about why kids leave. Courtney has 15 been in the position of gathering data on that 16 subject, and so she's going to talk to us about 17 what the data shows us about why kids leave 18 school. 19 MS. SHAPIRO: Hi, there. Good 20 It's a little warm in here, right? afternoon. 21 And Brandon could probably speak 22 to this much better than I, so he's going to get a chance to chime in. 23 24 Multiple Pathways at the school

district is basically a place where the district

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 recognizes that young people are dropping out at an alarming rate and trying to figure out how to bring them back to school. So they created a Multiple Pathways to Graduation that's creating different kinds of schools to allow these kids a way to return.

2.2

So just some background in terms of what -- I'm looking at a PowerPoint here, so I will try not to drag on with that, but just some quick snapshots.

So 2600 kids choose to return to school every year from dropout in Philadelphia. It's something called the re-engagement Center. This is where young people can come of their own volition and say, "I'm out of school. I want to come back." We opened it about two years ago. And since we opened it, on their own, with no marketing, no outreach whatsoever, 2600 young people between the ages of 15 and 22 have chosen to walk through the doors to say, "I need to come back to school." This isn't by any stretch of the imagination all of the young people that are out of school, but it's saying something that without any outreach, these young people are choosing to

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 come back.

Somebody has the clicker.

Okay. So I'm going to go through and talk about them. When young people came out, we actually do an intake with them and they say, "Why am I leaving? Why did I leave in the first place? And so there's about 15 different options, and some of them have some subheadings, but the number one reason young people are saying they drop out is family issues. And then there's a subheader of, well, what does that mean? So I put some of those up, the major ones.

32 percent of the young people that are dropping out are saying the number one reason I dropped out of school is something is wrong at home.

14 percent of young people say, I didn't like my assigned school. Now, that's a whole bunch of things, but most commonly, if we look at our young people that are coming back, more than 50 percent of the young people that have returned to us are coming from the same ten high schools. Something's happening in those high schools. There's sort of a national report out

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

there that talks about dropout factors. These schools are not conducive places for these young people to learn, and whether it's a safety issue or they just don't feel like the school's providing them what they need, there's a big problem with some of our schools that's driving young people out.

2.2

Third one is pregnant and parenting. So greater than 13 percent of young people reported that was the number one reason for dropping out, but fully 37 percent of the young people who come back to us say they either have a child or are expecting one. So there's a lot going on with our teen parents, particularly our teen moms in the City, and that really needs to be addressed.

Behavioral issues at school is the fourth sort of topic on this list. And when we talk about that, some folks at this hamlet will talk about alternative schools and whatnot. So kids who are having discipline problems in school are getting in trouble, a lot of young people are choosing to drop out rather than enroll in a disciplinary school, and we really need to look at

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 what are the root causes of why these young people are getting into trouble. It's not always this broad brush of, oh, it's just bad kids. A lot of times kids are being provoked or there's a long history of something else going on in the neighborhood. But the behavioral issues are certainly one of them.

And then boredom. This gets a lot more play nationally. I think the Gates folks are coming out saying, "Ah, these kids are all bored. We just need to challenge them more. This is a reason why kids will drop out in Philly, but we've also been provided with some data that says it's maybe not that they're bored; it's that when they say they're not being challenged, it's like you're not even being addressed in the classroom. Folks just sort of give up on some of our kids who are not -- who aren't learning or who are struggling to learn, so we put them off in the corner in some of our mainstream schools. And so boredom is: Why should I be here? No one cares that I'm here."

So a couple of other quick snapshots and then we'll stop to break them up.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

Things we didn't even know about that kids were dropping out. This is not an, all of a sudden, we have no idea why they're dropping out. There are some folks here from the Philadelphia Education Fund and they did some really great research with Johns Hopkins that says we can tell you in sixth grade what's happening with these young people. And I think what the gist of this slide is, it's easy: It's attendance, behavior and forced performance.

If a young person in sixth grade is attending school less than 80 percent of the time; that means they're missing 36 days of school; if they are -- have a behavior challenge, so like they're getting like not a perfect mark in behavior on their report card, or they're failing English or math by the end of sixth grade, fewer than 20 percent of those kids will have graduated high school.

So you can basically pinpoint backwards with students in sixth grade and say unless I provide a very serious intervention now, they're not going to make it. 80 percent of them aren't going to make it. So it's not like we

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 don't know who these kids are.

2.2

If you flip to the next slide,
this continues to go on. We have a really good -pretty good measure of who's going to drop out.
In eight grade, it's failing reading or math
again, and it's coming to school less than
80 percent of the time. Again, when we did the
data, it's actually 77 percent of those kids will
drop out.

And then flip to ninth grade. And the interesting part about this ninth grade factor is: These kids didn't have any indicators before they got to ninth grade. So of the ninth graders, they showed up in ninth grade, they were attending school, they were passing their classes, they were doing okay. If, in ninth grade, they start becoming truant, they don't pass English or math, "I'm not here all the time, so I'm going to drop out."

So all I'm trying to relay is the framework for is we know kind of where these kids are, we know who they are, we can predict what's going to happen, and really we have to talk about conversations about how to prevent that and how do

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 we provide the right interventions for young people at the school level to do that. And I'm thinking that -- and we're not going to get to this one yet. We'll do that piece after Brandon's chapter.

1.3

2.2

MR. WILLIAMS: How are y'all doing today?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good.

MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Brandon Williams. I currently attend the GED center on Derider. I'm a member of Union Local 915. Due to my past number of past school years, I could not attend school when I wanted to, so I left for a couple of months.

The school I first -- the school I first went to was Edison. During the 2006-2007 school year, the school was not the best. We had fighting and drugs, guns, cops using brute force on students. I got into a fight with one of the students there over a miscommunication that spewed into a fight. The problem with this was that the witnesses who saw the fight said that I had something to do with it and they framed me and I was suspended for five days. I never went back to

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 Edison.

2.2

2.5

After that, I -- after that suspension -- it really wasn't the only reason why I was suspended -- why I decided to leave. The school wasn't working for me. I couldn't get the education I needed due to all the distractions, such as: Students throwing objects in class and assaulting teachers. There was also numerous altercations in the classroom, and I constantly had my guard up because I thought I might become a target.

Next, I went to Overbrook High
School in West Philly. There was a security door
in the front part of the building where I had to
take all my belongings through a scanner and walk
through the metal detector. This was frustrating
to me because I felt like a prisoner without any
rights.

In addition to the security, if you was late, you had to stand in the late room for 15 or 30 minutes, which meant you would miss your first period class. In this late room, you had to stand in a square about the size of a high school desk. This had been taped to the ground

1 | SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

and your bags had to be between your legs. If you talked or stepped outside the square, you had to

4 | stay in the late room longer.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

At this school, their policies were never communicated to the students. For example, one day, when I went to school, they took my phone, saying that there was no electronics allowed in the building. I didn't know anything about this policy. I asked if I could get my phone back, nicely, but they assaulted me and shoved me away.

This made me angry at all the security guards at the school and changed my whole view of school, period. I felt as though I didn't belong in school. I felt like a celled person. I felt as though I was different from all of the other kids and I had to take all of my stuff, put it through a scanner and walk through a metal detector. I felt trapped. School isn't supposed to feel like that. I started to become disrespectful towards my teachers, our principals and I'd get sick of class and leave the lunch room.

Next school I went to was a

charter school downtown called Freire. By the time I got there, I didn't like school, period. You could get your education there and everything like that, there was no fighting going on or nothing like that, and I knew that the work they gave, I could do it, but the only thing was, they tested me too much. Half of the tests I passed and the other half I failed.

2.

2.2

Something clicked in my head one day and said, "Brandon, you need to leave school." I didn't like school because of the uniforms, lining up in the class waiting to leave, standing in line for lunch, I felt too old for that. I finally decided to take a couple months off of school because I could not deal with the same boredom and lack of interest and sit in overcrowded classrooms. School was too much like jail, so the only option I had was the streets.

But all this was small compared to what I really wanted in life. I wanted to make enough money to invest in a small business and turn it into a lucrative establishment, but I couldn't get that without school.

I decided to get back into school,

2.2

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

but this time, it had to be different. I wanted a school that was going to fit my needs so I could graduate quickly because by now, I was one to two years behind. So I went to an alternative school down in Kensington called El Centro de Estudiantes.

I spent one year there and that one year, it was cool, for the most part. I was cool with everybody there. I was doing projects, papers, just like a regular school, but it was slightly different. The problem with this school was that they said I was supposed to get my diploma in a year, but it really was a setup because it turned out to be a lot more. It was like they set me up for failure.

As soon as we got into the exhibitions, the teachers threw a lot of extra work in my face that I knew nothing about. It was the first year of school, so everything was disorganized. Nobody knew where they were in terms of credits. At the end of the year, I went to see how many credits I had, but I didn't have sufficient credits. Actually, I had none at all. So I left El Centro.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2. I decided to go to the GED 3 program, due to a couple friends and counselors, and when I went there, I liked it. I liked my GED 4 5 program. There was no bars, no boundaries. 6 ain't got to worry about security guards, nothing like that. I finally could do what I needed to do and had more freedom. 8 9 (Applause.) 10 MS. CLARK: Thank you, Brandon. 11 David, do you have, also, some 12 statistics that you want to talk about with 13 respect to why kids leave school? 14 I think, actually, MR. LAPP: 15 Brandon might be as qualified to give those 16 statistics as I am, but what the Youth Action for 17 Change is doing some work right now on studying 18 the school district of Philadelphia's zero 19 tolerance policy, and they've collected a lot of 20 data. 21 And just a couple of things that 2.2 stand out that I'll mention --23 (Cell phone interruption.) 2.4 MR. LAPP: You can tell them I'll 25 call back. I'm sorry.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

2.4

that we're spending is sort of telling as far as how we're dealing with school discipline. I was struck by Courtney's statistics there that, you know, a lot of the issues that students have are family related, but I was actually struck more by the huge percentage of those that aren't family related, that are, if you add them all up, they're all school related. And those are the things that we actually have the power to control, and so there's a lot of influence that schools can have on whether or not students stay in school.

YAC has shown that the district is spending, you know, roughly ten times as much money on school security type of things -- school police officers, school security officers, metal detectors, cameras -- than they are on preventive type of measures -- school psychiatrists, school psychologists, counselors, social workers. And that's one of the things that sort of shows us where our priorities are and, yet, there's not any data that shows that those tactics actually work.

So maybe I'll save for a later discussion, some evidence of some tactics that do

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 work. But that's one of the things that's fairly striking is that the amount of money that we're spending on our schools on punishment and reacting to school safety incidents is a lot higher than the amount of money that we're spending to prevent those things.

1.3

MS. CLARK: Let's just spend another minute on what you referred to a minute ago, which is the zero tolerance policy. Is there a policy, and what is it, and what is its effect in schools and particularly on the dropout rate? I know that you began to address that in your previous answer, but let's just talk a little bit more about the zero tolerance policies. Is it needed? What do we do about the horrific violence that we've seen around the City?

MR. LAPP: There's a couple of different zero tolerance policies. One of them, at the State level, is a statute that we refer to as Act 26 that mandates schools to expel students for a year if they bring a weapon. That law also broadly defines weapons to guns, of course, knives, of course, nunchucks made it into the statute, and then it also has this catch-all

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 provision that says, "any other implement capable of inflicting serious bodily injury."

2.2

Of course, if I could find my pen I could show you that, of course, would fit that definition of something capable of inflicting serious bodily injury. And, indeed, we do see countless intakes of kids that are getting suspended, transferred into charter schools and expelled for things that we traditionally wouldn't think of as weapons: Eyebrow trimmers, scissors are a big one, and then we do often see pens and pencils when they are used for things.

However, we often see things that aren't used for things that -- that aren't used in sort of violent ways that kids are getting expelled for or suspended for. Even -- and the other part of that law that is important to note is that there's no intent requirement there. So, in other words, it's possession alone, and you don't even have to intend to possess it, theoretically.

In fact, just this morning I was at a hearing for a student who walked through a metal detector at Kensington Capa and the metal

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

detector went off, and she was surprised and she opened her bag and, lo and behold, there was a box cutter. And she had no idea it was there, but she did know that her boyfriend uses a box cutter for work and put it in there and that he -- that it must have come from him. Sure enough, talked to him and it -- she was at his house the night before and the only thing that could explain it is that it fell in.

2.2

This sounds like an incredibly unlikely story. Right? Sure, it just fell in there. But when you -- and, indeed, when we go to the hearing, the hearing officer isn't hearing that, but when you dig a little deeper -- and that's what zero tolerance is, right? Zero tolerance is: We don't ask questions. We don't ask about intent. We just say this happened and this is our automatic response, which that automatic response is that she gets to disciplinary school while she waits for a month or so for her expulsion hearing, at which time she is mandatorily -- the law says they have to be there until 12th grade.

There is a provisional law that

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 says that the superintendent can make exceptions on a case-by-case basis, which that part gets often times forgotten by schools, that they actually do have the authority to make an exception.

2.2

In any case, you know, we don't know what's going to happen with this hearing this morning. She had testimony from lots of people that were -- you know, she, herself, said, "It's not like I would have gone though the metal detector if I had known I had this in my bag.

Obviously, I didn't bring it for that reason.

There was no one I had any conflicts with.

She's had some -- she had a compelling story of significant behavior problems in the past and an incredible turnaround since she's been working. She was a student at gentrified again, and Kensington Capa gentrified High School.

Anyway, nobody knows what the hearing officer is going to do, but it's more likely that it's going to be zero tolerance, part two, which is the district's zero tolerance policy. Now, the district has probably had a zero

tolerance policy for a long, long time. Every single administrator comes in and announces a new zero tolerance policy. So the newest zero tolerance policy went into effect in 2008. Dr. Ackerman announced -- sent a letter to all students saying that anything violent, anything with weapons, anything with drugs, zero tolerance, you're going to get expelled for a year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And so that's in effect and, indeed, when we go to these hearings, the hearing officers themselves say, you know, this happened -- they won't say it officially, that they don't have any discretion because there's supposed to be discretion, but that's how they seem to act. So -- and schools themselves -- one of the things that -- I'm sorry, I'm going on too long here, but one of the things that the hearing officers say is, "Well, the school recommended this." And it's sort of a really terrible argument because the schools have no choice. That's what the policy is. The policy is the schools have to -- the principal has to recommend the transfer to a disciplinary school, so there is no discretion. That, we know for sure, and the hearing officers

Thanks, Jenny.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
themselves seem to act like there's no discretion.

2.2

2.4

I'm not sure if I got to the answer to your question, but, yes, I think the answer is that there is zero tolerance in the School District of Philadelphia.

MS. CLARKE: I'd like to hear
Kay's perspective on zero tolerance. Do we need
it? We do have terrible instances of violence in
the City, in schools, and what should we be doing?

MS. KYUNGSUN YU:

Before I get to talking about some reflections on zero tolerance, let me just give a backdrop as to how I've become involved in the issue, and it really is not based on any background in education. I spent 17 years in private litigation as an attorney, and the work that I've done as chair of the Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations -- as you mentioned -- we are conducting a series of 11 public hearings that are taking place throughout this year. We just had our eighth hearing on Tuesday.

And the first thing I would like to do is invite Brandon to share his story with us

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

because that's really the intent of these public hearings, is to invite concerned residents, students, anybody involved in our public school system to come share their stories, both about acknowledging the problems that exist, and looking towards being part of the solution as well.

2.

2.2

So to be specific, the Commission on Human Relations enforces the antidiscrimination laws in the City. So the Fair Practices Ordinance says that employers and in housing, in public accommodations where our schools are a part of that, that you cannot discriminate on the basis of race, ethnicity, religion disability, sexual orientation, gender identity -- and I'm not sure I'm missing some of these, but that is what we are concerned about. So our hearings really are based upon the sort of intergroup relationships and how we can improve on those.

The other way that I've been really involved with sort of a community engagement is through the Task Force on Racial and Cultural Harmony. And that is a task force that was constituted by the superintendent and the School Reform Commission, and we presented our

2 final report a week-and-a-half ago or so. But 3 just wanted to let everybody know that those

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

4 materials are available on-line. So if you go to

5 | www.PhilaSD.org/harmony -- not to be confused with

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

6 eHarmony, but they're -- it's the final report

7 | that's a compilation of a number of dialogs that

8 occurred throughout the school district.

And so one of the topics -- so everything that, really, I'm going to share are really reflections that have come through both putting the reports together, out of conversations that, really, concerned engaged community members had with schools in that context with site visits and also through things that I've heard through the public hearings.

So with that very long introduction as to how I have been involved in what I will be speaking to, with respect to the zero tolerance policy, what are the issues that has emerged through discussion about that and in some other positive ways?

Again, I really commend Brandon for being here and sharing his story because it's very, very important that students have the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

opportunity to be engaged and that the -- that

they have a voice. And that has come through over

and over again, that we really need to engage

them. I'm really proud of you for coming here

6 and, you know, talking about your personal

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

7 experience because that is so much of why we're 8 all here.

So that is something that's coming through and part of the zero tolerance policy, as David was just describing, is that there is -- you know, once there is a determination that some event occurred, there really is no discretion, there's no further examination of the situation. And some of the things that have been discussed are that there can be peer mediation programs or victim witness conferencing that can be employed and is sometimes and in some schools, but there clearly are schools where this is not taking place and that we really are losing out on an opportunity to really engage the students in a discussion among themselves to really sort of break through the barriers and isolation that comes out through various mechanisms and that if we bring together students and everybody else as

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

part of the community and have this discussion,

that's part of the solution.

2.2

2.4

MS. CLARK: Do either of the rest of you have any thoughts on this?

MS. SHAPIRO: Like 60 seconds or less, right?

One of the things, too, about zero tolerance, it's like we've created almost an easy out for schools to say it's black and white, do this, then this and not have to think about it.

And so we're finding these schools that will trash these kids's critical thinking and we're not allowing adults critical thinking.

When a five-year-old brings fireworks in a backpack, really, the five-year-old is not trying to set the school on fire, but there's perhaps something wrong at home and we're not getting an adult's account in how to investigate what's actually going on in the life of this child, what's leading to the behavior and we really need to consider how our policies set those types of behaviors up with adults.

zero-tolerance in the school district is that

But the other piece of

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 zero-tolerance means you're out there going to a disciplinary school. We will talk about this 3 It's sort of, then, what is the condition later. 5 of alternative ed? If a young person really does need to be in a different setting, something's not 6 working for them there and the zero-tolerance provision triggered some sort of action, are we 8 9 providing them with a truly positive alternative? 10 Because there may be a way -- and 11 again, does it really work for a student like 12 Brandon, who's been in five or six different 13 school settings? Something's not meeting his There's clearly a school out there, and 14 15 maybe the GED program that he's in now is it, but 16 there's something that's a match for what he 17 needs, and he can probably articulate that better 18 than anybody else. But we clearly aren't 19 providing that as a school district. We're 20 turning back to the law and saying, let's put him 21 here, let's do this, let's give this option, and 2.2 nothing's working. 23 So we really need to be able to

working, but if we're going to zero-tolerance, we

talk more about alternatives or how that's

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 need to think about the other end. What are we providing these young people with, and is it really rehabilitative and is it really for the educational experience for those kids?

2.2

MS. CLARKE: Let's just go there.

Let's talk about what happens to kids when they
leave school. And there's a couple different
pathways that, as I understand it, are
administered by completely different parts of the
school district.

And so, Courtney, why don't you first talk about the re-engagement centers.

MS. SHAPIRO: Okay. So there are two re-engagement centers in the City of Philadelphia, and nothing I mentioned is sort of a panacea. It's like they're options, right? So re-engagement centers, there's one at Broad Street and there's now one in northeast Philadelphia. These are intended to be those sort of drop-in centers where young people can come in and come back to school. As I mentioned, there are 2600 young people every year coming through the doors, but the numbers you see here are who's actually leaving school.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1.3

So every year, in grades seven through twelve, in Philadelphia, 8,000 young people are choosing to drop out of school. Take a couple months off, just disappear. Another 5,000 students are missing school more than half the time. So they're missing 90 or more days of school, so all of our data shows they will eventually drop out. They're simply dabbling in school at the moment. So you're talking about, at any given time, 13,000 students in every year that adds up to students who are out on the street, not in school, not employed. This is a big problem for Philadelphia. So even if I say 2600 are choosing to come back every year, that's the tip of iceberg in terms of who's out there.

And the kids we're not addressing -- because those are the kids who are actually out -- what about all those kids who are in schools, like Brandon, when he was at Edison or Overbrook where that's not the right fit? And what is the compliment of options for him to chose another school? It's really very difficult.

I put on here grade ten. So grade ten is the average school year in which young

1.3

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

people drop out, but the average age in which they
drop out is almost 18. So people need to

understand this, once kids hit high school -- sort
of K to eight, this is very hard and fuzzy, but
you just keep moving on. You can't read, but you
keep moving on, keep moving on.

You get to high school and now it's about credits. Have to pass Algebra I. Have to pass World History. You start failing classes and you start not moving ahead. So you end up like Brandon where you're in school a whole year and, at the end of the year, you don't realize it, but you have no credits. So you functionally just wasted a year and you made no progress.

That's really disheartening to young people when, all of a sudden, they wake up, they're 18 and they're still in the ninth grade. So that's the huge issue, the kids who are the vast majority of the young people, almost 18, effectively still a ninth grader. At that point, it's like, I'm never going to get out of high school.

Racial disparity. So, again, who's leaving? There is absolutely a problem.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 | It's disproportionately in favor of

3 | African-American and Latino young people dropping

out and, in particular, males. But I will say

5 | that this is everyone's problem. No more than

6 71 percent of any ethnic group graduates high

7 | school in Philadelphia. So it's not like all the

white kids are graduating, all the Asian kids are

graduating, but no one's graduating. But the

African-American males and the Latino-American

males are really struggling. This is a huge

12 | city-wide epidemic.

1

4

8

9

10

11

18

13 And then it says "special

14 populations." A lot of times we talk to those

15 unfamiliar with the issue of dropout and they'll

16 | say, "Oh, it's just the bad kids. It's those kids

17 | who get in trouble or they're in jail or it's the

pregnant moms." So we really looked at the data

19 in Philly over a six-year span and said, well, is

20 | that the majority of the kids who drop out?

21 And if you flip to the next slide,

22 what this effectively tells you is, yes, those

23 kids are dropping out at a higher rate, but they

are not the majority of the kids dropping out.

25 About a third of the kids come from these four

groups, so, basically, DHS involved -- abuse or neglect, foster care kids, juvenile justice kids, teen moms -- a third of the kids. The other two-thirds don't have any of these factors. But if we go back to the early warning, by noticing one of these situations, I've got a really big red flag that I think this young person's not going to finish high school. So it gives us some data to be able to say I've really got to start working with that population.

Particularly what really brings people out is the juvenile justice people came out and said that once a young person is involved in the juvenile justice system, only ten percent will get a diploma. You don't have to start to wonder, then, what happens in terms of how to create a pipeline out of education and into prison, and that's really not what we want to be doing.

So this -- we try to use this data to say all of the kids are not the kids that you think they are that are dropping out of school.

Two-thirds of them are just regular kids.

Something else is going on in school or at home.

And I'll just save this for a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

2 later piece. But that was just sort of a frame of
3 who's leaving and, David, you're going to talk
4 about alternative ed.

MR. LAPP: One of the things
that's interesting is that, in Philadelphia, we
use the phrase "alternative schools," and we -that refers to a broad range of things in
Philadelphia. We talked about Multiple Pathways,
the re-engagement center, night schools. We've
got a host of different options for students in
Philadelphia.

In the rest of Pennsylvania, when you talk about alternative schools, you're talking about alternative education for disruptive youth. You're talking about disciplinary schools. And, in fact, those, of course, are like a lot in Philadelphia, too, we have thousands of students in those schools, and so we -- in your materials, there's a report that we did at the Educational Law Center very recently where we studied what's been going on with those schools, particularly looked at the legal framework for what's happening in the schools. And I'll talk a little bit about some of the recommendations that we made, but

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 that's where we see a lot of kids who are suspended going.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And one thing I wanted to make sure I mentioned before we get there is: The use of sort of punitive disciplinary practices is on the rise. It's not just in Pennsylvania. nationwide. It's a trend. It's rather stunning. In the last ten years, in Pennsylvania, the number of out-of-school suspensions has almost tripled from what it was in 2000. Same thing is true with arrests, school-based arrests; in other words, police arresting kids for things that happened in school, we're seeing that more than double in the last decade. And so we're seeing a shift in how -- and, you know, this is not every school, of There's many schools that do fantastic course. work at dealing with these things, but there -and it is a cultural shift in how we deal with this.

An organization in DC called The Advancement Project published a report called,
"Test, Punish and Push Out," and it drew a line -a connection between what we see in zero-tolerance disciplinary practices to what we see in high

stakes testing and how those both come from sort of the same root of cracking down on kids. And so we're seeing this as we're seeing kids being -- we're targeting kids for these problems, we're seeing schools getting -- resorting to this and, in fact, there's some reverse incentives in both those high stakes polices to push kids out that are difficult, to push kids out that are getting low test scores, that are making it difficult for you, as a teacher, to teach other kids. I've taught for nine years. I know very well how difficult that can be. But where the problem with that lies is that suspension hasn't -- doesn't help.

2.2

There's a study done by a guy,

Russ Skiba and Dan Losen -- he's from -- Dan Losen
is from UCLA and Russ Skiba's from Miami

University -- studying, you know, the impact of
suspensions, and there's a couple of striking
things. The first thing, of course, speaking of
disproportionalities is that even -- that black
males are punished disproportionately more severe
for the same offenses. Even -- even -- in other
words, this is not explained as proportionality,

The

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

it's not explained entirely by just behavior.

disproportionality is greater than -- the

4 punishment disproportionality is greater than the

5 behavioral disproportionality.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Another one of the things that they found is that suspension -- out-of-school suspensions -- I know this is going to shock you -- but they don't improve behavior, and that a huge proportion of those suspensions that we see the increase for are for things like truancy, things like dress code, things like lateness to school, and then, also, repeated disruptions from class, things that are all definitely disruptive to a class, but of course, it would be difficult to imagine how out-of-school suspension would help kids who already are truants. Seems like kind of a perverse type of a thing to do to a kid who's not coming to school to tell them that they can't come to school. But -- so, we're seeing this impact happening greater on students of color, but we're seeing an increase for students all around.

But one other thing I just wanted to point out is that, of course, when students are dropping out, as you mentioned, they're more

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

likely to enter into the criminal justice system.

So that phrase Courtney earlier mentioned is

talking about the tie between policies that have a

tendency to push kids out and those that are in

prison, they call it like school's prison and, of course, they're already there.

2.2

MS. CLARKE: David, before I ask you to talk a little more about alternative schools, let's hear from Courtney about the Multiple Pathways schools, because I think what you'll be struck by is, even though they're both for kids who've been out of school for a reason, the difference in the quality and concept.

MS. SHAPIRO: Brandon had something to add before we do that.

MR. WILLIAMS: I just wanted to give a little bit of feedback on what Dave said about the alternative schools and everything like that. He was actually right about the school district bringing out different rules and stuff like that and getting kicked out of school for little stupid stuff like that because I got to admit, like, that stuff -- most of that stuff, it happened to me. The schools I've been to, I was,

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

- 2 | like, pushed out of school for, like, not
- 3 returning stuff, seriously. I just wanted to make
- 4 that...
- MS. SHAPIRO: All right. So we're
- 6 going to chat briefly, and that's the last slide
- 7 | that's up there, which is sort of the -- we think
- 8 of it as alternative ed, but alternative ed means
- 9 discipline in the State, so we changed the name to
- 10 | Multiple Pathways, like they did in New York, so
- 11 | we could try to get people to understand it's a
- 12 pathway back.
- So what exists in Philly now? So
- 14 | you see the box on the right, it says
- 15 | "nontraditional." So this is where you create
- 16 | nontraditional schools for young people who need a
- 17 different kind of option. So there's things
- 18 called accelerated schools. Those are schools
- 19 that are supposed to speed up the pace with which
- 20 | you attend school. It could be year-long school,
- 21 | it could be half-day programs for pregnant parents
- 22 and teens. You have a lot of lag between those
- 23 | two different things. Proficiency-based learning
- 24 is some of the students who learn better using
- 25 technology. And so we're really trying to meet

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 students where they are.

Gateway to College is a program
the City has which is basically a dual enrollment
program, meaning if a young person tested at the
eighth grade level when they come to us, that they
can go immediately to community college and the
classes that they take at community college will
count as their high school credits, so they'll be
earning their high school diploma and their
associate's degree at the same time, which is an
awesome program.

The challenge in Philly is that 85 percent of the kids who come back from dropout can't pass the test because they're reading below an eighth grade level. The average reading level and math level for dropouts in Philly, according to us, between the ages of 15 to 22, is seventh grade. So we have lots below that and a few above that, but it is a huge literacy gap in the City.

GED preparation. Here, we're talking about what we're involved in over at the E3 center and in the GED preparation course to pass the GED as an option for young people.

Our educational options is what

2.

2.2

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

people think of as night school. So for different people's frame of reference, a lot of young people when they say "financial need," they have to go to work when they're 15 and 16 years old to help support their families. Poverty in the City is growing, it's real, and so they're going to work during the day and go to school at night.

And then we have programs inside the schools where we're trying to prevent the tide of dropout. You have some folks in the room from Philadelphia Education Fund and they just got a big grant from the federal government through the Innovation Fund to pilot what's known as the NOW Project, which is really an early intervention program in the middle and high schools to try to target the kids who are at risk, keep them engaged, keep them in school, and get them to their diploma.

There's also traditional pathways.

In some cases, for young people, it's an advocacy issue. So kids come to us and say, "I went back to Overbrook. They won't let me in." Sort of like dirty secrets (inaudible.) The dirty secrets of the district. So, you know, there are a lot of

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 high school folks who just don't want to deal with 3 the 18-year-old who's coming back. They pull out the transcript and they see ninth grade and 4 5 they're like, oh, we don't want to deal with that. So they pull it up and they say, "Oh, you can get 6 in disciplinary school." So it's the broad paint brush, you just must be bad. So they tell them, 8 "No, you know, we withdrew you before. You've been out of school ten days. You can't come here 10 11 anymore. You got to go somewhere else." 12 The reality is, free public 13 education in Pennsylvania means, no, until they're 21 years old, they can't lock the door. 14 They have 15 an obligation to educate them. But a lot of our 16 young people will not come to the door, or their 17 families, for that matter, armed with the 18 knowledge that they can advocate for themselves 19 and say, "No, if this is my neighborhood school, I 20 really do get to go here and you need to find 21 classes for me and it's your job to educate me." 2.2 Now, the flip side to that is, do

"box A, let's create nontraditional alternatives"?

they really want to be there as opposed to the

But we have to do a better job of educating our

23

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 school personnel that it's not okay to mess around with kids's lives and tell them that they come to school and that the young people and their families need to know their rights in terms of what the district is legally obligated to provide them in terms of an education.

And then the big piece I'll say here, which there's actually a huge gap in Center City. I mentioned the literacy gap. The biggest issue you have is, ultimately, kids have to pass the classes. When we get to high stakes testing in the State that matters for graduation we have the content courses. If I don't pass the algebra course at the State level, I don't graduate from high school. You have kids who cannot do that work, and there's no way to teach ninth grade work to a fifth grade reader. I'd love to say there is, but there's just no silver bullet for that.

So we have to create these bridges for answers and the City just doesn't -- nor wants to figure out who's paying for that. Right? The City says we'll pay for literacy for adults. The school district says, "We do school; we don't do the literacy." You know, everybody's punting on

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2. that. And there's no money. When you get a ninth grader who's, you know, reading at a fifth grade 3 level, there's no resources anywhere you can just 4 5 tap into and say, this is what I should be doing 6 with this young person. But you can't just put a young person who can't read in their grade appropriate curriculum and expect they're going to 8 succeed. And so this is a place where we're 10 trying to figure out how to build those options, 11 but there just aren't a ton of them right now. 12 It's something that they're working on. So that's 13 sort of the other landscape beyond the sort of 14 alternative discipline. 15 And so I'll say, probably a 16 quarter of the kids who come into these options 17 are kids who drop out of discipline schools, so we 18 take them back in the other way when they have 19 kind of had it on that side. 20 MS. CLARKE: So we've got all

MS. CLARKE: So we've got all these great programs for re-engaging kids. How about the alternative schools? Talk to us about the program, David.

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. LAPP: Well, last week I got a call from a parent and -- from a school in Western

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2. Pennsylvania -- a school district in Western Pennsylvania. And she said, you know, "Help me. 3 My son was sent to this alternative school and 4 5 they're not doing anything." "So tell me, what are they doing?" She said, "Well, his first day 6 there, it was the security guard that stayed with them for the entire day, and it was all kids from 8 grades 7 to 12 in one classroom, and it was taught 10 by the security guard. And the first day, they 11 watched Ferris Bueller's Day Off." 12 (Laughter and groans.) 13 MR. LAPP: "And the second day, 14 they watched Hot Tub Time Machine." 15 (Groans.) 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, God. MR. LAPP: Now, this is a pretty 17 18 extreme example, but a real example and, unfortunately, all too common of what we see in a 19 20 lot of these disciplinary schools. 21 There are some -- apparently, 2.2 there are some fantastic ones that are in the 23 State of Pennsylvania. And to its credit, 24 Philadelphia actually has -- it's had a history of some really terrible ones and it has made some 25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 improvements over the last number of years, we've some better programs in some of those schools.

But one of the problems is just that, that the legal structure allows for that wide range of quality in what we see in those schools. So some of the things that we're seeing problems with in -- with alternative schools -and we say "alternative schools," I'm talking about disciplinary schools. One of those issues, they're called "Alternative Education For Disruptive Youth Programs, " which implies that they are programs within a school and a lot of schools tend to think that, well, they're not actually schools, even though they might have their own physical structure and different staff and they have a traditional school building, they still consider them to be programs, which means a couple things legally that they think that they don't have to do, such as reports that they think they don't have to do.

And the legal structure also says -- so a couple problems I see with them. First of all, it's overbroad who can be sent to one. There's no question that there are students

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

that probably should not be in the regular school environment, that need a different kind of environment for them. But we see too often -- in fact, the majority of kids in these schools are not those kids. The majority of the kids are kids that are sent there for repeated low level offenses. The law allows kids to be sent there for truancy. It allows them to be sent there for repeated offenses. It allows them to be sent there for anything that could be a suspendable offense under a Schools Code of Conduct, which could be basically almost anything.

Now, the guidance from PBE says it has to be to a marked degree, the behavior has to be to a marked degree, it has to be to a last resort. But one of the problems with the law is that there's no accountability for enforcing that. To be placed in alternative school, you have to have a hearing. And at that hearing, they have to determine that you are a disruptive student, that you fit one of those criteria. If you lose that hearing, you're done. There's no right to appeal that hearing. You can't go to the Courts and say, I wasn't a disruptive student, or this hearing is

wrong, or they didn't have any evidence, or that all the evidence was based on hearsay or whatever you might do on a traditional appeal, because the Courts have told us that there is no right to appeal the transfer to a disciplinary school because it's still a school, they say. You're still being provided a school. So one of the problems is how kids are being sent there.

2.2

Another one of the problems, of course, that we've already mentioned is there's huge disproportionalities in who's being sent to these schools. Too many African-American kids are being sent there. Too many boys are being sent there. Too many kids with disabilities are being sent there.

And one of the things that's interesting is that, you know, in the -- I'm not an expert in the IEDA. I am shuddering to talk with Sonya Kerr in a minute. But there is, in the law, that before a kid can be disciplined for something, you have to show that it was not -- what they did was not a manifestation of their disability. And so based on that -- that's just my little argument with alternative schools and I

want you to think about that -- but that should mean that you shouldn't have any over representation in alternative schools. Right? Because kids shouldn't be there unless it's something not connected to their disability, and that should mean they're no more likely to be sent there than any other kid. So that's one of my pet peeves with this, is that kids with disabilities are severely over represented by -- there's about 15 percent of our school population, kids have IEP's in Pennsylvania, but about 30 percent of the kids in alt ed programs have IEP's.

2.2

MS. SHAPIRO: Get right back to
me. In Philly -- there are alternative discipline
schools in Philly where they're fully 40 percent
of the young people are special education
students. And you must also know in terms of
funding, the district funds and resources the
seats in those schools are at the same dollar
level as regular education.

So, for instance, in a charter school, you get \$10,000 for a regular kid and \$18,000 for a special ed kid, and that's what the district gets. They're only paying 10- for any

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 kid in a discipline school, regardless of whether they're regular ed or special ed. So if you're a school with 40 percent special ed kids that have real disabilities and you're getting resourced at the regular ed rate, it's going to be really hard for you to provide an appropriate education.

1.3

MR. LAPP: Which really goes to the whole quality of the program that's happening there, specifically for kids with disabilities, because we hear stories all the time about kids that are not being -- that are being denied the services that they're entitled to, like their IEP, or they're not getting their IEP updated or followed at all.

And it also -- the legal structure allows some other things that are really strange with those schools. You would think that if the kid's a behavior problem, the kid's disruptive, that probably means that that kid needs more, that kid needs more intervention from us as professionals and schools, but that kid needs probably -- usually, when kids are behaviorally struggling -- not always, but often times they are academically struggling as well, so they probably

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 need greater academic instruction. Unfortunately, the law actually allows for less in these 3 alternative schools than in a regular school. 4 5 So in a regular school, it averages out to about 27 hours a week of 6 instruction that a kid gets. In alternative schools, the State Department of Education --8 actually, the law doesn't say this. It's the 10 Department of Education has interpreted the law to 11 say that you only have to provide 22 hours --12 22-and-a-half hours a week, and then 1.3 two-and-a-half of those hours are for counseling. 14 So, really, you're only getting 20 hours of 15 instruction. So there's something wrong there 16 with that problem -- with what's the legal 17 structure in that particular regard. Just a few other --18 19 MS. CLARKE: David, I'm going to 20 cut you off because --21 MR. LAPP: Okay. Too wordy? 2.2 MS. CLARKE: -- I want us to 23 get -- no, it's fascinating, and I could actually 24 listen to you all day, but I want to make sure 25 that we get to the answers. Because we've heard a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

lot about some really terrible statistics and terrible situations, but what I'd like each of the panel, starting with Kay, to do now is give us your top one, two, three or five steps that should be taken to improve the dropout rate or at least improve the education of kids who are at risk for dropping out.

MS. KYUNGSUN YU: This has been a really incredible day for me, not only listening to the panel here but to hear everybody talk about such a broad array of educational issues has been incredible.

What I did realize, though, is that there is remarkable similarity in the -- what we hear all the time. So what I heard through the public hearings, as well as through a lot of the report from the task force, I think that we really need to -- we have a pretty good road map based on research and a lot of that was presented today.

But let me just give you my number one issue; that is, to really focus on the oversight, accountability, monitoring aspect of all this, recognizing that we can't have a perfect record on this. You know, creating a safe and

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 positive learning environment is one of the 3 challenges that we have to face every day. It's the responsibility of the school district, but 4 5 very much a part of the community responsibility that we owe our young people and because there is 6 so much commonality to the research and looking at this, that I think we really should focus on 8 making sure that we have the accountability in 10 place to have the adults really be the ones who 11 are driving the positive change in every school. 12 And so I'm looking forward to talking more with 13 Dr. Simmons on how to maybe come up with that agenda that's community driven. 14 15 MS. CLARKE: Brendan, do you want 16 to tell us what your top 1, 2, 5 things are that 17 we should... 18 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I have two, actually. I don't need five. 19 20 (Laughter.) 21 MR. WILLIAMS: I believe in empowerment. That's basically, like, all school 2.2 23 staff, basically, like, okay, have more interest 24 in the students. Have a little more one-on-one

conversation with the student. And like,

25

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

- 2 basically, like, guide that student through the
- 3 | years, through his four years of high school, so
- 4 he can, like, have a better -- he or she can have
- 5 | a better -- what do you say -- experience in
- 6 education. Also -- damn, excuse me, I forgot what
- $7 \mid I$ had to say.
- 8 MS. CLARKE: If you remember, then
- 9 | we'll let you come back.
- MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- 11 MS. CLARKE: You can come back.
- 12 That's fine. That's fine.
- 13 MS. SHAPIRO: I have three. So
- 14 one is pay attention to the data that we have. So
- 15 | we have all this data about early indicators, we
- 16 know who's being dropped out. Pay attention to
- 17 it. Create mechanisms to force schools to come up
- 18 with really good solutions to how they're going to
- 19 target young people at their earliest stages so
- 20 | this doesn't happen.
- 21 Second would be to tackle the
- 22 | literacy issue. And again, this is from a K-12
- 23 | program, we used to go to three elementary schools
- 24 from the district. This year it's a charter
- 25 | school. We did some benchmark testing with their

young people and 98 percent of our kids in grades K-2 are exhibiting functioning at the very lowest pre-kindergarten level. So it's as if they're in second grade and just wasted three years in the school system. Who's going to make up for that? You'll never recover from that if we don't do something about it.

1.3

2.2

A second grader should know their ABC's. It's abysmal that a child could sit in a seat for 180 days for three years and then not know that. And that just exacerbates the problem by the time they're 16, 17 years old. So figuring out the stages when we have to target illiteracy issues and help young people learn to read is so critical.

And a third thing is around funding, and I don't know if you can ever say funding without accountability. I'm all for it, if you're going to give me money, I'm going to be accountable to doing with it what I'm supposed to do.

But the State of Pennsylvania needs to get serious about actually funding resources in schools for at-risk kids. There is

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

zero incentive for school districts to bring young people back from dropout. They are not paid for in the way the funding formula is constructed. So if I bring back 2600 kids this year, I get zero dollars for those young people and I'm just expected to educate them. So how do you wonder why districts aren't really very motivated to create these alternative programs that are really functional? They're not getting any dollars to do it, so it's actually a strain on their system to welcome those young people back. It's like an absurd disincentive to keep kids out of school.

The other thing on the funding is:

Really look at the equitable funding formula.

When the State did their equitable funding study,
they gave more dollars to young people who were at
risk. Young people with literacy needs, with the
social services needs, you need more money in the
school to do it. And again, I don't want to say
just throw the cash in the pot, but really hold
schools accountable. If we're going to give you
an extra couple thousand dollars for kids with
literacy issues or behavior issues, you should
document how you're spending it on those kids.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

But it is a real need. You can't do something

with nothing.

2.2

MS. CLARKE: David. And before
David starts, I do commend you to use the study
that the Educational Law Center did on alternative
schools and their recommendations. It's quite
good and quite detailed. But with that, David,
your pocket wish list.

MR. LAPP: Well, obviously, there's a bunch of things I'd like to change about alternative schools, but it would be great to just prevent kids getting sent to them at all. And so I'd love to see more put into preventive programs. And there are two that sort of jump out that a lot of people are talking about with a lot of excitement in sort of the school discipline world.

And there are others that are more expert in this, but I'll try -- that are in this room, I think, but I'll try and quickly summarize the two that just -- the first of which, which has a fair amount of research behind it, is a school like Positive Behavior Supports or Interventions and Supports, and I see some heads nodding from people that recognize that that -- that is a

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

pretty stunning track record, where it's been implemented with fidelity, and there's a big difference between places that implement it and places that really don't do it with fidelity. Philadelphia, unfortunately, is not one of those that's been doing it with Fidelity.

Behavior Support, we often just say. There's a couple more features of that and I'm borrowing from a really good report that folks at Pubic Citizens for Children and Youth, PCCY, did, on this that -- it's a focus on prevention, first of all, rather than reaction to discipline issues. It starts with very clear, limited -- very clearly defined expectations that are taught to students very early and often, and it focuses on acknowledging good behavior as sort of the idea here through a series of reward systems.

Another focus feature is that it's done consistently -- that problematic behavior is addressed quickly, clearly, consistently. I think one of the things that keeps getting -- that I feel like I keep getting labeled with as being soft on discipline. And I think that that's a

really important thing for those of us who are looking to reform these sort of practices, is to dispute that not at all -- I mean, that discipline -- when there's misbehavior, schools need to react, absolutely, and they need to react firmly and quickly. The question is: Should we be excluding kids for those things and where should our focus be? Should it be more on that reaction or should it be more on that prevention before we get to our reaction? And then how we react is a big part of that.

2.2

Another quick thing about PBS is that -- is there's a continuum, and it's very data driven, and that you look at where in the school you're having problems. Is it every day between fourth and fifth periods, kids try to listen, particularly, only to lunch, then that's where you need to be focusing your efforts on in preventing problems.

And there's a range of feature

PBS's, it's a range of services. There are

certain things that are done for all kids, and

it's sort of a pyramid, and there's this certain

-- 15 percent or so of kids that require slightly

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 more interventions to prevent behavioral -- problematic behavior.

2.

2.2

And then there's the absolute top of the pyramid kids, which is a very few -- very low percentage, frankly, of kids that require even more interventions. And that through a consistent approach to this, we've seen schools have just dramatic results. Discipline referrals are being slashed in half. The amount of time teachers spend on discipline cutting so -- being reduced so far that -- that -- I mean, the amount of instruction the kids are getting is improving and we're actually seeing schools that are doing this improve test performances as well. So PBS is one of the big things.

And the one that I'll just briefly mention is the restorative justices -- restorative practices programs. There's a -- in Pennsylvania, we have what's become one of the preeminent practitioners of this is the International Institute for Restorative Practices is in Bethlehem, PA, and they have -- I went there recently and was struck by it. We're training -- the people over there, it was the majority of the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

people not from Pennsylvania. People coming from all around the country, people coming from all around the world to learn about their methods and yet they're more with them than Pennsylvania folks, which is fairly upsetting.

2.2

But they actually have done some great work and there's some materials in your packet about -- an article, at least, about that and if you live here in Philadelphia, West Philadelphia High School saw a dramatic improvement after they were trained by the International Institute of Restorative Practices.

MS. CLARKE: Any last wishes on behalf of the panelists before we turn this over to questions?

MR. WILLIAMS: I got what I wanted to say.

MS. CLARKE: Okay, Brandon.

MR. WILLIAMS: Basically, I was focusing on the SAT's because you notice how the scores in this state is, like, real low, SAT scores, things like that. I feel as though, like, the schools should be, like, focused more on, like, what's on the SAT's because a lot of the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

times, like, kids don't really know what's on

SAT's when they get where they have to take them.

And then, like, it's, like, they're real stuck on

certain things that they don't understand. And I

feel that the high schools should, like, give them

that extra information.

1.3

2.2

And my second reason was, better recreation. Recreation meaning, like, more outside time. You know, because most of the times nowadays, it's, like, kids is, like, in school, like, eight hours a day. Most of them, the whole entire day, they are in school sitting down all the time. That's not -- that's not real good for, like, your heart, it's not really for that. I feel they should have better exercise, more recreation time and less assessments.

Because a lot of schools, like, they give, like, a lot of material, too much homework and things like that. I feel as though they should teach all the stuff they need to teach in the classrooms and teach it thoroughly because, like, you don't really need too much assessments just to prove you know the material.

And my fifth one was better credit

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 programs basically, like, to redeem the credits. 3 Like people that have been left back years ago -years back, they need to redeem those credits. 4 5 And, also, I wanted to go back to what I said about the SAT's and, like, basic 6 assessments. Basically, I feel as though, like, they should also stick to what kids relate to, the 8 students relate to. Like when they go in schools 10 and they teach American history and things like 11 that with regards to, like, the Presidents and all 12 that, that's all good, but, like, most kids 1.3 need -- we need, like, stuff that relate to our race, because you see me, I'm multiracial, I'm 14 15 mixed with, like, five other races, so I need to, 16 like, know a little more about my races. You 17 understand? Like, I really do. 18 MS. CLARKE: Thank you, Brandon. 19 And thank you very much to our panel. 20 minutes for questions. Andy? 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 2.2 because I'm sitting in a room with a bunch of 23 lawyers, I want to put something out there. I

think Youth Action For Change started this chapter

this year. This is our first year. Brandon's one

24

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 of our founding members, and we have a lot of young people who tell a lot of the same stories and it's really -- it's disheartening. And as you build personal relationships with young people, it starts to really get at you.

1.3

2.2

And I think we talk about it in all these vague ways. Like one little mess up here or this didn't work there, and not really realizing, actually, the impact it has on people's lives. And so for us and when you see Brandon's part and the group of young people who are working on a report, Real Voices in the Dropout Crisis. We have another report that's being done by young people in our program that are working on zero tolerances. Both of those are being released in November.

But Raheem, for example, is in DC right now speaking at the Department of Education. He's speaking at the White House, meeting with senators and congressmen about his story. He talks about how he was kicked out of his school, John Wanamaker, in seventh grade and never was given a hearing, never -- his mom was told to sign a paper. He was shipped to CEP, where he was

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 severely abused. And now CEP doesn't have a contract, right, so we figured out, after seven years, that CEP doesn't have a contract, they're no good. So what happens to all those kids that didn't make it through that program? What legal strategies can they employ?

1.3

2.2

You know, my father's a lifelong schoolteacher in the classroom. He says if a medical doctor doesn't read a couple journals a week, they get sued for malpractice. One of the answers is suing school districts for malpractice and holding them accountable. And so we have a lot of -- we have a lot of young people who have fallen through the cracks now, who are 18, 19, 20, without high school diplomas at the complete failure of the school district.

And so, you know, when they're doing illegal things, like sending kids to disciplinary schools without parents understanding what's going on. So just, you know, a little food for thought. I'm going to put them out, since we're in a room of lawyers. Any creative ideas, we're open to them. We've got a lot of young people who can give some really great testimony to

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 move forward on some kind of legal strategy.

MS. CLARKE: Thanks. Let's see,

4 Harold?

5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not

6 trying to answer the question, so this is a

7 | different one. I was struck by how nothing was

8 | said about school security and policing as

9 possible contributing factors for kids being

10 | pushed out of school. Any reflections? Is there

11 | a perception that there's a problem? That seemed

12 to be kind of a missing element of this

13 conversation.

18

24

14 MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, yeah, I meant

15 to ask you that. I meant to talk about that, too.

16 | Basically, like, I feel as though, like, the

17 | security in the schools is, like -- it's like

they're not properly trained, you know, because,

19 like, they don't know how to be security. They

20 don't know how to be police. Like, you're not

21 supposed to put your hands on the students,

22 | period, unless you have the right reason for doing

23 so. I also feel as though, like, they really

shouldn't verbally abuse students neither because

25 | a lot of security guards, they get away with that

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 and some students, they put out reports on that saying such and such, he said something to me really disrespectful, he said something real racist and derogatory and I didn't really like that. And I feel as though they should move strongly on that.

1.3

MR. LAPP: Well, I was going to say Harold should be answering your own question because you probably can speak to this as well as any of us.

But last week -- or it's been two weeks ago, some of you may have seen in the newspaper a small, little article that 60 police officers in the School District of Philadelphia from schools all around the City were trained. It was coordinated by the Mental Health Association of Southeastern Pennsylvania. And they brought in advocates from all around the City. They brought in students to help train the kids. It was five days, forty hours of training. And the police officers came out to the person saying, "This is the best training I've ever had. This is going to be an incredible change to the way they work with kids." The point is the same as Brandon's, the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 training makes a huge difference for that.

And yeah, so that came out and then, at the same time, there was another article about the -- Chief Myron Patterson, who's a person in the district, saying, "We're going to turn this school police force -- we're going to model it on our city police force," and it was so -- I was feeling schizophrenic because you have, like, two different messages coming at the same. So, yeah, I think that's a huge problem.

The other thing I'll just add quickly is, you know, the model that a lot of people point to is what Judge Steven Teske has done in Clayton County, Georgia, where he brings in the police, school resource officers, they're called, and the social service agencies, the school and, as a judge, he calls them all together and makes them talk to each other and makes them work together and they've seen pretty dramatic -- really dramatic improvements there, and they have the police officers actively engaged in trying to reduce the number of referrals that they're making to the juvenile justice system, and sort of empowering police as part of the solution for

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 reducing referrals and it seems to make a difference there.

I'm almost nervous about that approach because I know there's been horrible problems with school police in our schools, so it seems dangerous to encourage the use of more police. But by any means, it seems like the police we are using, we really should be training them.

MS. CLARKE: Other questions?

Deborah?

2.

2.2

MS. MEIER: Comments and then a question to you. First of all, by the way, we have found ways to get the police out of our schools. I think it's a very odd thing to bring a policeman into schools who are not accountable to the school, but to their own hierarchy, and if they're going to be there, they should be well trained, but it -- and it's possible.

A good school should not require -- a halfway good school should not require a policeman in the school. And I can give you the names of a variety of schools, including Walt Whitman in New York, that has, you know, 1800

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 kids in it and there's no -- in most of the high 2. schools in New York, you go through a security 3 check up. I mean, it changes the very nature of 4 5 schools when you have to come in first and go through the security. They have long lines --6 Brandeis High School, which was referred to earlier, they have a line forming every morning as 8 they go through security. It's like going on the 10 airplanes. And I think it sets a terrible tone. 11 Second, I just wanted to remind 12 you because you said by second grade, if they're 13 not reading. In the highest scoring country in the world, Finland, they don't even start any kind 14 of teaching of reading until they're seven years 15 16 old, which is the second grade. So it's not that 17 children can't learn to read later, because they're already labeled a failure, and once you're 18 19 labeled a failure, there are serious consequences. 20 MS. CLARKE: We have 30 seconds to 21 this program. 2.2 MS. MEIER: Okay. But I 23 especially know -- I think there are two separate 24 issues here and it would help the public to

distinguish them. Parents want the bad kids out

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

of the class, and the parents of the so-called bad kids have a self-interest and sort of a due process. And there are cases, I think, that work with the school distinguishing whether their reason is to -- whether they're taking the kid out for the sake of the other kids or whether they're taking him out for his sake.

2.2

And as someone who was the principal of many schools over the years, it's helpful, to me, to realize what I'm doing and what my purpose is and then think how to proceed, but not to be hypocritical and remove kids where you have no idea, at least to that moment, anything better you can do for them and that you are really using it for a different purpose.

MS. CLARKE: If anybody --

MS. MEIER: I just wonder if there's some way we can acknowledge those two separate purposes.

MR. LAPP: The one thing I meant to say earlier, which is right on point with that, is that same study that I referred to, the Skiba and Losen study, looked at schools with high suspension rates and compared them to similarly

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 demographic schools and found that, you know, the 3 schools that are suspending more, it's not -- you know, the idea is get rid of the difficult kid so 4 5 the other kids can learn. Right? But that doesn't happen. That when we do that, those 6 schools are actually performing worse. All the kids. That when we're getting rid of -- when 8 we're getting rid of bad kids, everybody is 10 somehow suffering. So we -- we're just -- we're 11 working on a false premise when schools work on 12 that. 13 So I think you're exactly right, schools need to know that, that that doesn't help 14 15 and that we need to stop removing kids for that 16 purpose, and that's hard to hear. As a parent with two kids in the schools, there's a sort of 17 visceral reaction you have, that there's kids 18 19 drunk in the class and you think that getting rid 20 of that kid is going to help for your kid, but 21 that's not what the evidence shows. 2.2 MS. CLARKE: Thank you all. Let's 23 give our panelist a nice round of applause.

Okay. Here's the

(Applause.)

MR. JOSEPH:

24

2.5

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

plan for the rest of the day. You have a seven-minute break and then we'll be meeting with the next panel and I'm telling you, the next panel has lost three minutes. You've lost 18 of your 15 and I've lost five of my 15.

2.2

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

MR. JOSEPH: I'm turning the podium over to Jenny, again, and we'll getting going.

MS. CLARKE: Okay. So this is the time we've had all the experts in the room and this is the time we really want to engage you.

But if you'll bear with us for a few more minutes, we have two national experts in civic engagement here to set the stage. Because what we want to do is we want to talk about solutions now and we want to build on Dr. Simmons's exculpation to us to begin to build cross community platforms.

But before we do, I think some of us may need a little instruction about how to do that, so we have two experts in the subject. We have Brian Armstead, who's the director of civic engagement of the Philadelphia Education Fund, and we have Amanda Brown, senior vice president of the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

Public Education Network.

2.2

So what we're going to do, just to set the stage, is we're going to ask, first,

Amanda to talk to us about the necessary conditions for effecting change in public education. What are the tools that we have available to us as -- since we aren't necessarily the parents or the students, what are the tools that those of us have?

MS. BROWN: Thanks, Jennifer.

Look, I will talk about tools, but just two minutes of background, so you know. The Public Education Network is a network of community-based organizations, like you have here in Philadelphia with the Philadelphia Education Fund, who are all over the country in high poverty school districts, and they're working to try and improve public education. And they really do that in two -- sort of broadly speaking, two major strategies.

One is working directly with the school districts, and Brian's going to tell you more about the pathways, things like the College Access Program and who's passing out scholarships

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 and so working, really, to try to improve what's going on in the school district.

1.3

2.2

2.4

And the second strategy is public engagement, which we've heard some about today, and I think Warren laid a terrific foundation for. But the premise there is, really, that the schools can't do their job alone. They need the support of the entire public. And when we think about the public, we mean not only the organized stakeholder groups, the institutions and sort of formal entities of those facilities, we also mean general public, the voters, the citizens, the folks like you and I and others, that — others that are less informed about public education, but need to understand why education is important in their communities, even if they don't have kids in the system and why they should care.

So we think about sort of three roles of that citizen, that member of the paths.

One is setting expectations for its schools, right, demanding that there be high quality schools in their community.

A second role has to do with holding elected officials accountable and when you

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 go to the ballot box, that you're thinking about public education when we're deciding who to vote for, whether it's the mayor or it's a local official or if it's the President of the United States.

2.2

And a third role has to do with allocating resources and whether that's fundraising, whether it's a tax levy, those are really the key roles that citizens play. It doesn't have to do with tutoring -- that's good, too -- but it has to do with the civic roles that Americans play. And you can read more about that. In fact, there's a chapter in the materials written by (inaudible) that goes into a lot of detail about those roles.

So our local education funds, who are involved in a public engagement, do a number of things to try and flesh that role out. Right? They'll hold candidate boards, like school board elections, it's held in a round. They'll translate the school district budget into layperson's guidance for the district budget because otherwise it's impenetrable, so people can get educated about the dollars meant for the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 | schools.

2.2

In New Jersey, our local education fund in Paterson for many years would do fact sheets on the various Abbott decisions. What does it mean for you in terms of pre-kindergarten? Right? So people would begin to understand what was going on in their school districts and why it's important.

And they would hold community forums, and this gets to, really, one of the things that Warren talked about at lunch, the need to have institutional commitment at the community level for high quality schools and, really, a community understanding of what that means and the various roles that that -- the ways that that plays out.

So one example for that -- of that is our Mobile Education Fund, which he made reference to, which held community meetings engaging 1400 members of their community across 50 different conversations, living rooms, community centers and so on, and they developed, through that, a strategic plan. They then had a representative of each of those 50 come together

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

community.

in a 50-person sort of cadre that developed a strategy called "Yes, We Can" for the Mobile

And it was subsequently endorsed by the school board, the mayor and the governor got involved, and it has become the foundation for the plan of that school district. And if you ask our LEF director, local education fund director, in Mobile, she will tell you that that plan is owned by the community so that if she got hit by a truck, it would continue.

And in the implementation of that plan, they've gotten, you know, churches to help with tutoring. They have 700 community partners, businesses and other institutions in the community, to play a role in supporting their public schools.

So, Jennifer, you asked about tools. I'll do two quick tools, and then turn it over back to you and have Brian talk more about what's happening in Philadelphia. But there are two tools that I would draw your attention to, and there's a flier, again, in your materials about each.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

I mentioned that we think about the public both in terms of formal institutions as well as the general public. So one of the tools is called "Give Kids Good Schools." It's an eadvocacy campaign that's meant to get the general public, a person who cares about education, doesn't know, really, what they can do, may not have kids in the system, what can I do to help schools? That website -- and there's also, actually, a "Give Kids Good Schools" week coming up in October where there are activities going on around the country.

But you can learn, vote and act.

So it's a call to action in support of public education. And the website gives you, again, very layperson friendly tools to questions that I can ask my school board member or of my teacher or how do I tell if my school is good. So it's sort of a standard case agenda in ten easy bites. You can learn what makes for a good school.

You vote: Again, thinking about elections this year in particular, what are the kinds of questions I should consider when I decide who to vote for. And action: I can take action

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 from my desktop. I can send letters to Congress, that sort of thing. So there's a set of tools there.

2.2

The other is called a civic index for public education. It has to do with more formal institutions in the community that can play a role in support of schools. They can be, again, how we've come to understand, that schools need the support of institutions within their community, whether it's higher education or business or parent groups. We've divided the community up into ten sectors and we have those formal groups that you would expect, but also some maybe less obvious suspects, like how well does the media cover public education in my community? To what extent does my community get into the values of tolerance and inclusiveness? Those sorts of indications.

And, again, the flyer that's in your materials has a list of what those ten steps are. And again, in the website, there's a public opinion poll. It's based on polling. The community can do like sort of a self-assessment of how well its community, in those ten areas, is

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 supporting its public schools. It can do an 3 assessment. It can then go to the resources and other tips that are provided to figure out how to 4 5 respond when they get a low score in a particular 6 area. And again, it's about using that data to engage the community to come up with a plan that says, here's where our community is, here's where 8 we needed it to be, how are we going to get to 10 there and have a community engagement process that 11 allows people to take appropriate roles in 12 supporting schools.

MS. CLARKE: So we've talked a little bit about what the tools are. And before we launch into our discussion, for those of you who aren't actively engaged, I'd like Brian to just talk to you about what we already have in place in Philadelphia. We're not starting on a blank slate. We, in fact, have a number of very effective and broad platforms.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARMSTEAD: Thank you. Let me just first say just a little bit about the previous questions about the -- I think it's important to note to people who don't do civic engagement on a regular basis that you may get

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 confused if you listen to different people talk about what civic engagement is or is not, and I think it's a little bit important to be able to be clear, especially when most of us in the room will probably have a similar idea.

1.3

And so, basically, what I'm getting at is one of the things you talked about is organizations that support schools, so they may be doing tutoring, whether they're doing mentoring or helping to connect to providers of business programs, you're arranging for businesses to help, you know, provide some services to the teachers of students in a school. Very nonthreatening kind of supportive ways of going about it. That is a form of civic engagement. And typically, when school districts say they want to have civic engagement, that's what they're asking for.

But what we're going to be talking about today is more about advocacy. And it's really more about how do you actually define a position that's going to make a substantive move forward and actually fight the power fights, organize yourselves, really try to figure out what the dynamics are, who's against a position, who

has their own ideas, how to actually go about influencing something and moving an agenda forward? Which is different, but I think it's important to talk about that because you'll get different responses from people inside and outside of the power structure and, often, there are arguments about things and people aren't even having the same discussion with the same language. So I just wanted to be clear about that.

1

2.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So when I was asked to talk about initiatives that are happening in Philadelphia, as in much of the country, you know, the tools of civic engagement are often just provided for me. Right? So you have local groups, like Research For Action, doing incredible research. Right? Or you have -- somebody mentioned PCCY, Public Citizens for Children and Youth, that are very good at providing advocacy groups. They're not pure research in the same way that you do it or the Consortium in Chicago may do it, but what they do is really figure out the issues that are going on, let's say, around zero-tolerance and positive behavioral supports and school climate, and they really make a case for moving forward in a certain

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.2

direction. So those are two sources of
information that, if you all want more, those are
places you can go for more information.

The Philadelphia Education Fund, we are very good at convening people. I think one of the things that Warren talked about which is really true, is that it's hard for any individual or any particular group to go about fighting.

Again, this is about power constructs, right, and how to really influence an agenda. And so it's hard for any one of us, or when Amanda and I get up on our soap boxes and convince people that they need to make a certain change. So how do we build a collective will?

A part of that, a large part of that is informing people, but then also convening people, and that's what we do, particularly at the PA Ed Fund. So we do something called The Education First Compact, which is a pretty broad array of education stakeholders looking at public education in Philadelphia. We meet once a month. And again, if you're interested in that, come and see me after and I can give you more information.

We also convene the Math and

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 Science Coalition, which are businesses and other 2 3 people that are really interested in improving math and science education. Right? 4 And so 5 there's all sorts of reports talking about the fact that we're falling behind the rest the world. 6 But how do you actually go about making changes in the teaching and learning that's taking place in 8

9 the classrooms to make sure that improvement is
10 made in the classrooms around teaching and

learning?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There's -- Ron Cowell was here earlier. His organization, the EPLC, have really led a state-wide coalition around state funding.

And again, I'm moving quickly because of time, but I can go into more depth of any one of these that people want or you can see me afterwards, but there's an incredible coalition in the State that, if you're not plugged into, around trying to make sure there's equitable and adequate funding. This is another avenue. There's an established coalition that you can plug yourself into that can help give you the language and talk to you about which elected officials are the people that we need to target and how do we go about really

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 trying to influence that agenda.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Last year, there was a particularly, I think, effective collaboration, the Education First Compact, which we convened, joined forces with a group called the Cross City Campaign for School Reform, which is a coalition of grass root organizing groups, so parent organizers, student organizers, they got together. And so together, the compact, which especially deals with a lot of institutional folks and organizational folks and the grass roots folks from Cross City, came together around the Effective Teaching Campaign, which really influenced a lot -- the beginning of last year and going back a little bit, the school district was developing their -- you know, their strategic plan, so we had a lot of input into that; a lot of budget implications. Once they developed a plan, what it actually would spend money for; a lot of implications for that. Over the summer, last year,

Over the summer, last year,

Michael Churchill, in particular, at PILCOP, and

also I see Alfredo Compo who was in here, also,

really played a significant role in the ending of

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
the desegregation court hearing that had been
going on for decades in the City. Well, the
result of that were some things that I think can
really make a positive impact for Philadelphia if
we now monitor them. So -- but a lot of that was
as a result of the work that came out in the
Effective Teaching Campaign.

2.2

And, of course, the final piece, really, was the contract between the teachers' union and the school district, which, frankly, neither side wanted any community input into. But I think that we were able to have some significant impact to try to help them re-think what they're doing and what their final agreement came out to.

There are a lot of other examples as well, but limited time.

MS. CLARKE: Well, what we have is we have a very rich, densely-worded, existing group of platforms. So now what I want to do is turn this over to you, and I'm going to ask a multipart question and I'd ask any of you to address any parts of it, and that is: What are the substantive matters that we, as a community, should be working on? What are the things that we

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 aren't working on that we need to work on, how do we work on them, and who's missing from the table in all of the current collaborations? Who's missing from the table? Who are the stakeholders that we need to engage?

2.2

I'm going to ask you all to talk about that now and then, at the end of the day, I'm going to ask what you are willing to do. So be careful if you stand up. Anybody want to go first? And, Brian and Amanda, I hope you'll just chime in as we go.

DR. PERRY: This is more of a question than a comment. I'm wondering, given the current climate that we exist in, are there places in the City where ordinary people can routinely talk about the issues that Warren raised in his presentation? Are there places where people can talk about the control that corporate leaders have? Because what I find, you know, folks are confused about what's going on. They -- I don't know how many people have been -- and they were -- they found the wait -- ordinary people found the Waiting For Superman movie compelling, but they knew something was wrong. So how -- are there

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

places where people can routinely have these

conversations with ordinary people that build an

understanding of the political climate in which we

are operating in?

1.3

2.2

MR. ARMSTEAD: You know, I'll speak locally and then maybe we can talk about other cities. But, yes, there are some opportunities, but not nearly as many as I can think that there need to be. So one thing, there are organizations that periodically will hold forums -- and those are good -- but what I'm getting from you, and I completely agree with, is we need something that's really more ongoing and people can plug into when they're ready to start having those conversations.

You know, there are groups that do outreach and have conversations with people. They tend to be more, I think -- the more consistent they are, the more localized they are. So, for example, you have some people from Action

United -- it used to be ACORN, now they're Action

United -- that are back in the neighborhoods, they're organized again. They have continual conversations with their leaders, right? But how

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

do we have that happen so that people across the

City have an opportunity to plug in?

1.3

I mentioned the compact, but, to be honest, that happens 8:00 to 10:00 in the morning, the first Thursday of every month. It's meant for people who work at organizations because it's easier for them to spend some time in the beginning of the day and then they leave and they do the rest of their work. But we need to think about how do we develop some kind of a vehicle to have similar kinds of information going to regular people and give them a chance to actually talk about what they're hearing and voice their thoughts and their concerns.

So that's a partial yes, but, to me, it's an identifiable need that we have to figure out how to really address.

MS. CLARKE: Other comments?

MR. CHURCHILL: One possible tool, frankly, is the public school Notebooks website, which has a very rich interactive conversation and which could really be built on if we decided to expand it and use it. But there are a number of

2.2

2.4

2.5

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 topics that control and then there are huge numbers that don't, and we haven't figured out how to use that tool yet, but it's certainly one that is potentially there.

MS. BROWN: Jennifer, I have an additional comment on this subject. And I think there's the physical space in Philadelphia, but certainly there's an environment with this huge influx of mass media attention to public education that provides an opportunity for us to engage around the issues that get raised. So people have all different takes, most of whom are not so hot on Waiting for Superman, but it does provide an opportunity for people to convene conversations about the issues that are raised.

And there are actually another half dozen education documentaries that are, of course, not getting anywhere near the attention, but that also have a different perspective. And Community Concern is one. From August to June. There are about five or six out there, they all have websites, and they have conversation paths and so on.

The attention that Channel 4, that

NBC has given with Education Nation, there were several conversations earlier this week on the Today Show, I guess it was Meet the Press and so on. The coverage that a lot of the newspapers are now giving to education in a more thoughtful way than sort of the crisis of the moment, these are good news. Today, USA Today, they reported on the issues of the Supreme Court (inaudible.)

2.2

So I guess I'm just raising this as an opportunity to sort of take issues that get raised, the fact that it's in a little bit more of the mass media, I think is a good thing because we have a chance to shape the conversation in the way that we want.

One of our challenges, though, is that we don't have -- and Warren talked a little about this -- is we don't have the message in a succinct way. Right? We can't say, as they do, charters, union is bad; you know, tests, school testing. We don't have this sort of one-word answer that they do. And so one of the challenges, I think, when we go into these community conversations, we say community engagement. And we, even on the panel have

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

different definitions of what we think it is and we aren't positive of the definition. So how do we define community? Well, who's in my community? Is it my block? Is it my school district? Et cetera. So it's -- that is a big challenge for us, is trying to get some of this lesson learned, but I think the opportunity is there more than it's been in awhile.

2.

2.2

MR. ARMSTEAD: If I can just say, also, I'm glad you said because, you know, Jenny's going to be asking what you all can do, and part of the reason that we don't have the kind of conversations that I would love to see happening in Philadelphia is simply a capacity issue.

But if there are people that are out there in this audience and you happen to know that, in your neighborhood, you'd love to see a certain conversation happen, but you just don't have access to the people or the information that could really facilitate that conversation or what have you, we can do that. We can help you plug the right people in to come in and either facilitate or meet or inform your group and inspire a discussion or what have you.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

1.3

2.2

2.4

But, often, it's the logistics of trying to make these meetings happen, to make sure you actually do it right to get people to come out which takes a lot of energy and effort because you have to plug yourself into a community. And so I don't know the neighborhood, it would take me nine times as long to get people to come out than if you do it because you know your neighborhood or your work community or your professional community or whatever it is that you feel needs to get informed on these varies issues. So I would invite you all to think about that, about the role that you can play as a person to connect us to your communities.

MS. CLARKE: Sheila.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. I like your question and I think it's an excellent question to ask, and I think the answer is that I don't think that we actually have a place. And I do feel that there is a disconnect really almost between the education community and the community in general.

And I think, just to answer

Jenny's question: Who's missing is parents. You

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 know, I mean, we have people who work in community engagement and civic engagement, but that's not the exact same as someone whose job is I work in the mail room and I'm just a parent.

2.2

And in Philadelphia --

Philadelphia is -- it's a community that has a lot of poverty, and it's a community where a lot of parents didn't even finish high school, where like the parents are probably operating on an eighth grade reading level and that nobody cares about our parents in Philadelphia. They don't know what we're saying.

We have our meetings, you know, we speak in jargon, we speak the language, you know, we speak the acronyms. I've been at PCCY for four-and-a-half years, I've worked at the Notebook two years before then. It took me years to understand this language, so I don't think that we are talking to their -- talking to parents, talking to regular people in a way that they can understand. And we talk about this in the education community a lot, that a lot of times we don't even have -- you know, we have meetings during the day for those of us who are

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 professionals. During nine to five, we can meet and talk about these situations. Parents have their own jobs from nine to five. It's really hard for them to get to these types of conversations.

2.

2.2

PCCY actually has -- we do advocacy workshops and that starts with a survey, we try to teach parents and community groups what is necessary for a good school, because a lot of them don't know what the class size should be, how much experience a teacher should have. They don't know these things, and so I think there is a disconnect.

You know, I'm tired of Waiting For Superman, but I have to say that Waiting For Superman opened the conversation up to the ordinary person, but it's something that we can work on.

MS. CLARKE: We've been talking a lot about process, but how about substance? What is our one word? Bill.

MR. JONES: I've been concerned today. I haven't heard anything about what happens in the classroom. We've talked a lot

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 2 about curriculum, involvement, the government. 3 I'm concerned about pedagogy. As far as I can tell, we're still trying to teach the same way we 4 5 did a hundred years ago, by and large. We have an entirely different population out there today. 6 We 7 have enough people who have a different perspective on life and a different background. 8 9 A hundred years ago, the 10 competition was a church service or a play or 11 something like that. There was no, you know, 12 iPods and computers and videos and all this other 13 stuff competing with us. We have to change the 14 entire way that we teach in schools. That's why 15 they're dropping out. They're bored to tears. 16 And you can talk about the other things that they 17 explain, you know, family problems and so on. Ιf they really liked coming to school, they'd be 18 19 there, family problems or not. That's the 20 problem, and I haven't heard a word about that. MS. CLARKE: Bill, I went to 21 22 public school and I can't spell. Help me with this. 23 2.4

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

25 P-E-D-A-G-O-G-Y.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

MS. CLARKE: Deborah.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. MEIER: I agree with you about pedagogy, but I'm also just thinking about what Warren said about our getting the message. not the executive board for the schools for the future. And I do think we have to think of something that doesn't just defer to the kind of school we would like to have. So, in that sense, I don't think this -- I think we're trying to connect -- we can come in and agree on pedagogy or exactly what's the right curriculum. something to do with Warren's point that the power belongs to the people who are closest to the -like a democratic principal, the people who decide things are the people inside the schools, and that schools need to just -- they're reflective. It's in that area that I think there's a lot of bridge here. And I think somebody -- somebody needs to come up with one.

And, you know, I think if it's 72 percent good, I think we should leave it at that and not worry about trying to get it so that I would like it at 99 percent. In other words, I think we need a very short statement of what

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

unites us, and then I think we need to take a poll

and -- I just think of the number of people who

have blogs who I agree with, and the number of

organizations -- probably true here in

Philadelphia -- I always think you're so lucky,

you have the Notebook.

2.2

You know, there are five or six different organizations in New York City that sound exactly the same to me. They're parent-based organizations for the kind of general reform that I have in mind. They don't talk to each other either. So I just think -- I think we need some way of bringing together the people who are already in action, but in isolation from each other, and I wish somebody would take the lead in doing that, and it could be anybody. And when you say you're willing to do that for Philadelphia -- is that what you were saying?

MR. CHURCHILL: Yes.

(Laughter.)

MS. MEIER: So I just -- I think we have to be very specific in getting some people on the ground in different cities who are willing to coordinate what is already there, and then move

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 from there, because I think there's a lot out there, but everybody feels isolated and everybody's trying to persuade each other or somebody else and trying to find out what we all agree about.

2.2

MS. CLARKE: I would suggest that
Philadelphia is unique in the sense that because
of the work of Brian and Ron Cowell and the
Education Law Fund and Public Interest Law Center,
there's less of that, at least among the education
advocates, people speaking in silos, but there are
a lot of people who aren't in the room and there
are a lot of people who aren't in the
conversation, and I just throw that out. In terms
of building a cross community collaborative, I
think we're okay with education advocates talking
to each other, but where else do we need to go?
Yes?

MS. DOUGLAS: Hi. My name is Rhasheda Douglas. I'm an attorney with the U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, and I've also had the distinct pleasure of being a student of Professor Joseph when I was at Rutgers Law School in Camden.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

And one thing that I think this gentleman over here mentioned, Bill, is focusing on more what's being taught in the classroom. The other thing that really struck me is the young man on the panel. The first thing that he mentioned that was very important to him was empowerment. He said empowerment, but then he went on to describe having someone in the classroom that can engage him, motivate him, and somewhat, I guess, be a mentor to him as well.

I had an opportunity this past spring to go into Philadelphia public schools as part of my job to talk to disabled students on transitioning to college, and within those evaluations from those students, the overwhelming majority of them stated, "Oh, I enjoyed having Ms. Douglas there because she didn't talk down to us. She spoke to us" -- I guess I made them feel I was interested in hearing back from them.

I think one of the issues that was mentioned is if we have teachers that are coming into a community that they don't know about, that they perhaps haven't had a chance to become educated about and to find out more about the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 1 children and what motivates them and what helps 2. foster that connection there -- because I think, 3 as we all know, every child can learn, but they 5 have to be motivated to think that they can, and to be motivated to think that they can go to 6 college and secure a career, but unless they have adults that are willing to really not only talk at 8 and preach at them, but be a part of their lives 10 continually, they're not going to do it unless 11 they're extremely motivated individuals. And we do have a few of them, but that's not the majority 12 13 of the students that are in public schools right 14 So that was the only thing I wanted to say. 15 MR. ARMSTEAD: So I actually think 16 that with your comments about personalization and 17 connection and Neal Jones's comments about 18 teaching and learning in the classroom, that most 19 of the advocates in the education community would 20 agree with you wholeheartedly. In fact, we put 21 forth a lot of different positions and try to 22 organize around, you know, various components of that. 23

But sort of going back to what Barb was saying, a lot of days it's hard to cut

2.4

25

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 through the clutter. You know, there's other agendas that are out there and/or often there are people who will agree, but they don't think about how to actually implement anything all the way through.

2.2

And so if you're talking about teaching and learning and you're saying, well, you know, we want to do something. We want to do more projects next month. Right? And then someone says, well, that's a great idea. We'll do that. But where's the professional development and how much do you actually need? Is it a one-shot deal? Is it a two-shot deal? Is a session, a series of three or four things? And how do you arrange the day to make sure that you can have the teachers available to do the professional development? And what's the union's role in trying to contribute? I mean, is there some flexibility that they can be able to agree to? I mean, all these kinds of things need to get worked out.

But that's where it takes people to get a little bit more organized because, again, that's why we're reaching out to people and saying we need to grow more advocates. We need to really

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

build a stronger collective voice. We need to --

I think Warren's exactly right, we need to figure out how we're even saying it so that instead of me talking for three minutes, I can just say the right phrase and it will automatically register to

7 someone.

MS. CLARKE: Did you have

something to say?

2.2

MR. BROWN: Yes. My name is Tony Brown, and I would like to say that I am a member of Action United, which is the former ACORN.

But individuals are asking for a location or a venue where they can express their opinions and get training and find out more about these events and the things in which they can do, I would like to welcome them to our office, which is 846 North Broad Street. We are continuing. We have many educational options for parents, mostly parents, because we're a parent-organized group, but we collaborate with the two known student groups here in Philadelphia, Youth Action for Change and Philadelphia Student Union. We also are a part of this Four Cities School Initiative and the Effective Teachers Campaign.

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2.

2.2

But at any time anyone knows any parents -- I, personally, our office, makes two to four state legislator and city council visits a month. Everyone's welcome to come at any time.

We also have a national campaign in which we're working on now for education in which the focus is the opportune time, and we will invite anyone to join and review our platforms and see if you find it fitting.

We're talking about sustainable school systems. As the young lady was asking, she said we are old and there are different ideas, but we have to collaborate with the youth and get the youth's ideas. We also ask for teachers to be more involved in the decision making as well as especially in the community-based organizations.

So we will gladly take the lead and focus on what you need. And anyone is welcome on our website, www.unitedactionunited.org.

Although our name has changed and we are a new corporation, we're doing some of the old things and a lot of new things, so please come join us.

There's our website, and come to some of our parent-teacher meetings and we'll have some

1 SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

2 interesting meetings. Thank you.

3 | MS. CLARKE: What's the street

4 | address again?

5 MR. BROWN: Broad Street. North

6 Broad Street.

7 MS. CLARKE: Thank you. The

8 | gentleman right there.

9 MR. RUSHKIN: My name is Adewale

10 | Rushkin (ph). I am a student at Rutgers Camden's

11 | Law School, and I want to apologize because I'm

12 not going to offer a solution, but rather, present

13 | another question.

24

14 (Laughter.)

15 | MR. RUSHKIN: That's what happens

16 | when you go to law school. I'm still in my

17 | training phase, so excuse me.

18 One of the things that we started

19 | with is the -- in the most devastated communities,

20 | in the communities where you have high

21 unemployment rates and where you have drug abuse

22 and you have a lot of just specific family issues,

23 when we talk about civic engagement, you know, it

could mean different things, but when I think

25 about that, I really think about engaging the

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 people on that base, on that level.

2.2

The parents of the children who are, you know, third, fourth generation, you know, high school dropouts, I still struggle with how do we get those people to enter into the conversation because when I think about this conversation, we have a lot of educated people in this room who are interested, who are also members of the community, but I always envision these collective conversations simply encompassing the type of people who are in this room and never really finding a way to incorporate those individuals and their perspectives.

And even the broader question is:

Are those perspectives -- what can those

perspectives actually bring to the table of moving

forward? I'm not sure, but could anyone speak to

that?

MS. BROWN: Sure. I think that you raised it correctly and made an important point and I think it can be done. We've held community conversations during sort of the heyday, and we even went around the country and asked parents, children and community members what they

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

thought about "No Child Left Behind," what were

the implications for their communities. The focus

was: Was this work being heard?

And you have to address -- the young lady earlier mentioned sort of the time -- it has to be in the evening, you have to provide child care, you have to feed their kids. And there are things we can do to make it easy for parents to come out, but the value that you get is incredibly important, because we've heard from those folks things that we don't hear from teachers or the administrators or folks that are normally engaged in the conversation about how -- in this case, we were using "No Child Left Behind" as the hook, but people raised every issue that was of concern to them, whether or not it happened to be tied to a federal law.

So there are ways to do it and I think you, A, have to pay attention to how you do it and how the facilitation is done, but it's a very valuable thing to do and you can bring the community together just thinking about what we want, how we want our schools to look like.

MS. CLARKE: Michael.

2.

2.2

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARMSTEAD: I'm sorry, one second. I think it's also important, because many of us in this room will find ourselves at tables where parents and students are not, or just people from disaffected communities are not there.

Right? And so one of the things that you and I, we can do when we're in those meetings is hold them up until they get people that can actually speak for themselves at those tables.

I mean, because, again, part of it is, like, again, like Warren was saying earlier, we have 50 people in a room who have a certain world view, it's very easy for them to come to an agreement because they agree anyway. Right? so how do we get more people representing a broader and more diverse view at the tables where decisions are actually being made. And so if you're at that table, that is your responsibility since you're central to it to make sure to open the door to get people in. Right? So there are people from, you know, student organizing groups or parent organizing groups that we know are out How do we make sure that Action United is there. at the table that I can be at today? Or the next

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

meeting they need to be there. Youth United for
Change needs to be there, so they can speak for
themselves.

MS. CLARKE: Michael, and then Warren, and we're going to stop.

1.3

2.2

MR. CHURCHILL: Well, I learned in law school that you should never offer solutions because they'll get shot down --

(Laughter.)

MR. CHURCHILL: -- but I'm going to violate that rule, and I'm going to start by telling people, they may have missed it, but the Inquirer today had an editorial about what needs to be done for change to improve our schools, and I misplaced my copy, but if I remember right, the three things that they identify were firing teachers, reconstructing schools, and high standards, by which, I guess, they meant more testing.

Now, that's what the opposition looks like, and I think we need to make sure that we are framing our work in terms of building a constituency that understands that there are some alternatives to that and what it is and no phrase

will ever work to encompass everything. But I would offer building professional capacity ought to be our role, rather than destroying existing relationships with teachers. That allows us to talk about, when their building professional capacity, training for respect that we've heard so much about this morning and how it destroys kids when it doesn't exist in schools. It allows us to talk about the pedagogy that Bill raised and any number of kinds of issues and the relationships of trust and interpersonal relationships that you need in schools.

2.

2.2

2.4

You may come up with a better one, but I want to offer the idea that we need to be responsive to the political conditions that we're in as we are engaging in this, and one place that everybody might start is by taking a look at that editorial and writing a response to it and begin to start that dialog of whatever words you want, but we shouldn't take that kind of stuff sitting down.

MS. CLARKE: Okay. Warren.

DR. SIMMONS: We should not, by

any means, underestimate our power, as

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 disorganized and underresourced as we are. I saw a recent example of this, the Annenberg Institute support of the attitude of a new coalition called Community on Public Schools, and many local organizations -- Boston, Philadelphia, New York, and Oakland -- contributed to that effort, took part in that effort. They developed, with our support, a nice glossy publication.

2.

2.2

They presented it to their local government there, how their schools will perform, the regular schools that existed, and then they came up with a particular government's position and, basically, said, you know, there are three elements of sustainability that we want to get behind. We want a strong focus on school instruction, curriculum, culture, staffing. We want wraparound supports for our students and more collaborations to ensure local enrichment and accountability. Three fundamental issues that I don't think anybody in this room has discussed getting into, but there's a lack of details.

But nonetheless, that coalition, in Washington DC, in Congress, in the office building, they had two national experts present,

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

me and Linda Darling, they had members of Congress show, the media showed up. And for at least a week-and-a-half, I had people in the White House calling me, I had members of the media calling me and those people, and we had a series of articles in the media challenging the administration's position.

1.3

2.2

That's the kind of work that needs to be sustained nationally and locally. And we had the resources available to do that one event and that one publication. We're not scrambling because these guys aren't stupid. You know, make sure that doesn't happen again, or maybe they'll call up Linda and Warren.

But nonetheless, those are the kinds -- so there's a coalition that exists and we're part of it to inform that work. How do we get that message down locally and how we can change that through the upcoming opportunities nationally, which are: School improvement grants and how they're going to be used, how do we monitor the limitations in Philadelphia and New York and Boston and other places and report on it locally and nationally? How do we inform a debate

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

about the re-authorization of the Elementary and

Secondary Education Act? That's going to be on

the national radar screen, and we tie our local

efforts together and through the coalitions, make

them both national and local, and we're going to

have some people pay attention to us.

1.3

2.2

But it is going to, I think, take this local, national conversation. And, also, we have researchers who are going to have to get out these complex messages, clarify them down to three or four elements for my colleagues, and I recommend that. But there are groups that are forming coalitions. You are part of that coalition, I would make that front and center, and whether there are other events, we can adopt new resources, organize to get -- and get the attention, because these guys are real sensitive and they seem to be more vulnerable than I think they appear to be.

MS. CLARKE: So I'm not going to ask you all to stand up --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just want to add to that. Based upon that presentation that we did on July the 28th, the Senator requested

SYMPOSIUM - SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

that we return. So just this Monday we've had a second visit with the Senate and we made a second presentation concerning our national campaign.

I just want to add, before that, an opportune time, this is the re-authorization

issue. So we can have all of these issues in two policies and have it implemented at least to reenact re-authorization. This is a great opportunity for us all and a great time to have more parents and communities involved in the structure and the designs of these transitional

MR. JOSEPH: Jenny, you asked if you could run a tight ship and it's now about time that we get there. So, first of all, thank you, Jenny. Thank you, panelists. Can we have all the panelists who are still here stand for one more round of applause.

(Applause.)

schools. Thank you.

2.2

[**& - 2010**] Page 317

&	17 224:16 256:13	14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1	169:1 170:1 171:1
& 1:11 205:10	18 48:18 136:14	18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1	172:1 173:1 174:1
	232:3,18,20 243:3	22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1	175:1 176:1 177:1
1	266:15 274:5	26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1	178:1 179:1 180:1
1 254:16	18,000 250:24	30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1	181:1 182:1 183:1
1.75 11:14	180 34:12 256:11	34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1	184:1 185:1 186:1
10 24:5 128:24	1800 1:23 270:25	38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1	187:1,20 188:1
181:7 250:25	1801 1:23	42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1	189:1 190:1 191:1
10,000 250:23	19 266:15	46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1	192:1 193:1 194:1
100 70:25 200:5,16	1900 97:8	50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1	195:1 196:1 197:1
101 111:18	19103 1:24	54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1	198:1 199:1 200:1
106 115:24	1920 97:9	58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1	201:1 202:1 203:1
10:00 113:14,16	1987 47:4	62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1	204:1 205:1 206:1
291:5	1988 74:6	66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	207:1 208:1 209:1
11 160:23 224:20	1990 177:9,11	70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1	210:1 211:1 212:1
112 116:9	1990's 148:16	74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1	213:1 214:1 215:1
11:00 30:8,19	1993 5:24	78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1	216:1 217:1 218:1
11:22 106:9	1994 52:10	82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	219:1 220:1 221:1
11:37 106:9	1995 74:15 75:3	86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1	222:1 223:1 224:1
12 7:20 19:23 30:4	1997 53:5	90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1	225:1 226:1 227:1
62:9 81:12 117:12	1998 37:23	94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1	228:1 229:1 230:1
160:23 246:9	1999 10:12	98:1 99:1 100:1	231:1 232:1 233:1
255:22	19th 101:9	101:1 102:1 103:1	234:1 235:1 236:1
12:00 30:19	1:00 112:2,22	104:1 105:1 106:1	237:1 238:1 239:1
12:48 157:11	1:17 157:11	107:1 108:1 109:1	240:1 241:1 242:1
12th 91:5 221:24	2	110:1,16 111:1	243:1 244:1 245:1
13 39:4 208:10	2 254:16 256:3	112:1 113:1 114:1	246:1 247:1 248:1
13,000 231:11	20 39:21 40:17	115:1 116:1 117:1	249:1 250:1 251:1
14 79:25 117:7	78:18 93:12 136:13	118:1 119:1 120:1	252:1 253:1 254:1
207:18	159:7 160:4 165:8	121:1 122:1 123:1	255:1 256:1 257:1
1400 278:21	168:6 176:12 181:7	124:1 125:1 126:1	258:1 259:1 260:1
146 124:16	182:7 191:2,10,20	127:1 128:1 129:1	261:1 262:1 263:1
14th 5:4 15 19:13 30:7 43:12	210:19 252:14	130:1 131:1 132:1	264:1 265:1 266:1
	266:15	133:1 134:1 135:1	267:1 268:1 269:1
47:15 55:21 56:22	200 140:7	136:1 137:1 138:1	270:1 271:1 272:1
117:23 128:24	2000 177:12 197:15	139:1 140:1 141:1	273:1 274:1 275:1
168:23 182:9	197:18,19 236:11	142:1 143:1 144:1	276:1 277:1 278:1
206:20 207:8	2005 53:5 75:4	145:1 146:1 147:1	279:1 280:1 281:1
213:22 241:18 242:5 250:11	2006-2007 212:17	148:1 149:1 150:1	282:1 283:1 284:1
	2008 223:5	151:1 152:1 153:1	285:1 286:1 287:1
260:25 274:5,6 150 113:17	2009 7:7	154:1 155:1 156:1	288:1 289:1 290:1
150 113:17	2010 1:8 2:1 3:1 4:1	157:1 158:1 159:1	291:1 292:1 293:1
16 69:7 242:5	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	160:1 161:1 162:1	294:1 295:1 296:1
256:13	10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1	163:1 164:1 165:1	297:1 298:1 299:1
		166:1 167:1 168:1	300:1 301:1 302:1

[**2010 - 700**] Page 318

303:1 304:1 305:1	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1	213:22 214:1 215:1	36 60:4,5 210:14
306:1 307:1 308:1	80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1	216:1 217:1 218:1	37 208:12
309:1 310:1 311:1	84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1	219:1 220:1 221:1	37,000 56:11
312:1 313:1 314:1	88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1	222:1 223:1 224:1	3rd 6:19
315:1 316:1	92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1	225:1 226:1 227:1	4
2015 10:16	96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1	228:1 229:1 230:1	
21 19:6 243:14	100:1 101:1 102:1	231:1 232:1 233:1	4 93:11 292:25
21st 101:8	103:1 104:1 105:1	234:1 235:1 236:1	4,000 18:7
22 206:20 241:18	106:1 107:1 108:1	237:1 238:1 239:1	40 59:15 65:10
252:11,12	109:1 110:1 111:1	240:1 241:1 242:1	250:16 251:4
23 6:4	112:1 113:1 114:1	243:1 244:1 245:1	43rd 115:8 143:3
24 98:2	115:1 116:1 117:1	246:1 247:1 248:1	45 56:19
25 11:19	118:1 119:1 120:1	249:1 250:1,12	46 56:20
26 219:21	121:1 122:1 123:1	251:1 252:1 253:1	48th 115:7
260 47:6	124:1 125:1 126:1	254:1 255:1 256:1	498,000 18:11
2600 206:12,19	127:1 128:1 129:1	257:1 258:1 259:1	4th 1:11
230:22 231:14	130:1 131:1 132:1	260:1 261:1 262:1	5
257:5	133:1 134:1 135:1	263:1 264:1 265:1	5 254:16
265 70:25	136:1 137:1 138:1	266:1 267:1 268:1	5,000 11:5 231:5
27 252:6	139:1 140:1 141:1	269:1 270:1 271:1	5.5 34:11
28 205:6	142:1 143:1 144:1	271:20 272:1 273:1	50 18:8 28:17 64:21
28th 315:25	145:1 146:1 147:1	274:1 275:1 276:1	64:22 65:11 69:8
29 62:23 63:8 124:6	148:1 149:1 150:1	277:1 278:1 279:1	104:10,11 116:11
3	151:1 152:1 153:1	280:1 281:1 282:1	128:3,4 147:11
3 124:16	154:1 155:1 156:1	283:1 284:1 285:1	162:4,4,4 187:19
30 1:8 2:1 3:1 4:1,9	157:1 158:1 159:1	286:1 287:1 288:1	188:7,10 200:2
5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	160:1 161:1 162:1	289:1 290:1 291:1	205:3 207:22
10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1	163:1 164:1 165:1	292:1 293:1 294:1	278:21,25 279:2
14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1	166:1 167:1 168:1	295:1 296:1 297:1	310:13
18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1	169:1 170:1 171:1	298:1 299:1 300:1	55 78:5
22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1	172:1 173:1 174:1	301:1 302:1 303:1	57 122:17,19,21
26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1	175:1 176:1,12	304:1 305:1 306:1	6
30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1	177:1 178:1 179:1	307:1 308:1 309:1	6,000 11:5
34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1	180:1 181:1 182:1	310:1 311:1 312:1	60 15:18 200:2
38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1	183:1 184:1 185:1	313:1 314:1 315:1	228:6 268:14
42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1	186:1 187:1 188:1	316:1	60's 4:15 5:2
46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1	189:1,24 190:1	31 93:12,13	69 180:11
50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1	191:1,20 192:1	32 207:14	7
54:1 55:1,23 56:1	193:1 194:1 195:1	33 77:23 155:9	-
57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1	196:1 197:1 198:1	33.6 77:24 78:3	7 56:8 246:9
61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1	199:1 200:1 201:1	33rd 52:14	7,000 11:5
65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1	202:1 203:1 204:1	35 102:23 103:20	70 56:7
69:1 70:1 71:1,4	205:1 206:1 207:1	3500 115:16	70's 4:17 5:6
72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1	208:1 209:1 210:1	357 203:11	700 139:10 279:15
	211:1 212:1 213:1		
		•	

-			2
70th 52:10	176:17 229:23	313:20	310:24
71 233:6	234:10 283:4	accountable 84:17	actively 269:22
72 299:22	288:13 304:20	93:14 131:3,9	282:16
75 18:9 23:12	absence 196:25	145:23 146:10	activist 126:21
77 54:13 63:9,10,11	absences 17:23	148:14,19 173:8	149:9
93:13 211:9	absent 17:21 192:13	181:5 256:21	activities 280:12
78 133:7	absolute 83:15	257:22 266:13	activity 44:19
8	261:4	270:17 276:25	actual 26:10 143:2
8 112:22	absolutely 69:24	accurate 100:17	acutely 104:23
8,000 57:25 231:3	82:25 232:25 260:6	accurately 121:15	adam 157:14,17,20
80 116:25 117:6,6	absurd 257:13	accustomed 17:11	adapt 17:8 107:8
117:13 118:4,9	abuse 59:23 60:9	achieve 20:23,24	add 49:16 71:17
210:13,24 211:8	63:21 98:21 119:21	67:6 135:17 148:7	97:18 149:7 156:16
84 118:5,6	119:22 120:2,3,4	achievement 83:21	218:9 239:16
846 305:18	234:2 267:24	83:23 96:16 108:25	269:12 315:24
85 241:13	307:21	110:23 123:24	316:5
8:00 111:25 291:5	abused 47:11 58:24	127:12 132:19	added 48:2 53:10
	266:2	134:9 142:11,21	addition 41:19 45:2
9	abusers 98:19	153:13 155:24	46:11 188:24
90 4:9 15:19 231:7	abysmal 256:10	175:16 176:23	213:20
90's 42:8	academic 46:11	177:5,7 185:17	additional 20:11
915 212:12	90:16,19,21 110:23	achiever 134:14,17	155:15 292:7
95 15:21	252:2	134:19,24	address 124:21
95's 180:8	academically	achievers 135:10	132:17,19 137:4
9500 56:9	251:25	achieving 47:14	143:8 155:11
97 100:9	academics 147:3	185:21 198:22	219:13 288:23
98 256:2	academy 90:20	ackerman 223:6	291:18 307:4 309:5
99 299:24	accelerated 70:22	acknowledge 169:5	addressed 16:3
a	240:18	272:19	155:13 208:17
a.m. 106:9 112:2,22	acceptable 120:5,6	acknowledging 90:6	
113:14,16	access 9:12 94:2	225:6 259:18	addresses 95:16
abbott 5:12,17	95:18 178:20	acorn 290:22 305:12	addressing 182:24
278:5	275:25 294:20	acronyms 296:16	231:18
abc's 256:10	accommodations	act 4:15 6:18 31:23	adds 231:12
abdullah 107:14	152:19 225:12	32:13 74:7 120:13	adequate 10:9 26:16
109:10	accomplished 20:25	219:21 223:15	96:3 123:9 184:14
abide 104:25	85:12	224:2 280:14 315:3	286:20
abilities 32:23 34:17	account 228:19	acting 76:7 134:5	adewale 307:9
149:19	accountability 68:3	action 74:11,13	adherence 172:15
ability 14:23 27:17	70:2 75:18,22 76:4	163:6 171:14,16	174:20
58:12 123:23	132:11 138:13	191:22 217:16	adieu 204:16
able 47:9 57:23	163:9 164:8 165:21	229:8 264:24	administered
105:22 124:6 135:9	177:15 198:3,4,8,13	280:15,25,25	230:10
147:15 152:17	248:18 253:23	284:16 290:21,22	administration 69:5
	254:9 256:19	300:15 305:12,22	69:9,14

administration's	109:18,24 110:8,22	agreement 103:6	252:4,7 257:9 258:6
163:16 314:7	109.18,24 110.8,22	115:23 116:21	258:12
administrator 223:3	, ,		alternatives 229:24
	122:10,17 126:7	173:17 288:15	
administrators	130:19 131:5,13,16	310:15	243:24 311:25
96:15 309:13	131:23,24 132:20	ah 209:11	alvarado 76:19 77:2
admissions 105:9	133:14 134:5,9	ahead 232:11	81:20
admit 239:24	135:8 137:2,6,21	aid 30:25	alvarado's 76:23
adopt 315:16	141:5,9 143:13	aides 114:5	amanda 274:25
adopted 94:19	155:20 233:3,10	ain't 217:6	275:5 285:12
adoption 10:13	249:13	air 8:4 35:7 38:23	289:11
adult 88:4 89:11	afternoon 205:20	airplanes 271:10	amazes 181:9
190:7	age 22:21 73:5 232:2	airy 36:18	amazing 27:12 84:5
adult's 9:13 228:19	agencies 18:24	alabama 198:18	181:6
adults 52:18 87:22	176:6 186:21	alarming 206:3	amendment 5:4
88:8,24 133:20	269:17	alexander 42:23	amendments 31:21
228:14,23 244:23	agenda 69:12 162:7	alfredo 287:24	america 141:21
254:10 303:8	177:22 181:2	algebra 232:9	142:4
advancement 39:23	192:12 193:6	244:14	america's 76:21
236:22	194:23 254:14	allensworth 40:2	180:12
advantage 77:18	280:20 284:3	allocated 28:9	american 42:5
82:25 84:12 98:12	285:11 287:2	allocating 277:8	55:10,11,16,18
153:18	agendas 304:3	allocations 69:4	107:25 108:20,25
advantaged 63:19	ages 206:20 241:18	allow 33:10 181:11	109:18,24 110:8,22
64:9 105:14	aggressive 68:10	206:6	121:12,14,21 122:4
advertisement	aggressiveness	allowed 30:6 178:21	122:10,17 126:8
202:22	179:8	199:6 214:9	130:19 131:5,13,16
advice 160:21	ago 3:18 6:4 8:11	allowing 27:25	131:23,24 132:20
advisory 108:7	19:14 21:11 22:15	60:13 196:21	134:5,9 135:8 137:2
advocacy 242:21	39:21 40:9,17 43:13	228:14	137:22 141:5
283:20 284:19	46:5 90:8 101:20	allows 17:22 26:11	143:13 146:17
297:8	108:12 110:11	26:12 69:20 247:5	155:20 233:3,10,10
advocate 41:7 108:3	112:7 113:3 115:6	248:8,9,10 251:17	249:13 264:10
108:14 127:24	118:23 126:11	252:3 282:11 312:5	americans 133:15
148:13 243:18	127:23 128:17	312:9	137:6 141:9 169:20
advocates 33:19	160:5 168:23	alt 250:13	277:13
92:10 268:19	206:17 219:10	altercations 213:10	amidst 76:17
301:12,17 303:19	226:2 264:3 268:13	alternative 30:5,5	amount 29:7 30:14
304:25	298:5,9	30:18,22 165:22	32:15 34:11 52:17
aesthetic 90:24	agree 101:11 145:18	194:22 203:24	97:24 202:12 218:2
affect 54:10	193:20 290:13	208:21 216:5 229:5	219:3,6 258:22
affluent 126:6,14	299:3,11 300:4	229:9 235:4,7,14,15	261:10,12
afforded 105:13	301:6 303:20 304:4	239:9,19 240:8,8	analogy 115:12,13
african 42:5 55:10	304:20 310:15	245:14,22 246:4	118:25,25
55:11,16,18 107:25	agreed 83:2 175:12	247:8,9,11 248:19	analysis 40:7 47:14
108:17,20,25	35.000 03.2 173.12	249:25 250:4,15	50:15 53:5 55:3
100.17,20,23		217.23 230.7,13	30.13 33.3 33.3

[analysis - assistant] Page 321

17.6 21 170 25	10.15.21.15	1450004	4. 1. 1. 100.10
176:21 178:25	anyway 10:15 21:15	areas 14:5,22,24	articulated 198:13
180:18	222:21 310:15	20:2,3,4 29:19 30:9	198:24
analytical 95:10	apologize 307:11	30:10 32:5 49:7,13	articulation 10:5
andy 264:20	apparent 153:24	49:19 50:24 54:15	arts 178:17 189:12
anecdotes 126:11	apparently 24:10	54:15,22 60:3 61:24	asa 165:7 177:21
anger 83:14	84:10 171:6 246:21	95:2 166:10,11	asian 233:8
angry 214:13	appeal 248:23 249:4	281:25	aside 181:8 197:14
annenberg 104:11	249:6	argue 7:12 67:21	199:5
158:3 159:19	appear 315:20	83:5 198:7	asked 22:9 40:24
160:17 171:12	applause 8:16 34:22	argued 80:16 90:8	48:15 88:9 104:24
188:18 192:24	91:7 105:19,20	arguing 24:22 88:21	120:10 133:4
193:2 313:3	107:3 121:5 130:10	153:25 154:2,3	159:11 187:6
announced 223:6	135:19 140:25	argument 75:23	214:10 279:19
announcement 35:6	153:14 158:8 159:2	83:8 88:25 131:20	284:11 308:24
177:10	194:11 201:17,18	173:17 223:20	316:14
announces 223:3	217:9 273:23,24	249:25	asking 61:8 87:18
annual 2:3	316:19,20	arguments 21:8,18	91:11,24 94:16
annually 71:5	applies 5:4	25:5 75:17 95:21	110:24 160:12
answer 13:12 48:15	apply 19:22 168:4	284:8	174:22 205:13
49:4 72:15 103:23	applying 174:15	arises 32:9	283:18 294:12
104:16,19 111:8	appreciate 156:10	armed 243:17	305:13 306:12
159:11,13,18 165:9	apprenticeships	armstead 274:23	asks 46:2
197:10 199:9,11	100:3	282:21 290:6	aspect 12:12 253:23
219:14 224:4,5	approach 31:17	294:10 303:15	aspects 27:21 44:3
267:6 293:22	166:23 172:25	310:2	89:9
295:19,24	175:20 261:8 270:5	arne 187:4	ass 179:18,23
answered 19:14	approaches 28:11	arrange 304:15	assaulted 214:11
answering 268:9	31:7,8,8,9,19,20	arrangements 13:5	assaulting 213:9
answers 29:17,18	175:5,7,13	13:10	assessed 18:10
65:16 197:6 244:21	appropriate 15:11	arranging 283:12	assessing 18:14
252:25 266:12	22:22 32:19,22 33:4	array 253:12 285:21	assessment 48:17,19
anti 155:19	33:4 107:22,22	arrested 86:14	48:20 96:18 103:5
antidiscrimination	245:8 251:7 282:11	arresting 236:13	163:2 177:8,15
225:9	approve 6:22	arrests 236:12,12	281:24 282:3
antique 23:19	approved 116:18	arrive 167:18 168:9	assessments 102:22
anybody 71:11	151:3	arrived 39:11	163:5,8,17 165:20
141:17 179:6,8	apt 73:14	159:17 180:15	263:17,23 264:7
183:6 195:12	arch 1:11,11	arrives 181:8,9	assigned 11:17
197:19 198:2	archie 43:14	art 20:7,7	23:16 207:19
199:13 225:4	area 16:17,18 17:16	article 124:16	assignments 101:22
229:18 272:17	30:20 44:15 49:7	145:16 262:9	102:15
289:10 300:17	50:12 51:3,5 65:6	268:14 269:4	assistance 18:17
313:21	68:9 108:22 109:12	articles 8:10 314:6	19:3 159:23 169:21
anymore 84:11	126:13 149:20	articulate 201:13	assistant 37:22 73:5
170:14 243:11	282:6 299:18	229:17	

assistants 162:5	atypical 114:14	awarding 69:6	backwards 210:22
associate 35:25	audience 38:21	aware 104:24 134:4	bad 13:19 61:24
associate's 241:11	95:15 104:23 116:9	awareness 89:10	98:15 163:10,11,21
associated 62:4	124:15 212:9	90:23	209:4 233:16 243:8
association 158:19	294:17	awesome 241:12	271:25 272:2 273:9
268:17	auditing 111:17	awful 28:25	293:20
assume 14:17 30:24	augment 169:17,24	awhile 294:9	bag 221:3 222:12
97:17 122:20 138:9	183:20	b	bags 214:2
145:25 146:8 148:5	august 292:21		bake 115:18
assumed 146:22	authentic 99:23	b's 23:15	baking 46:18,20
assuming 30:21	101:20,23 164:8	ba 158:12	51:14 115:12
100:17	173:18 195:19,20	baby 142:5	balacera 68:17
assumption 81:24	author 37:3 39:19	back 3:25 8:25 14:9	balance 15:2
123:21,22	authority 69:5	14:16 19:9,11 22:13	balanced 5:5
assure 17:24	74:10,13 88:25 89:5	39:3,9 47:4 48:4	balcony 7:24
ate 72:20	222:5	51:14 61:19 62:23	balfrins 178:24
atlantic 1:23	authorization 315:2	66:12 71:6 80:2	179:16
attached 20:22	316:6,9	81:9 82:15 84:8	ball 112:4
attack 43:7	authorized 69:2	89:14 90:21 104:21	ballot 195:16 277:2
attacked 98:5	authors 8:10	118:18 135:7	banner 164:2
attained 70:14	autism 116:24 117:4	137:22 153:9 162:10 168:11	bar 48:10 59:9,13
attempt 18:12	125:13,15,19	190:25 200:6	59:24
135:13	automatic 221:19	201:14 206:4,17,22	barb 303:25
attend 15:14 33:25	221:20	207:2,21 208:13	bargain 25:21
212:11,14 240:20	automatically 305:6	212:25 214:11	barriers 41:8
attendance 15:10	availability 8:23	215:25 217:25	130:16 227:23
17:24 18:3,14,19	available 33:11	229:20 230:22	bars 49:17 59:9
47:23,24 50:5,6,6,8	36:10 61:9 75:8	231:15 234:6	217:5
50:11 79:23,23 80:3	88:19 129:25 130:2	240:12 241:14	base 21:13 144:23
80:5,5,7,9,9 210:11	130:3 163:4 168:4	242:22 243:3	191:3 308:2
attended 150:23	226:4 275:8 304:17	245:18 250:14	based 20:10 36:18
178:12	314:11	255:9,11 257:3,5,12	38:16 61:7 79:13
attending 151:2	avenue 286:21	264:3,4,5 279:21	110:17 123:22
210:13 211:15	average 48:21 56:14	287:16 290:23	134:13 150:22
attention 66:6	62:14 64:3,8,10	302:20 303:24	158:11 159:23
122:12 131:21	75:14 231:25 232:2	backdrop 224:14	163:7 178:8 183:23
175:22,24 184:3,14	241:16	backer 150:22	183:24 200:4
205:8 255:14,16	averages 252:6	background 206:8	224:15 225:17
279:23 292:10,19	avoid 81:16 171:8	224:16 275:13	236:12 240:23
292:25 309:20	186:9	298:8	249:3,24 253:19
315:7,18	avoided 171:7	backgrounds 26:2	275:15 281:23
attitude 313:4	avoiding 170:10	backpack 30:23	300:11 306:17
attorney 203:21 224:17 301:21	award 158:20	228:16	315:24 hesement 126:17
224.17 301.21	awarded 69:7		basement 126:17

[basic - book] Page 323

basic 46:10,12	261:3	151:12 171:5	black 43:24 52:3,4
153:21 168:7,7,10	behavioral 208:18	201:20,20 205:22	108:21 109:3,5
1	209:7 238:5 261:2	229:17 240:24	
168:11,12,12		243:25 247:3 255:4	122:9,19 124:6
175:17,18,18	284:24		125:5,13,16,23
191:11 264:6	behaviorally 251:23	255:5 263:8,16,25	126:11,15,19 127:3
basically 47:22 53:6	behaviors 31:11	272:15 312:14	127:13,16,21 128:4
109:22 165:9,13	134:23 228:23	beyond 25:4 94:11	128:7,12 129:9,13
188:12 205:25	behold 80:9 221:3	94:12 126:5 245:13	129:16,17 130:7
210:21 234:2 241:4	belief 134:13,16,20	bias 133:3,10 190:2	132:4 133:8,18,19
248:13 254:22,23	beliefs 134:23	big 26:7 81:3 87:16	134:5 137:23
255:2 262:20 264:2	believe 29:25 36:10	90:4 122:2 196:4	139:13 141:22
264:7 267:16 283:7	72:11 81:23 98:13	208:6 220:12	142:4,5,11 148:17
313:14	100:8 137:19	231:13 234:7	166:7 176:23 205:3
basis 84:16 93:25	254:21	242:13 244:8 259:3	228:10 237:22
103:5 222:3 225:13	believer 92:17	260:12 261:16	blame 95:9
282:25	believes 30:13	294:6	blamed 194:2
basketball 118:25	173:18	bigger 121:19	blaming 145:24
119:2	bell 17:20 79:15	144:19	blank 282:19
battle 119:7	belong 120:25	biggest 137:8 144:8	blaze 76:17
battling 115:3	214:16	244:10	blind 109:7
beale 133:9	belongings 213:16	bill 31:23 297:22	blindness 117:17
bear 274:14	belongs 299:14	298:21 302:3	123:17
beat 42:22	benches 24:16	312:10	block 47:9 92:25
becoming 24:24	benchmark 116:24	billionaires 193:19	294:5
176:2 179:23	117:3,8,13 255:25	billions 166:2 194:7	blog 37:6
211:18	benchmarks 19:21	199:20	blogs 300:4
began 43:12 93:18	bender 35:18	binder 66:11	bloomberg 3:17
108:11 110:10,10	benefit 137:17	biology 24:19 25:2,3	76:10
127:20 151:17	berkeley 148:24	bios 203:11	blue 42:16 52:7 59:9
219:13	165:17	bit 4:21 8:24 10:14	59:24
beginning 3:25	bersin 76:23 77:2	12:3 26:24 40:16	board 21:5 82:21
29:22 33:6 37:23	best 7:13 13:11	55:14 56:13 65:8	110:12 160:10
41:25 43:4 81:11	32:24 72:3 75:2	90:25 91:15,21	181:12 277:20
151:16 165:9 197:8	91:14 106:15	103:24 107:18	279:6 280:18 299:6
287:15 291:9	120:11 134:25	116:20 117:11	boards 22:18 24:10
begins 4:12	212:18 268:23	121:11 151:12	158:14 176:8
begun 11:3	bet 120:22	202:9 205:10,11	277:20
behalf 262:15	bethlehem 261:23	219:14 235:24	boat 183:18
behavior 30:17	better 3:19,22 5:19	239:18 282:14,22	bob 178:24 179:16
31:25 32:9 88:2	21:18 23:5 24:6	283:4 287:16	bodily 220:3,7
210:11,15,17	31:20 34:13 48:24	293:12 304:23	bollman 97:6
222:16 228:21	55:14 64:6 79:22	bite 20:11	bonding 57:17 59:7
238:2,9 248:15	80:10 97:14 103:17	bites 280:20	bones 198:23
251:19 257:24	113:20 117:16,17	bits 28:5	book 35:20 38:7
258:23 259:9,18,21	148:3 150:13 151:8		39:17,17 41:25
	1.0.0 100.10 101.0		37.11.,11.11.20

[book - called] Page 324

46:15 63:6 66:2,13	brandon 204:13,14	282:20 285:20	164:4 178:4 181:20
79:12 89:15 97:4,5	205:21 212:10	305:18 307:5,6	181:23,24 190:16
105:23 120:3 157:3	215:11 217:10,15	broaden 173:21	191:3 202:25
184:23	224:25 226:23	broader 41:15	213:15 214:9
books 36:10 37:4	229:12 231:20	101:13,23 166:12	247:17 301:16
72:25 97:7 137:25	232:12 239:15	178:5 185:7 189:2	311:23 312:3,6
139:10,11,12,18,20	262:19 264:18	308:15 310:17	313:25
156:20 157:7 196:4	brandon's 212:5	broadly 7:3 219:23	builds 71:22 177:23
boom 188:14	264:25 265:11	275:20	built 177:25 182:22
border 165:2 192:15	268:25	bronzeville 187:12	291:24
bored 209:11,15	break 27:4 36:11	brookland 3:2	bullet 244:19
298:15	41:8 105:22,25	brookline 147:5	bump 187:25
boredom 209:9,21	106:4,5 156:8 157:8	brought 6:13 10:22	bunch 113:19
215:17	209:25 227:23	35:11 89:19 98:22	207:20 258:11
born 112:7 142:5	274:3	189:8,9 268:18,19	264:22
borrowing 259:10	breaks 106:16	brown 4:11,25 9:10	bureau 108:6 115:3
boston 36:25 93:23	brendan 254:15	110:12 126:19	bush 69:12,14
126:12 128:17	brian 274:23 279:21	157:15,15 158:3	business 12:25 66:3
129:4 146:14,19	282:16 289:11	201:16 274:25	85:7 165:6 173:2
147:7 148:2 150:21	301:9	275:11 292:6	188:6,12 215:22
150:22 153:6,10	brian's 275:23	305:10,11 307:5	281:12 283:11
165:16 170:20	bridge 299:18	308:20	businesses 279:16
201:9 313:6 314:24	bridges 244:20	brush 209:4 243:8	283:12 286:2
bother 90:10	bridging 58:15,15	brute 212:19	busing 4:17
bottom 52:23 63:24	59:7	bryk 39:20	busy 204:5
63:25 115:10	brief 198:16	btu's 115:16	buy 11:14 139:11
bought 139:12	briefer 182:6	buddies 114:5	buying 157:7
bouncing 168:11	briefly 66:22 107:15	buddy 113:9,12	c
boundaries 217:5	108:10 203:12	budget 93:20 277:22	cadre 279:2
bowdoin 190:22	240:6 261:17	277:23 287:19	caute 279.2 cahill 5:14
box 30:23 31:14	bring 41:23 79:5	bueller's 246:11	cake 46:18,19 51:14
43:24 61:21 195:16	81:21,22 91:10	build 51:21 86:20	51:15 115:12,12
221:3,5 240:14	92:12 136:16 138:7	109:2 119:25	california 5:3
243:24 277:2	173:15 187:8 206:4	148:13,17 170:5,11	
boxes 13:10,13	219:22 222:13	172:10 182:13	148:24 call 44:3 55:17
30:25 285:13	227:25 257:2,5	187:2 196:13,22	58:15 86:22 185:12
boy 86:13,14	270:16 308:17	197:2,2 198:8	217:25 239:6
boyfriend 221:5	309:22	245:10 265:5	
boys 122:19,21	bringing 239:21	274:18,19 285:14	245:25 280:15
126:15,19 142:4	300:14	290:3 305:2	314:15
205:3,5 249:14	brings 116:4 171:23	builders 200:19	called 22:3 45:8
brain 123:17	228:15 234:12	building 41:16,18	51:24 89:15 102:18
brains 202:17	269:15	51:8 61:14 94:12,14	112:11 113:12
brandeis 178:12	broad 209:4 230:18	126:18 140:15	127:11 137:25
271:7	235:8 243:7 253:12	148:11 163:22	160:8 184:13 187:5

	1	1	
187:12 206:14	career 29:20 85:21	centered 161:20,21	challenging 45:18
215:2 216:6 236:21	178:16 191:2 303:7	161:23 171:22,23	46:13 102:14 314:7
236:22 240:18	careers 181:7	176:20 180:17	chance 84:22 85:15
247:11 269:17	careful 40:5,7	182:13,15 189:25	205:23 291:13
272:2 279:3 280:5	289:10	192:7	293:14 302:24
281:5 285:19 287:6	cares 40:5 209:22	centers 230:13,15	chancellor 73:15
313:4	280:7 296:11	230:18,21 278:23	79:25 104:12
calling 314:5,5	carnegie 39:23	central 36:23 39:5	chancellors 76:22
calls 186:17 191:22	carroll 153:6	43:19 78:7 99:21	chances 65:10
191:23 269:18	cartographers	171:4 310:20	change 3:20 4:6
cambridge 148:24	162:11 180:23	centrality 147:14	7:12 13:13 23:17
camden 301:25	194:7	centro 216:6,25	44:7 71:7 74:5 79:7
camden's 307:10	case 4:21 5:12 6:12	century 101:8,9	84:5,19 98:7 118:5
cameras 218:18	6:21 9:10,11 10:12	cep 265:25 266:2,4	130:21,22 133:10
campaign 158:16	10:22 11:15 14:25	certain 73:5 81:19	135:17,22 136:2
160:4 198:14 280:6	22:7,7 37:9 69:13	142:7 182:19 183:4	161:18 163:12
287:7,14 288:8	70:5,21 74:22 82:12	200:13,17 260:23	164:15,16 166:17
305:25 306:6 316:4	108:7 124:15 153:3	260:24 263:5	166:18 178:21
campbell's 102:19	175:6 195:22 222:3	284:25 285:14	180:24 181:22
campuses 41:22	222:3,7 280:20	294:19 310:13	195:2,17,18 196:7
candidate 277:20	284:25 309:15	certainly 16:15	196:16 217:17
capa 220:25 222:19	cases 4:9 14:10 75:7	18:13 86:8 95:22	254:11 258:11
capable 90:9 220:2	196:6 242:21 272:4	139:6 209:8 292:4,9	264:24 268:24
220:6	cash 257:21	certificate 39:6	275:6 285:14
capacities 153:12	catapulted 178:11	certified 109:11	298:13 305:23
capacity 44:23	catch 14:22,24	144:11	311:3,15 314:20
54:10 61:14,25 62:3	81:17 219:25	cetera 63:21 194:20	changed 12:13
135:16 148:12,13	catching 183:16	294:6	24:12 71:2 73:16
148:18,23 149:3	categories 117:15	chair 2:9 7:22 108:6	75:15 79:3 85:14
163:22 164:3	caucasian 55:21,24	115:13 160:22	116:20 147:8
173:20 176:3	causal 26:19	224:18	180:20 214:14
294:15 312:3,7	cause 137:20	chairing 203:15	240:9 306:21
capital 54:8 57:13	causes 209:2	chairperson 203:13	changes 11:4 92:15
57:17,17 58:11,15	caution 105:15	chaka 25:10	136:10 194:20
58:16 59:7,18 105:2	cautions 104:22	challenge 27:15	271:4 286:7
148:6 177:23,24	caveat 200:18	37:8 91:9 126:4	changing 13:12,13
191:13	cell 217:23	133:22 134:3	13:15 101:6
capitol 2:24	celled 214:16	155:20 180:25	channel 292:25
capture 27:15	census 47:9	192:24 193:2	chapter 212:6
card 210:17	center 1:6 2:4 7:11	209:12 210:15	264:24 277:14
care 10:3 59:2 67:4	36:3 156:24 157:20	241:13 294:6	character 15:11
89:21 144:18	203:22 206:14	challenged 209:16	characteristic
154:21 185:13	212:11 235:10,21	challenges 161:5	141:19
186:20 234:3	241:23 244:9 258:6	254:3 293:16,23	characteristics
276:18 309:8	301:10 315:15		57:11 59:4 63:23

		1	
99:16 149:19	123:19,20 124:2	churchill 2:12 8:9	287:6,13 288:3
charge 119:6,7	130:17,17 133:18	8:12,17 14:19 17:19	289:16 291:3 300:9
charges 98:22	133:19 138:18,18	21:21 25:16 29:5	306:4
charmed 85:21	145:24 146:8 151:7	32:4 34:23 35:10	civic 40:23 274:15
chart 62:23 63:13	151:11,14,19,21	38:4 62:16,20 63:3	274:23 277:12
116:3	156:25 177:13	63:7,12 64:16 65:18	281:5 282:24 283:3
charter 12:16,24	208:14 228:21	65:22 81:6 91:8,18	283:16,17 284:14
14:2 41:22,23 67:8	256:10 303:4 309:2	91:20,23 94:4,13	296:3 307:23
68:5,12 71:19 72:9	309:8,15	95:13 98:23 99:5,12	civil 4:15 119:10,14
77:10,11 131:14	child's 32:22 33:21	100:14 102:2,7	119:16,17 137:23
143:17,19 183:9,12	131:7 145:25	104:5,17 105:18,21	301:22
196:20 204:4 215:2	children 14:21	194:16 287:23	claim 69:23,24
220:9 250:22	15:19 29:23,24 37:2	291:21 300:20	119:15,16
255:24	37:5 58:22 67:11	311:7,11	claimed 84:14
charters 170:21	71:24 73:12 81:2	circuit 6:19	claims 76:4,10
187:21 293:20	82:10 86:5,8,16	circumstances	clarify 315:11
chastened 127:7	89:18 90:2 92:3,21	58:23	clark 217:10 219:8
chat 240:6	102:12 107:19	cities 14:5 48:22,25	228:4
cheat 78:13 92:9	119:22,23 123:13	116:17 166:9 177:4	clarke 2:13 201:22
152:15	131:4,5,22 132:5,6	178:6 186:21,24	202:16,20 204:8
cheating 81:19	133:16 137:17,22	197:8 198:15 290:8	224:7 230:6 239:8
84:10	137:24 144:17	300:24 305:24	245:20 252:19,22
check 76:3 271:4	146:20 151:11	citizen 158:20	254:15 255:8,11
cheerful 83:11	152:6 153:13 154:7	165:21 276:20	258:4 262:14,19
chemistry 24:11	155:21,21 191:4	citizens 259:12	264:18 267:3
chester 111:17	198:22 259:12	276:13 277:10	270:11 271:20
chicago 35:24 36:2,8	271:17 284:18	284:18	272:17 273:22
38:16 41:4,6,15,22	303:2 308:3,25	city 36:24 37:2	274:11 282:13
46:8 48:16,21,25	children's 90:23	39:13 42:5 54:18	288:18 291:19
53:17 54:13,22 58:2	chime 205:23	71:24 73:16,24	295:16 297:20
74:4,24 76:8 89:19	289:12	76:14 77:20,22	298:21 299:2 301:7
94:18 95:23 147:13	chinese 61:21	81:18 84:13 86:16	305:8 307:3,7
156:13 165:16	choice 12:14 13:18	93:9,10 111:14	309:25 311:5
168:19 171:19	68:5 105:2 128:24	112:9 124:8 129:10	312:23 315:21
172:17 187:5,11,19	223:21	139:8 160:3 175:15	class 15:15 24:5,9
191:16 198:16	choose 206:12	178:14 181:12	25:14,18,19 26:2,23
201:9 284:21	choosing 2:16	186:8,11,15,21	30:16 68:21 81:3
chief 2:12,14 162:4	206:25 208:24	187:15 198:14	109:6 111:18
202:15 269:5	231:4,15	203:2,15,17 205:5	120:21,25 126:16
child 11:10 17:23	chose 231:22	208:16 219:17	126:18,22 127:15
20:23 32:21 33:2,5	chosen 206:20	224:10 225:10	139:10,15,15,16
33:10 67:4,6,16	chuck 39:8,9	230:15 233:12	149:5 151:17 180:2
68:2,7 69:21,22	church 298:10	241:4,20 242:6	213:8,23 214:23
80:24 92:3 99:23	churches 279:14	244:10,21,23	215:13 238:14,15
108:4 120:3 123:11		268:16,19 269:8	272:2 273:19
	l	1	1

[class - communities] Page 327

297:11	close 74:10 98:11	158:13,17 161:11	180:21 184:22
classes 17:4 19:12	173:8 182:5 184:9	161:13 178:15,16	188:10,18 223:3
22:19,21 33:24	205:8	178:18,20 179:13	227:24
120:14 127:19,21	closer 114:12	241:3,7,8 275:24	coming 7:17 61:6
211:16 232:10	closest 74:13 299:14	302:15 303:7	90:6 107:17 109:19
241:8 243:21	clutter 304:2	colleges 180:12,16	120:16 131:11
244:12	cnn 132:23	183:9	175:21 201:24
classmates 113:9,11	coalition 174:21	collins 204:3	207:21,23 209:11
classroom 28:21	286:2,14,18,22	color 49:10 86:14	211:7 227:5,9
43:24 45:7 88:18	287:7 313:4,23	109:7,24 124:19	230:23 238:19
89:16 120:10,16,18	314:17 315:15	155:21 238:21	243:3 262:2,3
131:22 138:11	coalitions 315:5,14	colored 63:21	269:10 280:11
149:6 152:25 155:4	coauthor 108:21	columbia 71:3	298:18 302:22
155:12 209:17	coauthors 39:18	combat 109:4	commend 66:6
213:10 246:9 266:9	code 22:14 238:12	combining 50:20	95:15 226:23 258:5
297:25 302:4,9	248:12	come 3:9 20:21	comment 101:2
303:18	coherent 45:25	29:12,20 35:2 45:8	124:23 130:23
classrooms 215:18	100:5	49:3 65:13 76:13	142:23,25 289:14
263:22 286:9,10	cohesion 63:20	80:18 82:20,22	292:7
clatters 30:24	collaborate 184:22	84:18 95:5 112:25	comments 154:19
clause 5:3,16,22	196:14 305:21	113:4 114:16 119:2	270:13 291:19
9:22	306:14	120:12 124:21	303:16,17
clayton 269:15	collaboration	131:6,6 146:23	commercial 115:15
cle 7:20	172:12 287:4	155:3 165:15	commiserate 48:23
clear 95:22 96:15	collaborations	186:22,23 194:5	commission 6:2,3
131:20 135:25	289:4 313:19	199:6,11 206:15,17	203:14 224:19
142:18 200:5,7	collaborative 52:17	206:21 207:2	225:8,25
259:15 283:5	147:16 301:16	208:13 221:7 225:5	commitment 52:2
284:10	collaboratively	226:11 227:3	134:25 278:13
clearer 21:3	148:6	230:21,21 231:15	committee 93:24
clearest 118:22	colleague 33:11 40:2	233:25 237:2	committees 176:8
clearly 227:19	127:23	238:20 241:6,14	common 22:9 57:20
229:14,18 259:15	colleagues 172:14	242:22 243:10,16	136:3 246:19
259:22	315:12	244:3 245:16	commonality 254:7
clerk 4:4	collect 173:7	254:13 255:9,11,17	commonly 2:5
clever 102:25	collected 101:21,22	271:5 278:25 281:9	207:20
click 56:3	217:19	282:7 285:23	commonwealth 6:13
clicked 215:10	collective 45:4 58:6	294:23 295:4,8	communicated
clicker 207:3	59:17 285:15 305:2	299:11,20 306:5,23	214:6
clients 4:8	308:10	306:24 309:10	communications
climate 45:11,17	collectively 181:5	310:14 312:14	186:18 191:25
203:24 284:24	college 36:6 100:10	comes 69:11 77:14	communities 47:7
289:15 290:4	108:18 127:17	87:14 93:25 138:5	56:5 57:15,21 58:4
clock 30:4 149:24	142:6 146:7 150:22	138:17 149:5	59:4,6,9,12,15,22,24
	152:13,19,20	151:10 152:17	59:25 60:21,21,22

(1.2.62.20.64.0.12	204 4 4 207 6 10 10	107.10	8 21 12
61:3 63:20 64:9,12	294:4,4 295:6,10,10	computers 137:12	confuse 31:13
71:24 109:3,4 126:6	295:22,22 296:2,7,8	139:21,25 150:20	confused 226:5
129:14,20 148:12	296:23 297:9	150:20 298:12	283:2 289:21
148:17 152:24	301:16 302:23	concentrated 54:21	congeal 51:17
160:2 170:5 171:23	303:19 306:17	150:7	congress 6:21,25
172:10 173:25	308:9,23,25 309:23	concentration	68:25 281:2 313:24
174:17,21 175:9	313:5	190:20	314:2
177:22 182:19	compact 285:20	concept 46:14	congressmen 265:21
184:4 185:23	287:5,10 291:4	239:14	conjure 183:5
187:25 188:16	company 1:22	concepts 33:15	connect 95:17
190:6,8 191:9 192:4	compare 62:11	concern 141:4	283:11 295:14
195:10,23 196:2,8	112:24 185:10	292:21 309:17	299:11
198:7 276:17	compared 48:6,22	concerned 16:4	connected 172:8
295:15 307:19,20	215:20 272:25	22:24 40:18 70:8	176:9 250:6
309:3 310:6 316:11	comparing 52:4	88:20 225:3,17	connection 26:11,18
community 7:12	comparison 60:7	226:13 297:23	26:19 86:2 201:16
43:10 44:16 45:9	comparisons 55:4	298:3	236:24 303:3,17
54:6,9 57:24 58:2,8	compelling 222:16	concerning 316:4	connections 57:18
60:10 64:5,18 87:23	289:24	concerns 67:7 91:25	58:16 59:17
88:3 126:21 131:3,6	compete 97:13	95:23 124:17	connects 178:7
131:11 147:16	184:13	291:15	consensus 200:5,8
148:9,11,11 149:8	competent 144:13	conclusion 170:19	200:10
159:22,23 161:3,21	competing 97:13,15	concrete 155:8	consequences
166:21 167:5,6,7,9	105:9,10 298:13	condition 58:7	198:11,11 271:19
169:13 171:22	competition 68:6	229:4	consider 2:14 20:5
172:16 175:3	104:25 298:10	conditioning 35:7	228:22 247:18
176:20 178:5,9	compilation 226:7	38:23	280:24
180:17 181:2,17,17	complaining 88:10	conditions 8:22	consideration 95:25
181:18 182:13	complete 266:16	43:11 70:13,18	96:4,6
183:5,9,21,24 185:7	completely 230:10	71:14,22 96:23	considered 154:8
187:10 188:6,9	290:13	130:16,21 275:6	considering 103:18
189:6,25 190:14,24	complex 315:11	312:16	considers 19:25
191:24 192:7,14	complicated 43:5	conducive 132:8	20:2
197:3 198:25	50:14 80:20 86:3,7	208:3	consistent 52:24
199:10 225:21	compliment 88:15	conduct 23:17	185:3 189:4 261:7
226:13 228:2 241:7	231:22	248:12	290:19
241:8 254:5,14	comply 7:8	conducted 189:16	consistently 136:20
274:19 275:15	compo 287:24	conducting 224:20	259:21,22
276:23 278:10,13	components 62:2,3	conference 201:19	consortium 35:24
278:15,20,21,22	143:7 182:23	conferencing 227:17	39:20 40:16 41:13
279:4,11,15,17	303:22	confirm 37:8	41:19 147:13
281:7,11,13,16,17	compromise 196:17	conflict 153:25	168:18 172:17
281:24,25 282:7,8	computer 79:19	conflicts 222:14	284:21
282:10 288:12,24	140:16	confronting 2:20	consortium's 177:20
292:21 293:24,24			182:24

consortiums 166:13	contrary 3:4	convert 71:19	184:13 185:9,21
191:6	contrast 48:11	convince 285:13	186:14
constantly 213:10	contribute 304:18	convincingly 64:14	country 2:20 4:5 9:4
constituencies	contributed 313:7	cook 51:17 115:17	35:22 38:16 40:13
188:20	contributing 267:9	cool 216:9,10	68:11 85:4 124:8
constituency 311:24	contributing 257.3	cooling 35:8	141:25 142:10,14
constituted 225:24	control 15:6 18:12	coordinate 300:25	147:25 164:23
constituted 223.24	75:15 103:18	coordinated 268:17	169:5 170:3 177:3
constitutional 5:15	142:15,16 144:20	copies 105:23	178:21 201:5 262:3
9:5	144:23 194:8	cops 212:19	271:13 275:17
constraints 107:6	218:11 289:19	copy 143:3 311:16	280:13 284:13
constructed 110:2	292:2	corn 147:20,22	308:24
123:16 257:4	controlled 103:9	cornell 36:6 158:12	county 113:18
constructive 186:17	controlling 16:5	corner 114:9 209:20	269:15
186:23	controls 15:9	cornucopia 9:23	couple 3:18 60:14
constructs 285:10	convene 162:2 170:2	corporate 161:25	66:18 67:14 127:2,2
construed 7:2	198:20 285:25	176:25 180:20	138:7 154:19 156:7
construing 7:3	292:15	190:3 192:9,11	194:14 209:24
consuming 97:20	convened 287:5	193:18 194:22	212:15 215:15
contacts 58:19	convenient 12:17	289:19	217:3,21 219:18
containers 13:10	convening 285:6,17	corporation 306:22	230:8 231:5 237:20
content 16:21 46:7	conversation 87:13	correct 100:7	247:19,23 257:23
244:14	129:11 148:4	150:11	259:10 266:10
context 3:24 4:13	159:10 161:19	correctly 136:23	course 13:4 17:11
54:6 95:22 109:7	165:11 171:6,12	308:21	20:21 25:8,17 26:18
129:22 226:14	182:4 191:15 201:6	correlate 102:5	27:20 91:9,12
continual 290:24	201:7,8,8,9 202:24	correlation 73:21	100:15 103:10
continually 303:10	203:2,6 204:25	corridor 142:14	127:11 142:15
continue 25:6 27:25	254:25 267:13	corrupted 102:20	164:6 219:23,24
103:4 110:22	291:23 292:23	corruptly 177:4	220:4,5 235:17
202:24 279:12	293:14 294:19,21	costing 21:11	236:17 237:21
continued 7:6 51:4	297:17 301:15	council 181:12	238:15,24 239:7
203:2	308:6,7 309:14	306:4	241:23 244:15
continues 105:14	315:9	counsel 2:13,14	249:11 288:9
126:2 211:4	conversations 43:20	160:21	292:19
continuing 71:11	62:6 159:20 161:3	counseling 178:17	courses 244:14
96:19 305:18	165:14,17 171:2	178:18 252:13	court 1:13,22 4:2,10
continuum 260:14	193:16 198:20	counselor 179:11	4:17 5:6,18 6:9,17
contract 167:24	201:10 211:25	counselors 171:21	6:20,23 8:5 9:7 10:2
266:3,4 288:10	226:12 278:22	217:3 218:20	10:25 17:23 32:19
contracts 167:15	290:3,16,18,25	count 100:15 241:9	288:2 293:9
contradicted 67:21	292:15 293:3,24	counted 100:11	courtney 204:3
contradiction 67:23	294:14 297:6	countless 220:8	205:13,14 230:12
153:24	308:11,23	countries 97:12	239:3,10
		164:20 169:6 171:7	

courtney's 218:5	criticizing 167:22	cutter 30:23 221:4,5	david 203:21,23
courts 6:11 9:17	critiquing 200:9	cutter 30.23 221.4,3 cutters 31:14 78:21	217:11 227:11
18:20 21:13,16,25	cross 160:3 178:7	cutting 149:11,20	235:3 239:8 245:23
22:8,24 26:19	188:17,25 190:6,8	261:11	252:19 258:4,5,8
248:24 249:5	198:13 274:19	cyber 12:23 13:2	david's 198:23
		•	
cousin's 150:18	287:6,13 301:16	cycle 196:18 197:14	day 2:9 7:15,21 12:6
cover 281:16	crusade 158:17	cycles 196:16	28:10 33:17 34:5,19
coverage 293:5	crying 89:22	d	80:10 90:4 97:18
covered 90:17 97:8	cultural 167:2	d 298:25	112:18 114:4,14
97:8	175:23 176:5,20	dabbling 231:9	146:25 196:5 202:2
covering 11:18	191:14 203:18	daddy 147:21	203:6 214:7 215:11
cowell 286:12 301:9	225:23 236:19	daily 82:15 87:24	240:21 242:8 246:6
crack 94:5 104:18	culture 44:18	daley 187:7	246:8,10,11,13
cracking 237:3	127:12 192:14	damage 159:16	252:24 253:10
cracks 266:15	313:17	damages 181:18	254:3 260:16
create 43:15 55:7	cultures 171:8	damn 255:6	263:12,13 274:2
129:22 143:7	cumberland 113:18	dan 237:17,17	289:8 291:9 296:25
147:15 201:12	curiosity 91:2	dance 8:25	304:16
234:17 240:15	curious 88:16 91:3,5	dancing 113:25	days 3:18 12:10
243:24 244:20	124:23	114:3,9	14:9 29:2 34:12
255:17 257:9	curiously 25:18	danger 102:21	84:8 210:14 212:25
created 48:3 192:25	current 12:10 48:6	dangerous 85:10	231:7 243:10
206:4 228:9	67:16 77:11 101:4	104:3 270:7	256:11 268:21
creating 206:5	107:19 118:5	darker 54:15	303:25
253:25	180:20 182:16		dc 70:19 236:21
creative 266:23	289:4,15	darling 184:23 314:2	265:18 313:24
credibility 195:20	currently 31:5	data 47:2,6 57:24	de 216:6
credit 165:24	70:20 105:17	58:23 75:17 79:13	deaf 117:17
171:11 190:25	109:22 173:19	94:25 95:11 96:12	deafness 123:17
196:10,12 246:23	182:15 192:8 204:3	125:4,5,22 129:23	deal 11:22 14:23
263:25	212:11		16:7,9 17:16,18
credits 7:20 216:22	curriculum 45:25	129:24 164:7,9,9	26:15 29:22 66:8
216:23,24 232:9,14	46:2 71:20 96:17	165:21 168:24	105:12 107:5
241:9 264:2,4	97:9 100:4 143:20	172:13 173:7,13	136:20 145:9 150:8
crime 47:8 58:9,12	144:2 151:23	186:23 193:22	195:3 196:17
59:22,24 60:3 63:20	169:16,20,22,24	205:15,17 209:14	215:16 236:19
criminal 239:2	172:5 174:22	211:9 217:20	243:2,5 304:13,14
crisis 265:13 293:7	184:17 245:8 298:2	218:23 231:8	dealing 18:19 79:21
criteria 92:19	299:12 313:17	233:18 234:9,20	190:20 218:4
248:22	curriculums 129:25	255:14,15 260:14	236:18
critical 46:8 136:9	curved 63:18	282:6	deals 8:21 287:11
228:13,14 256:16	cut 180:2 252:20	daughter 87:11	debate 191:21
criticisms 74:11	303:25	127:24	314:25
criticized 4:3,3	cutler 157:18,20	dave 239:18	deborah 35:2 36:13
1.3,3	158:9 182:9 194:12		91:12 99:14,21
	150.7 102.7 177.12		71.12 77.17,21

[deborah - dialogue] Page 331

105 5 100 2 102 24	1.60 1.01.17	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	212.0
105:5 189:2 192:24	defined 21:17	department 16:24	destroys 312:8
270:12 299:2	101:22 161:7,7	18:22 116:4 118:12	detail 203:11 277:16
deborah's 48:15	259:16	140:11 187:9,9	detailed 19:23 52:17
decade 236:15	defines 161:13	252:8,10 265:19	72:25 258:8
decades 36:14	219:23	301:22	details 81:13 313:22
168:13 185:4 288:3	defining 161:14,15	depending 174:24	detector 213:17
decentralization	161:17	depends 44:2	214:20 220:25
74:7 75:9,11,23	definitely 142:19	100:14	221:2 222:12
77:6 171:20,21	238:14	depressed 83:13	detectors 218:18
decentralized 74:9	definition 94:20	depresses 59:18	determination 96:2
75:18	109:20 176:2 220:6	depression 83:15	227:12
decide 280:24	294:3	100:21	determine 29:8
299:15	definitions 132:13	depth 286:16	78:19 248:21
decided 5:12 12:2	132:14 294:2	deputies 162:6	determined 55:5
113:20 213:5	degree 12:13 86:5	deputy 204:4	167:15
215:15,25 217:2	89:25 105:9 165:11	derider 212:12	determining 96:7
291:24	174:11 181:6	derogatory 268:5	devastated 195:11
deciding 5:22 98:7	241:11 248:15,16	describe 24:18	307:19
277:3	deliberate 4:14	170:13 194:23	develop 27:6 40:6
decision 4:11 9:6	47:12	302:9	54:10 59:6 92:16
47:13 68:4 122:24	delighted 38:10	described 25:15	94:21 95:2 163:8
306:16	105:24	36:16 166:4 175:2,3	169:11 181:2 192:6
decisions 6:24 21:14	delivered 196:3	describing 58:4	193:24 291:11
122:23 278:5	delivery 30:12	111:2 227:11	developed 22:9
310:18	128:11	description 42:2	169:23 178:9
declare 168:14	deluded 85:8	desegregation 288:2	278:23 279:2
declared 76:21 77:5	demand 129:11	deserts 111:23	287:19 313:8
decline 105:14	demanding 276:22	deserved 156:8	developing 12:25
dedicated 41:16	democracies 92:20	deserves 201:17	52:16 59:19 192:15
deemed 89:3	democracy 85:3	design 172:11	287:17
deep 22:5 106:2	92:18 93:3 98:10	186:18	development 27:24
119:5	165:2	designed 132:4	31:11,24 96:20
deepen 90:23	democratic 105:8	137:17	153:13 178:6
deepened 91:3	299:15	designs 316:12	179:17 187:14
deepening 166:20	demographic 273:2	desire 21:25 139:18	189:9 304:12,17
deeper 221:15	demonstrate 51:22	152:22 194:23	develops 134:2
deeply 65:5 148:9	181:14	desk 196:5 213:25	deviations 38:3,5
defeating 105:11	demonstrated 195:7	desktop 281:2	devices 15:8
defend 99:3	demonstrating	despair 83:11 93:5	devoted 83:25
defer 299:8	20:19	desperate 196:20	dhs 234:2
define 19:24 21:16	demonstration	desperation 196:24	diagnosed 121:24
66:20 135:10	190:11	despite 5:21 34:18	dialog 312:20
140:14,17 163:7	denied 251:12	92:18 181:15	dialogs 226:7
201:13 283:21	densely 288:19	destroying 312:4	dialogue 161:23
294:4			205:12

dialogues 192:4	273:4	disabled 125:17	226:21 227:22
diamond 128:18	difficulties 125:17	302:14	228:2 282:15 284:9
dictate 162:23 173:5	143:11,14	disadvantaged	294:25
176:17	dig 221:15	55:12 56:7,10,19	discussions 8:19
diego 76:20,24	dilemma 85:11	57:3 60:21,22 61:2	182:23
165:17	dimensions 175:23	63:22 64:12 174:4	disheartening
difference 102:11	diminished 71:16	disaffected 310:6	232:16 265:4
102:17 138:10	dinosaur 183:6	disagree 146:13	disincentive 257:13
239:14 259:4 269:2	diploma 124:7	disagreed 83:6,7	disinvested 174:5
270:3	142:4 152:12,16	disappear 231:5	191:11
differences 11:5	216:14 234:16	disappeared 81:5	disorganized 216:21
50:25 110:15,19	241:10 242:19	disappointed	313:2
different 5:13 23:7	diplomas 266:16	106:12	disparity 141:22
24:2 31:4 52:22	direct 73:21	disaster 75:9,13,15	142:21 232:24
58:17 65:7 80:6	directed 172:6	disciplinary 208:25	dispirited 148:3,4
82:6 86:17 90:13	direction 75:5 93:7	221:21 223:24	disposal 194:8
99:20,22 102:8,9,10	93:8 96:16 135:15	229:3 235:16 236:6	disproof 36:19
104:20 129:19	285:2	236:25 243:7	disproportionalities
133:5,11 160:25	directly 6:13 69:16	246:20 247:10	237:22 249:12
177:19 185:7 199:8	70:2 131:11 162:24	249:6 266:20	disproportionality
203:7 206:6 207:8	275:22	discipline 208:22	107:24 238:3,4,5
214:17 216:2,12	director 2:14 35:23	218:4 240:9 245:14	disproportionately
219:19 229:6,12	36:8,22 37:15 39:21	245:17 250:15	147:18 233:2
230:8,10 235:11	40:2,9,11 128:2	251:2 258:17	237:23
239:21 240:17,23	158:2,5 188:11	259:14,25 260:5	dispute 260:4
242:2 247:16 248:3	274:23 279:9,9	261:9,11	disrespect 89:25
267:7 269:10	directors 108:6	disciplined 249:21	disrespectful 214:22
272:16 278:22	dirty 242:24,24	disconnect 295:21	268:4
283:2 284:4,6	disabilities 32:10,12	297:14	disruptions 238:13
292:13,20 294:2	107:20 109:17	disconnected 187:21	disruptive 29:23
298:6,7,8 300:9,24	110:19 111:3	disconnects 161:4	235:15 238:14
303:21 306:13	114:24 116:13	discourse 128:23,23	247:12 248:21,25
307:24	118:7,10 120:9	147:25	251:19
differential 109:16	121:9,23,24 136:23	discoveries 81:18	dissent 5:7
differentiate 43:9	137:6 138:22 141:5	discovery 6:20	distinct 301:23
50:7,8 57:12	141:11 143:12	discretion 223:14,15	distinction 108:5
differentiated 175:5	249:15 250:9 251:5	223:24 224:2	distinguish 271:25
differentiates	251:10	227:13	distinguished 25:11
162:18	disability 108:5	discriminate 225:13	158:19
differentiating	109:21 110:2	discussed 227:15	distinguishing 272:5
136:4 difficult 11:21 58:22	117:15 122:22,24	313:21	
144:25 145:19	123:14,20 130:17 143:2 149:13 151:7	discussing 2:9,23 discussion 107:18	distorted 90:20 distracted 13:15
182:2 231:23 237:9	152:11 225:14	107:21 155:5 174:2	distraction 140:22
			uisti activii 140.22
237:10,13 238:15	249:24 250:6	189:14 218:25	

distractions 213:7	distrust 85:5,6,15	256:21 259:7	254:13 274:18
distressed 174:5	86:10 93:19 97:23	261:14 266:19	289:13 312:24
184:5	distrusted 85:24	267:22 272:11	
			drag 206:10
distribution 176:9	distrustful 86:6	283:10,10 284:16	dramatic 60:7 261:9
183:14	disturbance 110:3	288:15 300:17	262:11 269:20,21
district 3:3 7:8	117:10 122:8	306:22	dramatically 71:16
12:14 14:25 18:6,7	149:16	doll 132:24	draw 44:18,20
37:16 66:7 71:3	disturbances 109:17	dollar 11:5 250:20	118:2 279:23
85:19 91:14,17	123:15	dollars 6:8 138:7	drawing 162:12
92:11 93:11 94:6,9	ditto 138:21	166:2 192:10 194:7	dress 238:12
94:16 96:17,23	diverse 55:20	199:20 200:12	drew 47:6 236:23
126:14 127:6,15	310:17	257:6,10,17,23	drive 69:15 135:22
128:2,5 138:8	diversity 15:20	277:25	135:25
143:20 144:3,5,20	divert 168:3	domains 50:21	driven 21:24 67:9
154:21 158:10	divided 281:12	dominate 132:6	68:3,4,10 69:13
160:8 161:2 166:19	division 204:11	145:21	104:25 177:16
171:10 172:5,19	dj 111:23	dominated 161:19	254:14 260:15
173:5,13 183:3,4	doctor 266:10	161:24	driver 44:7
186:9 192:5 203:19	doctorate 38:9	don 9:3,16 107:4	driver's 175:25
204:9,19 205:25,25	document 135:8	157:18 194:14	176:14
217:18 218:14	257:25	door 88:11,11 89:21	drivers's 176:16
222:25 224:6 226:8	documentaries	89:22 166:6 213:14	drives 71:7,8
228:25 229:19	292:18	243:14,16 310:21	driving 194:21
230:11 239:21	documentary 3:7,14	doors 206:21 230:23	199:21 208:7
242:25 244:6,24	dog 72:20	dot 52:3,5	254:11
246:2 250:19,25	doing 2:25 20:16	dotted 42:19	drones 27:3
254:4 255:24	24:6 29:18 40:21	double 236:14	drop 179:6,12 205:6
266:17 268:15	45:19 61:13 62:13	douglas 301:20,21	207:11 208:24
269:6 276:3 277:22	62:14 70:17 80:22	302:18	209:13 211:5,10,19
277:23 279:8	87:2 88:16 89:2	down's 111:11	230:20 231:4,9
287:16 288:11	92:4 96:3 99:24	downtown 88:22	232:2,3 233:20
294:5	100:3 101:25	215:2	245:17
district's 222:24	102:12 103:14	dozen 292:18	dropout 80:16,25
districts 12:25 17:22	120:24 126:12	dr 107:14 108:16,17	81:15 204:18 205:4
18:18,22 48:18 61:8	134:25 138:3	108:22,23 124:20	206:13 208:2
61:15 70:17 91:24	141:11,25 142:3	124:22 125:3,9	219:12 233:15
126:5 128:8,9,9	146:15 155:14	130:11 132:16,21	241:14 242:11
130:8 136:2 137:9	159:12,15,25	139:3 141:3,9	253:6 257:3 265:13
140:23,24 148:13	160:13,21 170:8	146:12 152:21	dropouts 80:14
148:23 173:18	174:19 178:24	157:16 158:2,11,18	241:17 308:5
174:9 176:7 178:4	185:6 188:22	158:22,24 174:13	dropped 207:16
257:2,8 266:12	211:17 212:7	194:13 197:9,13,19	255:16
275:18,23 278:8	216:10 217:17	197:23 199:4,18	dropping 179:22
283:17	224:10 234:19	200:23 201:2	206:2 207:15
	245:5 246:5,6	202:24 203:4 223:5	208:12 210:3,4
	1 1 2 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1		

[dropping - effort] Page 334

233:3,23,24 234:22	easton 40:8	14:10 16:24 19:17	280:7,16 281:6,11
238:25 253:8	easttown 39:7	21:16,17 28:4 31:23	281:16 285:5,20,21
298:15	easy 26:13 53:25	32:13,19 36:9,15	285:22 286:4 287:5
drops 30:23 179:9	85:18 86:23 87:20	37:13 40:11 68:13	292:10,18 293:2,6
drug 307:21	115:18 210:10	90:13 97:8 107:5,12	295:22 296:23
drugs 212:19 223:8	228:9 280:20 309:9	107:22,23,24,25	301:10,11,17,22
drunk 180:3 273:19	310:14	108:3,14,18,20	303:19 306:7 315:3
dts 177:19	echo 155:16	109:7 110:7,7,10,12	education's 21:15
dual 241:4	echoed 200:13	110:13,14,25 111:7	educational 7:21
due 98:4,25 178:16	echoes 172:17	111:9 113:7,7,17,22	30:13 33:15 34:12
212:12 213:7 217:3	economic 15:20	113:23 114:21	41:14 48:17 110:20
272:3	187:13	115:4 116:4 118:13	137:21 139:6
dumb 133:6,18	economically 55:13	119:12,13 120:3	141:21 145:15
duncan 76:5,6 187:5	economy 85:8 165:2	121:16 122:13,14	151:20 157:22
dynamics 283:25	ed 33:20 109:25	122:15,15 123:9	158:16 177:8
dysfunction 190:21	114:23 122:25	124:3,4,9,19 125:24	203:22,23 230:5
dyslexia 125:19	123:2,7,7,12 124:11	126:4,9,15 127:9	235:20 241:25
150:17,19,24 151:4	127:6,25 137:8,10	128:2,5,11,15,25	253:12 258:6
152:23	137:16 138:16	129:18 130:5,20	305:19
e	146:2,3,20 149:11	131:2,14,14,15	educator 145:20
e 298:25	152:4,6,9 154:15,15	132:3,12,15 136:20	146:9
e3 241:23	154:16,16 229:5	140:12,13,20,21	educator's 133:23
eadvocacy 280:6	235:4 240:8,8	142:16,17 144:9,21	educators 29:8
earlier 24:22 96:21	250:13,24 251:3,3,4	145:25 147:23	40:23 53:20 66:24
115:11 175:22	251:6 285:19	152:17 153:22	76:17
239:3 271:8 272:22	edge 149:11,20	154:4 155:10	effect 53:10 67:18
286:13 293:3 309:6	edison 212:17 213:2	156:25 158:6,20	219:11 223:5,10
310:12	231:20	159:20 161:24	effecting 275:6
earliest 255:19	edition 70:23	162:17,20 164:25	effective 17:25
early 5:6,12 25:23	editorial 311:14	169:7,12 170:21	28:14 44:8,14 155:6
42:3,8 43:20 148:16	312:19	176:6 177:2 178:5	282:20 287:4,14
179:12 234:6	educate 11:7 22:4	178:19 184:20	288:8 305:25
242:15 255:15	143:23 148:18	186:19 198:17,19	effectively 155:11
259:17	196:22 243:15,21	198:21 210:6 213:7	232:21 233:22
earned 158:11	257:7	215:4 224:16	effectiveness 163:18
earning 241:10	educated 129:13	234:18 235:15	163:25
earth 184:24	152:15 277:25	242:12 243:13	efficacy 58:7 59:17
easier 26:9 87:15,18	302:25 308:8	244:7 247:11	70:24
291:8	educating 7:14	250:17,21 251:7	efficient 5:15 9:20
easiest 86:21	137:5,5,24 190:4,14	252:8,10 253:7	10:9,21
easily 133:17,18	243:25	255:6 265:19	efficiently 151:8
east 36:23 99:21	education 1:3 2:10	274:24 275:2,7,14	effort 123:6 155:4
178:12,16	2:19,23 3:2,6,16 5:9	275:16,19 276:15	187:24 196:9 295:5
	5:10 6:18 9:7,12,21	276:16 277:3,17	313:7,8
	10:7 11:18 13:10,22	278:3,19 279:9	

[efforts - essential] Page 335

o ff orm t a 152,20	amanad 60.22	260.22 202.16	am4:4:ag 276.12
efforts 153:20	emerged 68:23	269:22 282:16	entities 276:12
260:19 315:5	96:24 226:21	309:14	entitled 16:20 32:11
eggs 46:20	emotional 109:17	engagement 159:22	251:13
eharmony 226:6	110:3 117:10 122:8	191:25 197:3	entitlement 21:9
eight 37:24 38:2	123:15 125:17	206:14 225:22	environment 12:7
84:20,20 136:12	149:16	230:13,15,18	24:3 26:13 52:20
155:9 169:8,8 211:6	emphases 143:18	235:10 274:15,24	98:6 108:8 248:3,4
232:5 263:12	emphasis 28:25	276:5 277:18	254:2 292:9
eighth 224:22 241:6	138:14	282:10,25 283:3,16	environmental 7:18
241:16 296:10	emphasizes 163:17	283:17 284:14	157:21
either 26:15 37:8,9	163:17 164:7	293:25 296:3,3	environments
69:25 72:9 131:8	empirical 43:7	307:23	110:21 119:25
190:7 208:13 228:4	employ 266:7	engages 180:5	134:8,12 150:13
294:23 300:13	employed 227:17	engaging 245:21	envision 308:10
el 216:6,25	231:13	278:21 307:25	epidemic 233:12
elaborate 141:15	employers 225:11	312:17	epitome 116:19
elaine 39:25	empowering 269:25	engineered 4:11	eplc 286:13
elected 84:18 276:25	empowerment	english 16:20 17:5	equal 4:19 5:3
286:24	254:22 302:7,8	17:12 19:15 100:6	110:13 128:25
election 68:17 69:11	empty 24:15	161:8 189:5,11	139:5
elections 145:21	enacted 28:13	210:18 211:18	equally 147:22
277:21 280:23	encompass 46:12	enjoining 7:15	equipment 24:12
electronics 214:8	312:2	enjoyed 302:17	25:16 140:16
element 267:12	encompassing	enormous 10:25	equitable 105:3
elementary 31:22	308:11	11:2 29:7 32:14	184:15 257:15,16
37:20 42:19,24 47:6	encourage 37:7 92:6	50:25 52:16 69:5	286:20
47:15 128:20	172:11 270:7	77:18 84:14 90:2	equitably 196:23
150:23 168:15,18	encouraged 202:25	98:2 176:3 191:12	equity 158:16
255:23 315:2	encourages 18:22	192:7	163:25
elements 313:15	95:3	enormously 83:24	era 23:2
315:12	endeavor 181:24	enrichment 313:19	erase 175:16
elena 4:2	ended 112:7 147:5	enroll 208:24	especially 11:21
eliminate 103:19	endorsed 279:5	enrolled 111:17	65:5 81:3 89:24
elite 126:14 128:2	energy 51:19 295:5	enrollment 241:4	97:21 109:24
180:12	enforcement 16:22	ensure 313:19	152:23 271:23
elite's 176:25	enforces 225:9	enter 86:5 239:2	283:5 287:10
elites 162:2	enforcing 248:18	308:6	306:17
ellis 107:13 108:2,11	engage 44:10 82:25	entering 23:2 91:4	essence 110:7
111:5 135:21,24	88:24 155:4 167:5	enterprise 167:2,3	188:15
138:21,24 142:24	169:16 227:4,21	entire 3:12 5:5	essential 44:4,4
149:7 150:9 154:6	274:13 282:7 289:6	119:8 156:14 157:6	46:21 50:19 51:13
eloquently 92:7	292:11 302:10	246:8 263:13 276:9	53:19 54:11 59:5,5
embarrassed 149:12	engaged 40:22	298:14	59:20 60:2,6,10,23
embarrassing 80:14	196:12 226:13	entirely 83:20	60.24 61.12 64.2 11
	190.12 220.13	entifiery 65.20	60:24 61:13 64:2,11

[essential - eyebrow] Page 336

182:23 183:20	evidence 25:25	exclusive 175:14	experiences 97:22
essentiality 46:14	69:23,25 76:11	exclusively 122:9	experimenting
essentially 4:17 10:3	123:23 199:14,19	126:19 166:25	71:23
22:7 24:15 35:17	218:25 249:2,3	exculpation 274:18	experiments 24:13
71:23 96:22 137:21	273:21	excuse 5:18 6:2 8:13	68:10
177:15	evident 133:16	81:6 116:8 124:11	expert 123:18
establish 173:25	exacerbates 256:12	255:6 307:17	148:11 167:7
established 172:4	exact 296:4	executive 2:13 37:15	249:19 258:19
286:21	exactly 21:12 23:20	158:5 202:15 299:6	expertise 150:7,7
establishment	28:16 78:12,23	exercise 72:2 263:16	152:25 167:5
215:23	104:12 137:13	exhibiting 256:3	169:23 173:10
estudiantes 216:7	141:7 201:16	exhibitions 216:18	experts 119:13,15
et 63:21 194:19	273:13 299:12	exile 98:25	203:9 274:12,15,22
294:5	300:10 305:3	exist 162:3 167:6	313:25
ethnic 17:13 233:6	exam 128:16	173:19 225:6	explain 38:14 50:15
ethnicity 55:6	examination 227:14	289:15 312:9	55:2 59:8 63:12
192:15 225:14	examine 186:22	existed 313:12	110:6 221:9 298:17
ets 176:23	example 14:12	existence 82:24	explained 237:25
evaluating 96:2	21:10 62:4 68:3	existing 288:19	238:2
evaluation 37:16	74:5 76:9,15 97:19	312:4	explaining 124:17
108:13 122:7	111:9 122:16 124:5	exists 167:24 175:8	explore 90:23
156:11	155:8 188:8 214:7	176:4 182:15	express 89:25
evaluations 122:5	246:18,18 265:18	240:13 314:17	305:14
156:17 302:16	278:18 290:21	exit 10:17	expulsion 221:22
evaluator 109:15	313:3	expand 98:2 291:25	extended 183:22
evening 3:13 30:25	examples 14:13	expanding 68:12	extensive 47:4 61:7
309:7	67:24 104:9 150:16	97:10	96:24 124:17
event 99:19 227:13	288:16	expands 182:16	extent 16:3 31:19
314:11	exams 10:17	expect 85:9 118:20	36:17 56:17 182:18
events 305:16	exceedingly 70:15	186:19 245:8	194:21 281:17
315:16	excellent 138:2	281:14	external 43:11
eventually 43:21	295:18	expectation 151:20	173:16
170:22 194:3 231:9	exception 222:6	expectations 119:23	extra 123:10 216:18
everybody 26:6 37:7	exceptionally	259:16 276:21	257:23 263:7
70:13 76:8 101:17	204:12	expected 151:24,25	extraordinarily
110:14 134:17,18	exceptions 222:2	257:7	58:22 97:20
157:19 188:4 192:2	excessive 145:10	expecting 115:15,19	extraordinary 6:10
202:11 216:10	exchange 198:9	118:24 208:14	82:24 146:15,15
226:3 227:25	excited 35:11	expel 219:21	extreme 75:5 105:15
253:11 273:9 301:3	excitement 258:17	expelled 220:10,17	246:18
312:18	exciting 16:18 34:16	223:9	extremely 83:13
everybody's 244:25	107:11	experience 86:8,17	303:11
301:4	excluding 260:8	109:6 114:23 146:6	eyebrow 220:11
everyone's 233:5	exclusionary 31:7	147:18 158:7 227:7	
306:5		230:5 255:5 297:12	

[fabulous - first] Page 337

f	fairly 196:22 219:2	158:23 162:17,18	file 23:22
	262:6	163:3,13,23 164:6	filipino 146:17
fabulous 5:7 201:21	faith 178:8 183:23	165:2 186:4 242:13	filled 37:17
face 198:11,12	fake 112:13	309:18	filling 156:11
199:23 216:19	fall 7:19 46:8 146:25	feds 162:20 189:3	final 109:9 155:22
254:3	fallen 266:15	feed 309:8	189:22 226:2,6
faced 192:8	falling 151:15 286:6	feedback 239:18	288:9,15
facilitate 294:21,24	false 273:11	feeds 124:10	finally 37:11 40:8
facilitation 309:21	falter 173:15	feel 66:3 79:21 98:5	45:7 50:18 55:22
facilities 276:12	familiar 20:13 39:13	105:12 208:5	58:21 67:5 103:11
fact 13:14 23:14	families 13:19 18:8	214:21 259:24	120:7 155:17
27:13 34:2,15 40:18	28:4 56:5 89:15	262:23 263:6,16,20	204:12 215:15
53:16 70:5 74:5	90:2 97:21 242:6	264:7 267:16,23	217:7
82:13 83:12,15 84:6	243:17 244:5	268:6 295:11,21	financial 96:11
84:15 92:18 93:3	family 56:9,10 59:2	302:19	242:4
127:7 133:16 140:3	108:12 150:16	feeling 83:12 269:9	find 10:4 11:15
140:22 152:12	189:25 207:11	feels 163:4 301:3	14:12 18:18 28:24
156:22 162:16	218:7,8 298:17,19	fell 221:10,12	31:16 53:21 54:17
169:13,15,16	307:22	felt 82:19 155:11	57:10 60:20 66:13
174:18 178:2	fancy 71:21 195:9	213:18 214:15,16	66:17,19 68:11 84:5
180:10 187:23	fantastic 34:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	102:10 105:2
190:10 220:23	139:9 236:17	214:17,20 215:14 ferris 246:11	131:15 168:9 220:4
235:17 237:7 248:5			
277:14 278:4	246:22 far 22:23 50:2 70:7	fewer 97:15 210:18	243:20 289:20
282:19 286:6		fidelity 259:3,5,7 field 13:8 79:18	301:5 302:25
293:12 303:20	76:25 111:3 113:4		305:15 306:10
factor 97:11 211:12	119:19 150:4	108:11 119:3 148:9	310:4
factors 87:17 208:2	151:15 164:14	fields 97:7	finding 228:12
234:5 267:9	218:3 261:12 298:3	fifth 46:6 244:18	308:13
facts 118:15	farther 69:13	245:3 260:17	findings 47:2 54:5
faculty 44:24 45:4,7	fascinating 252:23	263:25	67:24
fail 84:2 173:15	fast 37:22 77:5	_	fine 77:13 255:12,12
198:10	188:14	212:23 283:23	fines 18:10,14
failed 106:13 184:6	faster 194:24	fighting 212:19	finger 66:10
215:9	father's 266:8	215:5 285:9	finish 165:19 234:9
failing 168:21,23	fattah 25:10	fights 283:23	296:9
210:17 211:6	fault 75:20,21,21	figure 23:21 55:4	finland 185:10
232:10	145:25	56:13 87:12 126:3	271:14
failure 22:4 137:21	favor 82:20 233:2	206:3 244:22	fire 38:25 163:21
190:12 216:16	faye 147:3	245:10 282:4	164:16 228:17
266:17 271:18,19	feature 259:20	283:24 284:22	fired 98:13 146:24
fair 23:9 32:15	260:21	291:18 305:3	fireworks 228:16
110:13 225:10	features 259:10	figured 92:18 127:4	firing 311:17
258:22	federal 6:17 48:20	128:10 266:3 292:3	firmly 260:7
	67:16,22 68:24,24	figuring 256:13	first 8:10 11:13 30:3
	137:15 142:13		33:14 34:25 37:13

[first - four] Page 338

		207 12 200 20	0.07.10.1
39:15,19 45:11 52:8	229:12 253:5	287:12 289:20	forth 8:25 13:6
52:12 55:9 57:16	254:19 264:15,19	309:12,13	19:10 20:4 27:23
61:17,20 66:25 67:6	268:20 274:6	follow 6:23 12:5	28:25 31:12 78:20
67:7,7 79:24 80:6	292:22 297:2,4	52:9 54:2,3 76:8	79:8 98:19 103:10
88:9 89:18,19 91:11	300:8	followed 3:15 52:12	303:21
91:13,16 95:14,21	fix 122:14,15	251:15	fortunate 8:2 35:18
105:6 111:6,8 114:7	fixing 165:23 191:7	follower 2:18	203:20 204:2
114:13,17,25 115:5	flag 234:8	following 11:12	fortunately 193:8
118:18 124:22	flat 118:11 184:23	76:20 159:12	195:7
125:10,20 130:15	flesh 198:23 277:19	food 153:18 266:21	forty 268:21
132:5 137:18	flexibility 96:11	football 137:11	forums 171:10
144:11 152:4 157:8	304:19	footing 151:16	278:11 290:12
158:10 162:16	flexible 101:7	footnote 48:14	forward 42:9
166:24 171:20	flier 279:24	force 50:20 153:11	149:21 197:6
175:13 180:2	flip 117:19 211:3,11	171:13 198:18	254:12 267:2
197:16,20 207:7	233:21 243:22	203:18 212:19	283:23 284:4,25
212:16,17 213:23	floor 30:24	225:22,23 253:18	308:18
216:20 224:24	flowed 166:3	255:17 269:7,8	foster 234:3 303:3
230:13 237:21	flyer 281:20	forced 199:21	found 18:15 28:10
246:6,10 247:24	focus 3:24 20:19	210:11	39:20 46:4 51:2,11
258:21 259:13	78:15 80:2 89:9	forces 287:6	52:24 53:6,25 57:5
264:25 270:14	109:19 128:6	forefront 7:5	60:25 238:7 270:15
271:5 275:4 282:22	132:18 142:18	foremost 2:20 45:12	273:2 289:23,23
285:20 287:5	147:23 156:13	forever 195:5	foundation 3:4
289:11 291:6 302:6	164:2 168:18	forget 78:13	39:23 51:20 95:16
316:16	184:17 185:7 189:4	forgot 255:6	95:19 96:9 140:12
firstly 130:25	192:14 203:7	forgotten 222:4	160:19 161:9
fiscal 191:13	253:22 254:8	form 41:5,5 66:8	193:10 276:6 279:7
fist 59:8	259:13,20 260:9	71:23 83:15 87:3	foundations 160:20
fit 216:3 220:5	306:8,19 309:3	119:22 156:16	162:6
231:21 248:22	313:16	283:15	founder 36:22
fits 143:15	focused 3:6 44:9	formal 111:12	founding 35:23
fitting 29:24 306:10	48:8 84:4 148:17	276:11 280:3 281:7	265:2
five 7:8 8:11 31:8	150:24 191:16	281:14	four 8:11 19:15,15
34:8 39:18 44:4	203:24 262:24	formed 203:19	36:14 41:21 49:20
49:13 50:18,21,24	focuses 101:4	former 46:16	49:21 67:6 72:25
51:6,9 59:11 61:23	259:17	305:12	76:16 78:5 91:4
74:8 78:5 79:2	focusing 3:16 7:18	formerly 204:9	92:23 103:15 122:4
94:19,22,25 115:2,6	150:6 260:19	forming 271:8	122:6 126:11 133:2
115:22 116:6,21	262:21 302:3	315:14	133:6 142:3 162:6
117:24 118:16,23	folks 208:20 209:10	forms 121:8 156:11	163:16 167:14,20
119:8 120:11,16	209:17 210:5	formula 257:4,15	167:25 168:20,22
127:5 162:6 182:23	242:11 243:2	formulated 189:16	187:16 193:12
194:14,15 202:12	259:11 262:6	formulating 160:11	203:9 233:25 255:3
212:25 228:15,16	276:13 287:11,12		296:17 304:15

[four - give] Page 339

305:24 306:4	fudge 80:22	a	gentleman 302:3
315:12	full 7:23 48:22	g	307:8
fourth 46:6 122:17	83:11 86:24 118:15	g 298:25,25	gentlemen 182:3
122:19 151:22,22	134:18 200:17	gains 48:3,9,9	185:4 191:19
208:19 260:17	fully 110:20 111:14	146:20	192:10,18 199:24
308:4	118:14,14 208:12	game 32:15	201:3
foyer 36:11 105:23	250:16	gap 64:8 83:18	gentrified 180:15
frame 107:9 174:18	function 138:19	84:21 141:23	205:2 222:19,19
174:25 180:20	143:14	142:12,12 152:2	genuine 192:4
193:3 235:2 242:3	functional 257:10	176:23 177:7	georgia 269:15
framed 194:6	functionally 232:14	241:20 244:9,10	getting 12:9 23:14
212:24	functioning 9:14	gaps 142:21 175:16	48:10 50:3 61:9,19
frames 162:8 176:17	256:3	177:5	83:25 97:18 129:19
176:19 182:17	fund 36:9 158:6	garbage 79:14,14,20	143:24 168:7
framework 8:21	166:4 178:19	79:20,21	175:17,18 193:18
11:18 22:11 43:22	198:19,21 210:6	garden 147:9	201:20 208:23
163:16 183:20	242:12,14 274:24	gaskin 108:7 117:18	209:3 210:16 220:8
211:22 235:23	275:17 278:4,19	117:18 118:14	220:16 228:19
framing 311:23	279:9 285:5,19	gates 3:4 160:19	237:6,9 239:22
francisco 187:2	301:10	161:9 187:18 188:7	251:5,14 252:14
frankly 36:17 48:21	fundamental 9:8	188:9,17 193:10	257:10 258:13
155:13 167:22	313:20	209:10	259:23,24 261:13
175:4 261:6 288:11	funded 5:18,19,19	gateway 241:3	273:8,9,19 274:9
291:22	5:20 10:8 69:3	gather 76:23 79:11	283:8 290:13
fred 101:24	194:6	gathered 76:25	292:19 299:5
free 32:18 243:12	funding 5:4 11:2	gathering 156:21	300:23 313:22
freedom 217:8	25:9 123:10 136:21	205:15	ghetto 180:14
freire 215:2	136:21,24 137:2,4,8	ged 212:11 217:2,4	gifted 82:7,8 108:21
frequent 124:2	137:20 153:20	229:15 241:21,23	122:7
friday 112:2 113:14	154:8,16 176:10	241:24	girl 112:5,6
113:16	184:15,20 188:18	gee 114:12	gist 43:21 210:10
friend 76:19,20	250:19 256:18,19	gender 225:15	give 10:19 27:5 56:4
77:12 81:20 82:13	256:24 257:4,14,15	general 56:23 68:17	61:5 67:24 81:13
89:14	257:16 286:14,20	276:12 280:4,6 295:23 300:11	87:14 96:10,13
friendly 280:17	fundraisers 187:8	generally 48:21	99:12 107:16
friends 111:24	fundraising 277:9	79:10	120:11 124:20
140:10 217:3	funds 69:7 250:19		126:10 130:13
frisked 86:15	277:17	generate 106:17 generation 89:7	136:13 150:2
front 25:8 142:20	funny 84:24	127:17 168:9	151:19 155:5,8
144:7 157:2 196:4	further 10:14 57:10	180:15 193:22	163:20 196:10,11
213:15 315:15	64:17 204:16	308:4	197:4 199:14 200:5
fronts 153:22	227:14	generations 112:7	209:18 217:15
frosting 66:18	future 171:13 299:7	113:3	224:13 229:21
frustrating 213:17	fuzzy 232:5	113.3	239:18 253:4,21
			256:20 257:22

[give - grade] Page 340

263:6,19 266:25	303:6 307:16	174:17 176:18	80:15 83:7 85:3,11
270:23 273:23	goal 8:3 57:20 118:4	179:4 181:15	87:7 88:23 94:20
280:5,11 285:24	goals 96:12 160:20	182:11 183:17	101:3 108:23
286:23 291:13	161:9 185:17	186:13,19 188:9,13	120:13,13 136:15
given 33:2 69:4	197:15	189:20,22,23	136:16 142:3 143:7
115:18 126:8 151:8	god 188:4 246:16	191:12 192:18,20	145:18 146:5,17
163:13 175:24	god's 187:7	192:21 193:7,14,20	149:23 159:25
189:3 231:11	goes 11:10 13:14	193:21,25 194:3,18	163:10,11,21
265:24 289:14	44:13 68:19 192:2	195:2,19 197:6,16	182:11 188:8
293:2	204:23 251:8	198:4,4,12 199:7	202:19 205:19
gives 234:9 280:16	277:15	200:3,15 201:4,22	211:4,5 212:9
giving 89:10 184:21	going 3:25 6:3 7:14	202:7,8,23 203:3,10	253:19 255:18
293:6	7:25 8:14,15,20,24	204:14,20 205:9,10	258:8 259:11,18
glad 39:3 294:11	9:2,13,23 11:12	205:16,22 207:4	263:14 264:12
gladly 306:18	12:5 13:17 15:7	208:15 209:6	266:5 270:21,22
glasses 11:15	16:5,8 17:9 19:13	210:24,25 211:5,19	277:11 280:5,11,19
glory 76:18	22:24 24:4,5 26:20	211:24 212:4 215:5	280:21 284:19
glossy 313:9	28:20 29:9,12,19	216:3 222:8,22,23	285:6 290:12 293:8
go 8:4 14:18 15:13	33:12,23 36:11	223:9,17 226:10	293:13 297:10
19:11 21:18 30:4,19	38:13,14 40:15 43:5	228:20 229:2,25	299:22
34:6 43:16 56:3	46:24 47:3 48:15	232:22 234:8,24	goodness 120:23
62:10,23 63:4,24	49:5,23 50:13 51:14	235:3,22 236:3	gotten 6:25 65:6
71:6 72:18 75:19	52:3 54:8,24 55:4	238:8 240:6 242:7	123:24 127:8
90:21 94:14 104:21	56:16 61:5 62:21,24	245:8 251:6 252:19	279:14
106:4 107:5 113:8,9	65:10,11,25 66:17	255:18 256:6,20,20	governance 162:17
113:15 114:7	71:10 72:18 77:25	257:22 266:21,22	165:22 171:24
116:22 118:18	81:2,19 83:8 87:13	268:8,23 269:6,7	government 137:15
121:3 134:10 136:8	92:9 99:25 100:2	270:19 271:9	162:18,19,19 163:4
137:22 138:10	105:17 106:4,19,20	273:20 274:10	164:7,19 165:3,24
139:8 141:19 153:5	106:22,24 107:15	275:3,4,23 276:3	176:7 186:2 242:13
157:8 164:14 169:7	110:25 111:2	278:8 280:12 282:9	298:2 313:11
169:7 179:13 180:3	113:22 114:3 117:7	283:15,19,22	government's
189:24 191:5 198:5	118:9 119:18	284:22 287:16	163:23 313:13
203:10 204:20	120:17 123:9,10	288:3,21 289:7,9,21	governor 279:6
207:4 211:4 217:2	126:10 131:9	291:12 294:12	governors 158:19
221:13 223:11	136:17 137:16	303:10,24 307:12	162:4
226:4 230:6 234:6	138:9 139:5,15,17	311:6,11,12 314:22	gown 111:22
241:7 242:4,8	140:21 145:3,19	315:3,6,8,10,21	grace 81:7
243:11,20 248:24	146:10,12 147:5	gold 117:2	grade 46:6 78:4
255:23 264:5,9	150:2 152:2,13	good 3:5 5:19 13:22	80:18 122:17,18,19
271:3,5,9 277:2	156:14 157:14	14:12,14 20:16 21:6	122:20 141:24
282:3 284:2 285:4,9	159:7,9,25 160:14	23:24 27:15 29:5	151:22,23 152:8,10
286:7,16,25 289:10	161:4 162:10 164:8	38:19,21 41:17	153:9 210:8,12,18
289:12 293:23	165:25 167:25	61:24 62:16 65:10	210:22 211:6,11,12
301:18 302:13	168:3,12 172:2	65:22 70:16 76:15	211:14,15,17

[grade - hard] Page 341

221:24 231:24,24	82:4 97:12 105:12	grow 147:21 304:25	134:22 135:16
232:18 241:6,16,19	107:10 127:24	growing 146:3,3	197:16
243:4 244:17,18	139:2 143:6 154:14	242:7	hands 21:14 74:10
245:3,7 256:5	154:17 156:18	guarantees 139:5	267:21
265:23 271:12,16	203:10,22 205:11	196:25	handy 85:6
296:11	210:7 245:21	guard 213:11 246:7	happen 10:23 35:15
grader 78:24 91:5	258:12 262:8	246:10	192:18 193:7,15
232:21 245:3 256:9	266:25 304:11	guards 214:14 217:6	211:24 222:8
graders 211:14	316:9,10	267:25	255:20 273:6 291:2
grades 19:23 25:23	greater 73:25 84:21	guess 13:8 14:19	294:17,19 295:3
42:25 62:8 120:13	93:19 98:6 138:13	15:12 18:12 26:6	314:14
180:7 231:2 246:9	138:17 141:23	35:23 36:24 72:4	happened 13:20
256:2	190:20 208:10	74:7 83:14 113:19	42:22 75:6 77:9
graduate 10:18 36:6	238:3,4,21 252:2	125:20 148:20	78:10 102:25 103:8
37:12 80:18 103:4	greatest 4:5 88:15	293:4,10 302:10,19	103:10 118:20
152:9,16 216:4	green 61:24	311:19	126:13 127:3,22
244:15	grew 31:23 39:10	guidance 18:21	195:15,15 221:18
graduated 19:6 91:5	133:10 160:3	45:24 179:11	223:12 236:13
100:9 150:19,21	178:12	248:14 277:23	239:25 309:17
180:11 210:19	groans 246:12,15	guide 43:15 255:2	happening 11:9
graduates 157:16	gross 140:22	guns 212:19 219:23	32:25 88:5 106:18
233:6	ground 213:25	guy 193:4 237:16	121:13 125:22,24
graduating 233:8,9	300:24	guys 113:21 139:23	128:7,8 147:24
233:9	grounds 15:24,25	160:13 167:10	175:11 192:2
graduation 20:10	112:15	176:11,13,13	207:24 210:8
23:8,10 24:24	group 40:14 41:15	199:11 200:12,16	235:23 238:21
100:12,15 124:7	43:14,17 44:12	314:13 315:18	251:9 279:22
204:11 206:5	48:22 55:14,15,16	gym 128:22	284:12 294:14
244:13	57:3 76:3 103:7	h	happens 26:23
grandmother	116:12,12,13	half 34:8 36:21	35:13 90:4 104:2
127:17	119:16 126:15	157:9 164:16 215:8	230:7 234:17 266:5
grant 69:19 242:13	179:21 233:6	215:9 226:2 231:6	291:5 297:25
grants 69:6 149:12	265:12 285:9 287:6	240:21 252:12,13	307:15
166:5 314:21	288:20 294:24	261:10 292:18	happy 81:14 82:17
graph 50:14 51:23	305:20	296:17 314:4	106:22 146:13
52:21,23 59:20	groups 27:4 43:10	halfway 270:22	190:14
116:14 117:5 118:2	55:7,22 56:17 57:6	hallway 88:13	hard 52:19 65:25
118:8	103:18 133:11	hamlet 208:20	78:13 81:21 83:24
graphic 66:17	156:20 162:3	hammond 184:23	87:4,7,10 90:3,3
grass 181:13 287:8	198:24 234:2	hamper 20:15	99:8 101:10 131:2
287:12	276:11 281:12,14	hancock 42:9,22	134:25 182:2 232:5
great 11:22 14:23	284:15,19 287:8	hand 8:6 13:8,18	251:6 273:16 285:8
16:23 17:16,17	290:17 297:9	20:14 21:2 27:14	285:12 297:5
31:17 32:2 66:8	305:22 310:22,23	66:16,19 120:12	303:25

[harder - horton] Page 342

harder 80:21 81:4	226:16 253:17	103:4 108:24	historic 7:7
hardest 131:13	hearsay 249:3	111:12,21,24	historical 132:3
harlem 78:7 178:12	heart 22:5 98:10	113:15 114:20	176:4,8 184:11
178:16 190:18	263:15	124:3,7 126:25	historically 9:6
harm 29:19	heat 51:11	127:14 128:20	184:6
harmony 203:19	heavily 31:6	132:19 134:9	history 137:22
225:23 226:5	held 1:10 103:3	139:10 149:4	148:15 180:18
harold 267:4 268:9	145:22 146:10	150:23 152:11	189:13 209:6
he'll 65:19	277:21 278:20	166:14 168:16,19	232:10 246:24
head 77:21 85:23,25	308:22	168:20,20,22	264:10
91:4 125:2 215:10	hello 108:10	178:13 179:14	history's 77:14
headed 105:12	help 16:20,21 19:3	180:11 203:17	hit 131:13 232:4
165:16	33:10 34:20 41:5,5	207:23,24 210:20	279:11
headline 3:2 113:25	41:18 44:21 57:19	213:13,24 222:20	hmm 185:5
headlined 82:4	57:22 96:7,12,12,13	232:4,8,22 233:6	hold 65:22 103:25
headlines 2:22	101:24 105:7 123:3	234:9 236:25 237:8	148:13,19 159:10
heads 75:12 137:12	123:4,13 135:10	241:9,10 242:16	173:7 181:4 257:21
197:20 198:2,2	159:13,14 160:14	243:2 244:12,16	277:20 278:10
258:24	179:9 196:18	255:3 262:11 263:6	290:11 310:8
health 65:10 185:13	237:15 238:16	266:16 271:2,7	holding 38:6 266:13
186:20 268:17	242:5 246:3 256:15	272:24 275:17	276:25
healthy 89:11	268:20 271:24	276:22 278:14	home 30:4,8,19 34:7
hear 10:14 35:13	273:14,20 279:14	296:9 307:20 308:5	98:12 207:17
88:24 136:20	280:9 283:12	311:18	228:18 234:24
145:17 170:19	286:23 288:14	higher 102:15	homeschooling 13:6
196:13 200:8 224:7	294:22 298:22	169:12 184:17	19:19
239:10 251:11	helped 21:3 39:20	219:5 233:23	homework 72:20
253:11,16 273:16	40:5	281:11	120:13 263:20
309:12	helpful 272:11	highest 132:25	honest 291:5
heard 72:19 81:10	helping 123:8	271:13	honor 99:3
143:5,6 226:15	283:11	highlight 183:21	honorable 90:22
252:25 253:16	helps 89:9 171:24	highly 20:17 48:20	honored 4:22 6:7
276:5 297:24	303:2	55:6 74:8 166:8	204:13
298:20 309:4,11	hesitation 133:17	172:24	hook 29:6 309:16
312:7	hey 189:19	hill 36:25	hoola 105:16
hearing 29:9 203:14	heyday 308:23	hilliard 165:8	hope 7:21 34:14,19
220:24 221:14,14	hi 205:19 301:20	hilliard's 177:21	65:16 205:8,11
221:14,22 222:8,22	hiding 35:5	hint 87:14	289:11
223:11,18,25	hierarchy 270:18	hire 76:2 138:9	hopkins 210:7
224:22 248:20,20	high 36:5 37:21 41:2	144:6	horrible 183:16
248:23,24,25	47:14 48:10 52:8	hired 22:19 103:11	270:5
265:24 288:2	58:11 59:10,13,22	144:10	horrific 219:16
291:14 302:20	59:23,24 60:8 63:20	hiring 138:15	horrifying 205:7
hearings 223:11	64:18,19 72:11 81:4	hispanic 18:8	horton 39:12
224:21 225:3,17	86:13,13 91:5 100:2	109:24 121:21	

[host - included] Page 343

host 235:11	ideal 154:13	implementation	67:20 71:8 82:5
hot 202:2 246:14	ideally 152:22	68:25 279:13	94:22 95:2 101:5
292:13	ideas 27:5 37:6		118:21 166:5
hour 3:14 157:9	38:11 54:3 106:17	implemented 259:3 316:8	184:20 262:12
200:25	266:23 284:2	implicated 148:9	286:9 314:21
hours 30:7 34:8,11	306:13,15	implications 161:23	improvements
86:9 97:16 98:2	identical 5:16 9:22	287:19,21 309:3	115:9 247:2 269:21
111:25 252:6,11,12	identifiable 291:17	implies 247:12	improves 26:3 70:3
252:13,14 263:12	identification 120:9	imply 24:25	improving 27:18
268:21	121:13,20 124:10	importance 163:24	28:14 43:16 49:2
house 1:11 190:16	124:18	important 19:25	54:12,16 64:24 66:4
221:8 265:20 314:4	identified 32:8	20:3,5 22:13 32:5	163:18 261:13
housing 5:25 186:20	121:16,22 125:16	33:19 40:12 52:25	286:3
187:9,14 188:12	125:18	53:16 60:25 61:10	inaccurate 9:6
225:11	identify 163:10	66:23 67:15 70:7	121:20
hues 133:5	311:17	89:3 101:16 136:17	inaccurately 121:15
huge 45:14 82:9	identities 135:11,14	144:12 147:14	121:22
218:8 232:19	identity 127:12	151:6 220:18	inactivity 10:24
233:11 238:10	225:15	226:25 260:2	inadequate 122:13
241:20 244:9	ieda 249:19	276:16 278:9	124:3
249:12 269:2,11	iep 136:4 151:15,19	282:24 283:4 284:5	inadequately 177:4
292:2,9	151:23 251:13,14	302:7 308:21	inadvertently 82:13
human 6:2 96:10	iep's 120:21 152:20	309:11 310:3	inappropriate
203:13 224:19	250:12,13	importantly 130:4	107:23
225:9	ignore 128:7 131:19	impose 23:9 71:20	inaudible 38:3
hundred 42:5,6,7,18	ignored 174:5	192:11	79:19 91:19 125:8
42:19,23,25 55:10	ignores 179:5	impossible 87:19	136:16 161:10
55:11 87:8 93:11	ii 156:15	improve 41:18	242:24 277:15
298:5,9	illegal 266:19	47:18 49:21 50:2,11	293:9
hundreds 199:20	illiteracy 256:14	50:22 54:3 59:16	incapable 155:14
hurt 159:13	image 125:13	60:23 64:10 65:2,10	incensed 193:13
husband 39:8 79:18	imaginable 37:19	70:17 116:12	incentive 257:2
hyde 81:8	imagination 206:23	130:16 131:2,10	incentives 164:2
hypocritical 272:13	imagine 238:16	173:3 225:19 238:9	237:7
hypothetical 12:3	immediately 241:7	253:6,7 261:15	inception 177:12
i	immigrant 16:14	275:19 276:2	incident 127:22
iceberg 231:16	17:14 57:20	311:15	incidents 30:17
idea 32:14 43:21	immigration 9:10	improved 47:24	219:5
56:4 74:12 134:5	impact 73:25 237:19	49:9,15 53:9 56:18	include 37:4 96:17
186:10 210:4 221:4	238:21 265:10	56:22 57:4 59:12	112:23 152:22
	288:5,14	60:4 63:18 64:22	155:18,18
259:18 272:14	impenetrable	77:7 117:4	included 89:12 94:3
273:4 283:6 304:11	277:24	improvement 35:22	110:20 111:14
312:15	implement 158:10	47:20,21 48:9,12	113:21 116:25
	220:2 259:4 304:5	51:6 57:2 66:2	117:6 136:22
	<u> </u>		1

[includes - interested] Page 344

!ll 0C-14	! 1! 4! 201.10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	142.10.140.4
includes 96:14	indications 281:19	infrastructures	143:10 149:4
including 8:22 57:3	indicator 58:11	193:24	171:19 172:4
102:22 137:11	indicators 45:16	ingredients 46:19	174:16 252:2,7,15
158:15 270:24	47:19,23 49:2	166:22 192:13	261:13 274:21
inclusion 14:10	179:18 211:13	inherently 196:23	313:17
107:19 111:4	255:15	initial 53:4	instructional 20:18
113:10 114:22	individual 23:10	initiative 305:24	45:24 65:6 97:15
115:4 117:3 118:15	48:4 69:16 181:22	initiatives 67:16	instructor 146:5
119:10,17 121:19	181:25 190:4,5,5	284:12	instrumental 108:19
125:5 135:25 151:6	191:8,8,17,17,17	injury 123:17 220:3	intake 96:5 207:6
151:14 153:10	285:8	220:7	intakes 220:8
154:3,22 155:3	individually 184:8,9	inner 37:2 41:6	integrated 15:24
inclusive 44:12	190:2	71:24	55:23 56:8,12 57:4
112:14 150:12	individuals 32:12	innovation 52:2	integrating 187:20
inclusiveness	191:7 303:11	166:4 204:4 242:14	integration 4:18
281:18	305:13 308:13	innovative 41:20	15:16 175:7
income 42:6 54:15	industry 144:21	input 25:7,12,12	intellectual 37:9
54:16,23 56:9,10,14	inequalities 140:23	287:18 288:12	46:13 87:25 90:9,15
126:25 127:8	inequitable 176:9	inquirer 311:14	90:24 92:3 177:24
187:14	196:23	inquiry 38:8 184:18	intellectually 133:15
incomplete 182:18	inequities 11:2	inside 13:14,17	134:6
inconvenient 3:8	inferior 30:12	61:21 142:6 173:10	intelligence 133:23
112:21	133:15 134:6	178:10 242:9 284:6	133:24,25,25
incorporate 308:13	137:25,25 138:2	299:16	intend 148:25 149:2
increase 18:3 92:15	inflicting 220:3,6	insist 125:21	220:21
105:15 115:4	influence 54:6	inspire 294:25	intended 182:7
131:10,17 138:14	145:21 218:12	instance 250:22	230:20
238:11,22	285:11 287:2	instances 224:9	intent 132:3 144:4
increased 18:14	influenced 287:15	instantly 98:7	220:19 221:18
116:6 117:11	influencing 284:3	instill 98:9	225:2
increases 84:15	influx 292:10	institute 31:18	interactive 291:23
increasing 28:15	inform 294:24	40:11 41:14 158:3,4	interacts 182:14
117:25	314:18,25	158:16 159:19,21	interconnected 55:6
increasingly 16:16	information 40:25	160:18 171:12	interdisciplinary
179:5	79:11 94:3 129:12	261:22 262:13	100:4
incredible 222:17	129:15 263:7 285:3	313:3	interest 1:6 2:4
253:10,13 268:24	285:4,24 291:12	institution 134:17	156:23 215:17
284:16 286:18	294:20	institutional 278:13	254:23 272:3
incredibly 104:3	informed 276:15	287:11	301:10
221:11 309:11	295:12	institutions 134:15	interested 100:19
independent 76:3	informing 285:17	134:22 135:7	108:24 109:2
index 48:3 281:5	infrastructure	276:11 279:16	119:15 157:7
indicated 133:3	169:14 170:2,7	280:3 281:7,10	285:23 286:3
indicates 99:17	173:24 174:2	instruction 17:3,9	302:20 308:9
muicaus 99.11	181:20,24 182:12	34:9 44:10 136:5,25	302.20 300.7
	101.20,24 102.12	34.9 44.10 130.3,23	

[interesting - judge] Page 345

interesting 64:7	invested 192:23	issued 6:6,7	jersey 5:12,20,21
74:5 75:6,16 77:13	investigate 228:20	issues 2:20 16:4,8	6:14 278:3
83:9 140:10 183:8	investing 177:3,5	25:9,10 90:24	jimenez 1:13
	investing 177.3,3	125:19 130:5	joan 172:14
211:12 235:6			job 30:25 38:6 86:23
249:18 307:2	191:24	136:17 166:12	•
interests 26:25	investments 192:16	176:8 207:11	89:23 96:3 97:20
149:9	invitation 89:17	208:18 209:7 218:6	98:8 115:20 138:2
intergroup 225:18	invite 224:25 225:3	226:20 247:10	141:12 142:2,3
intern 111:19	295:13 306:9	253:12 256:15	144:14,16 146:10
internal 43:8	invited 193:18	257:24,24 259:14	155:6 173:3,4
international	inviting 89:15	271:24 284:22	185:19,23 243:21
261:21 262:13	involve 5:17 18:23	289:17 292:12,16	243:25 276:8 296:4
internationally	involved 77:13	293:9,11 295:12	302:14
170:4	153:20 192:25	302:21 307:22	jobs 40:13 146:16
internship 111:18	224:14 225:4,21	312:11 313:20	297:4
interpersonal 26:10	226:18 234:2,14	316:7	joe 3:12
312:12	241:22 277:18	item 154:4	john 40:8 128:17
interpretation	279:7 306:16	items 78:13	265:23
177:18	316:11	j	johns 210:7
interpreted 252:10	involvement 28:3	jail 215:19 233:17	johnson 43:14
interruption 217:23	49:18 52:24 158:23	james 97:6 119:2	107:14 109:10,15
intersections 157:22	298:2	japan 185:11	121:18 130:25
intervene 94:9	involving 121:9	jargon 296:15	137:7 141:20
intervened 5:24	ipods 298:12	jeff's 190:25	143:25 151:5
intervention 71:17	ironically 4:18 8:9	jeffrey 190:18,22	join 35:3 88:3 306:9
210:23 242:15	156:12	jennifer 275:11	306:23
251:21	irregardless 135:5,5	279:19 292:6	joined 6:5 287:6
interventions 212:2	island 158:17,21	jenny 2:13 12:4	joining 114:6 158:4
258:23 261:2,7	198:17 201:7	14:16 16:13 17:19	jokes 83:12
interviewed 57:25	isolated 301:3	19:4,10 24:4 30:4	jones 297:23
introduce 106:23,25	isolation 227:23	30:21 31:2 32:8	jones's 303:17
107:15 109:13	300:15	33:19 34:6 201:22	joseph 2:2 35:6
157:25 201:23	issue 6:14 8:11		60:17 63:2,10
introduced 172:4	16:24 26:16 28:22	202:16,19 224:11	104:15 106:3,11
introduces 68:8	31:15 45:14 99:2	274:9 316:14,17	156:7 157:12
introducing 46:9	119:10,12,13,14,18	jenny's 14:16 24:8	200:21,24 201:15
157:14	122:3,9 126:2 137:4	24:14 25:14 26:23	201:19 202:7
introduction 46:7	144:19 154:5	28:21 29:20 32:3	273:25 274:8
226:18	158:23 175:20	33:17 294:11	301:24 316:14
introductions 34:25	208:4 224:15	295:25	journal 8:8
intuitive 53:15	232:19 233:15	jensen 90:7	journals 40:19
intuitively 53:21	242:22 244:11	jeremy 38:25 39:14	266:10
invention 38:8	253:22 255:22	150:2	judge 6:6,6 10:7
invest 40:21 193:10	294:15 309:16	jerry 8:9	269:14,18
193:20,21 215:22	316:7		
173.20,21 213.22	310.7		

[judgment - know] Page 346

. 1 04.2	101 6 104 12 105 2	142 0 22 140 7 10	52.05.55.01.62.10
judgment 94:2	121:6 124:13 125:2	143:9,23 148:7,18	53:25 55:21 63:18
judgments 96:8	130:11 132:16	153:7 160:2 168:7,9	65:15 70:22 73:8
july 315:25	135:20,23 136:11	179:21 180:13	75:25 81:19 83:14
jump 82:9 130:14	138:20,23 139:2	194:25 195:11	92:9 95:10 99:20
204:25 258:15	141:2 142:22 143:5	196:18,22 203:7	100:18,24 105:13
june 292:21	149:23 150:25	204:23 205:14,17	111:15 112:13
junior 179:14	153:15 156:3	206:6,12 208:22	116:18,24 117:2,14
junky 3:10	249:20	209:4,5,11,13,18	119:15 120:17
juries 4:6	kerry 136:15	210:3,19 211:2,9,13	128:7,14 129:25
jurisdiction 22:6	key 277:10	211:22 214:18	130:2,6 142:17
80:25	kick 37:22	217:13 220:8,16	149:4,12 161:12
justice 4:2,4 5:6	kicked 239:22	230:5,7 231:17,18	182:25 196:21
7:18 234:3,13,15	265:22	231:19 232:4,19	198:9 211:22
239:2 269:24	kid 86:12 87:14	233:8,8,16,16,20,23	238:17 240:17
justices 261:18	125:13,15 127:5,9	233:24,25 234:3,3,4	245:19 248:3 267:2
justicial 6:15 10:4	133:7,8,18,19	234:21,21,23 236:2	267:12 271:14
justify 113:10	134:19 135:11	236:13 237:3,4,5,8	283:14 291:11
150:10	149:5 153:5 238:18	237:9,11 238:17	294:13 299:8
juvenile 234:3,13,15	249:21 250:8,23,24	239:5,13 241:14	300:11 312:21
269:24	251:2,20,21,22	242:17,22 244:11	314:9
k	252:7 272:6 273:4	244:16 245:16,17	kindergarten 256:4
	273:20,20	245:21 246:8 248:5	278:6
k 232:5 255:22	kid's 251:19,19	248:6,6,6,8 249:9	kinds 27:7 28:11,18
256:3	kids 14:4,5,5 16:19	249:13,15 250:5,9	31:4 33:2 40:24
kagan 4:2 katrina 74:25	16:25 17:9 18:7	250:11,13 251:4,10	47:22 60:25 81:12
	24:8,14 25:25 30:16	251:11,23 253:7	92:12 94:8 102:8
kay 203:12,17 253:4	38:8 45:17 67:3	256:2,25 257:5,13	130:20 201:11
kay's 224:8	70:9,12 80:3,18	257:23,25 258:13	206:6 280:24
keep 29:18 46:9	87:8,22,25 88:11,21	260:8,17,23,25	291:12 304:20
83:19 87:12 93:8	88:23 89:10,20 90:8	261:5,6,13 263:2,11	312:11 314:17
97:10 151:24,25	90:13,14 96:15	264:8,12 266:5,19	king's 6:10
152:18 193:17,19	97:21 98:9 99:3,24	267:9 268:20,25	kiwi 49:10,11
232:6,7,7 242:17,18	99:25 100:9,11,18	271:2,25 272:3,7,13	knew 37:14 43:5
257:13 259:24	101:7,14 103:9	273:5,8,9,15,17,18	58:21 78:21,25
keeping 123:7	105:14 109:25	276:17 280:5,9,11	87:15 139:13 215:6
189:14	123:2 125:6,16,23	309:8 312:8	216:19,21 289:25
keeps 37:4 76:7	125:24 126:8	kids's 228:13 244:3	knives 219:24
201:20 259:23	127:15,18,21,25	killer 119:5	know 2:15,19 3:6,8
ken 38:6	128:3,4,7,12,15	kind 4:19 11:20	3:12 6:24 7:16
kensington 216:6	129:13,18 130:7	12:6 13:9 16:23	11:19,22 13:11
220:25 222:19	133:5,7,10,12 134:6	17:4,5 19:3 20:13	14:23 17:6,7,14
kept 85:18 153:7	134:10,21,21,22	23:20,25 26:24,25	19:13,17 20:2 21:10
kerr 33:12 107:2,4	135:9,10,16,17	27:3,11,11,14 33:8	21:15,21 23:4,25
108:15 109:9 110:4	136:22,25 139:13	42:13 46:15,19,22	24:9,15 27:10 29:16
115:24 116:8 121:4	139:22 141:12	49:10,11 51:6 53:15	29:17 31:14 32:19
		, - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , -	1

[know - layperson] Page 347

44:17 53:14,16,20	255:16 256:9,12,18	laddin 129:4	laughter 38:24
53:24 65:3,15,24	263:2,10,24 264:16	ladies 182:3 185:4	61:22 62:19 66:15
66:13 72:2,5 73:13	266:8,18,21 267:18	191:18 192:10,17	72:23 73:7,18,22
74:23 75:12 76:5,15	267:19,20 269:13	199:24 201:3	78:2,17 79:6,16
77:4,9,15 78:10,15	270:5,25 271:23	lady 111:11,14	80:12 85:16 159:5
78:24 80:16,21,23	273:2,4,14 275:13	113:2 114:18	174:12 179:19
81:2,5 82:2,23	279:14 280:8	117:22 306:12	182:8 187:17
85:18 88:14,17 89:4	283:13 284:13	309:6	197:22 204:7
92:5,22,25 93:20	287:17 289:20,22	lag 110:22 240:22	246:12 254:20
97:4 98:18 100:23	290:6,17 293:20	laid 276:6	300:21 307:14
101:12,13 102:14	294:11,17 295:7,9	lancaster 18:6	311:10
106:18 110:10,17	296:2,12,14,15,24	landscape 12:12	launch 282:15
110:21 112:21	297:11,13,15	245:13	laura 1:13 8:7
113:20 114:13	298:11,17 299:21	language 16:12 17:5	lauren 133:24
115:16,16 123:8	300:8 302:23 303:4	27:16 284:9 286:23	law 1:3,6 2:4 4:4,21
125:4,16 128:22	303:22 304:2,9	296:15,19	7:11 8:8 11:18
134:19 136:3,7,25	307:23 308:4,4	languages 20:8	14:11 16:19,22
137:13 139:7,23,23	310:22,23 313:14	189:13	17:17,21 18:17
140:13 141:11,14	314:13	lapp 203:21 217:14	19:14 22:9,23 25:6
143:8,9,9,18 144:17	knowing 173:2	217:24 219:18	27:10 28:6 29:13
145:9 146:14	196:17	235:5 245:24	31:5,10 34:2,3
147:17,20,22	knowledge 20:20	246:13,17 251:8	61:20 74:12 80:8
148:21,21 149:2,3	41:16,17,18 143:22	252:21 258:10	102:19 127:3,3
149:22 150:12	143:23 243:18	268:8 272:21	156:23 157:20
152:21,23 153:3,4,6	known 2:5 10:22	large 17:7 48:18	203:22,23 219:22
153:12 154:13	82:13 93:17 111:15	66:7 133:14 140:20	220:18 221:23,25
158:6 159:6 162:7	222:12 242:14	178:15 187:22	229:20 235:21
165:5 167:7,10	305:21	285:16 298:5	248:8,17 249:21
169:20 170:13	knows 31:2 82:10	largely 79:21 161:9	252:3,9,10 258:6
174:14,24 179:8	82:10 98:19,20,21	larger 3:3 48:10	301:10,10,25
180:8,9 184:10	106:21 169:21	50:4 99:2 147:25	307:11,16 309:18
190:23 193:3,17	222:21 306:2	181:24	311:8
194:25 195:6,9,12	kyungsun 203:12	lastly 45:23 132:13	lawmakers 29:21
195:17 196:17	224:11 253:9	late 86:21 213:21,21	laws 28:12,13
199:24 200:18	1	213:23 214:4	225:10
210:2 211:2,22,23	_	lateness 238:12	lawsuit 23:22
214:9 218:6,15	label 178:23 195:18	latest 38:7 178:23	lawyer 103:12 127:7
219:13 221:5 222:7	labeled 63:8 149:13	184:23	162:10
222:8,10 223:12,25	259:24 271:18,19	latin 68:17	lawyers 2:7 4:5
226:3 227:6,12	labs 128:22 140:15	latino 55:18,19	13:21 29:6 32:15
236:16 237:12,19	lack 29:6 118:22	125:23 143:13	33:7 35:12 157:2
238:8 242:25 243:9	119:4 120:8 137:20 154:24 155:2 176:3	233:3,10	264:23 266:23
244:5,25 245:3		latinos 166:8	layers 159:20 161:2
246:3 249:18	176:19 194:2 215:17 313:22	laugher 73:10	layperson 280:17
250:18 253:25	213.17 313.22		

layperson's 277:23	43:24 45:3,11 48:2	legal 8:20 9:4 12:6	levy 277:9
lazy 86:22	48:3 89:16 95:18	12:12 15:3 18:25	liberals 193:5
lead 106:25 141:21			
	100:25 101:14,20	21:7 27:16,21	library 128:19,21
142:10 163:12	101:23,23 109:17	235:23 247:5,22	140:4
172:21 300:16	109:20 110:2	251:16 252:16	lies 22:4 237:14
306:18	116:13 121:24	266:6 267:2	life 87:24 89:16 98:7
leader 161:20,23	122:21 123:14,20	legalese 27:16	120:2 167:16
181:8,9 182:15	124:12 125:17	legally 244:6 247:19	172:20 215:21
188:10 199:5,6	132:8 146:2 147:16	legislation 32:7	228:20 298:8
leaders 40:23	164:12 170:5	92:25	lifelong 266:8
127:11 160:10,10	172:10,16 173:3,6	legislator 306:4	liked 83:5,8 85:13
161:19 167:13,21	174:16,21 175:3	legislators 11:3	128:14 217:4,4
174:25 187:10	183:22 184:18	29:21	298:18
188:12 194:5	185:2,17 190:7,8	legislature 10:8	limit 25:20 87:22
289:19 290:25	196:2 209:19	legs 214:2	limitations 314:23
leadership 27:20,23	240:23 254:2 286:8	len 8:13,13,15,17	limited 162:21
38:8 44:7,12 49:18	286:11 303:18	11:11 16:12 22:12	189:3 259:15
49:19,22 71:7,7,12	304:8	length 167:15 197:5	288:17
95:17 96:20 118:22	leave 46:23 89:21,22	lens 180:21	limiting 20:15,21
119:4,7 144:5	97:3 156:17 184:15	lesson 169:4 294:7	limits 25:22
146:22 147:14,15	203:8 204:23	lessons 93:5	limousines 111:23
154:24 155:2 160:8	205:14,17 207:7	letter 223:6	linda 8:6 184:23
171:3,3,4 172:3,12	213:5 214:23	letters 281:2	314:2,15
174:10 181:13	215:11,13 217:13	level 52:6 64:10	line 42:16,17,19
188:8 192:5,5,6	230:8 291:9 299:22	72:12 78:4 94:7	52:7 56:6 63:18,22
leading 228:21	leaves 29:7 181:19	102:15 122:18,20	118:11 150:22
leads 35:21 54:7	leaving 156:9 207:7	127:14 141:24	154:3 181:15
leaflets 65:13	230:25 232:25	152:19 161:16	215:14 226:4
leap 84:9	235:3	163:14 168:15	236:23 271:8
learn 24:11 25:2	lebanon 18:6	170:25 175:11,21	lined 111:18
27:25 33:11 45:18	lebron 119:2	176:4 186:5,6,8,11	lines 157:2 271:6
46:2 71:10 77:16	led 57:12 286:14	186:15 189:10,16	lining 31:17 215:13
93:5,7 123:21	ledger 19:19 29:2,4	190:7,9 193:25	linked 58:2
145:23 149:17	lef 279:9	201:12 212:3	list 19:14 37:4 58:10
151:7,9 170:24	left 37:5 46:20 47:13	219:20 241:6,16,16	96:9 97:4 120:11
171:4 208:4 209:20	47:16 56:23,24	241:17 244:15	208:19 258:9
240:24 256:15	63:25 66:16 67:17	245:4 248:7 250:21	281:21
262:4 271:17 273:5	68:2,7 72:21 73:3	256:4 278:14	listed 97:7 156:15
280:14,21 303:4	76:17 79:5 81:3	296:11 308:2	listen 81:20 200:3
learned 38:16 66:9	93:9,10 99:23	levels 152:8,10	252:24 260:17
70:24 162:9 178:24	136:12 139:8	leverage 69:5	283:2
294:7 311:7	177:13 190:23	163:19	listening 253:10
learning 16:20 26:3	212:14 216:25	leveraged 192:10	listens 24:18
26:11,12 27:9,13,19	264:3 309:2,15	levers 163:3,5,6	literacy 241:20
30:14,15 42:25	,	165:18,19,20 168:4	244:10,23,25
,		, ,	, , .

[literacy - luncheon] Page 349

255:22 257:18,24	279:9 284:15 313:5	260:15 275:12	183:15 208:14,23
literature 26:8	313:10,19 315:4,6,9	309:24 312:18	209:4,9 216:15,18
57:13	localized 290:20	looked 22:13 47:23	217:19 218:6,12
litigating 14:10	locally 165:14,18	50:17 51:2 110:12	217:17 218:0,12
litigation 224:17	189:20,21 290:7	139:16 233:18	235:17 236:2
little 4:21 8:24 10:14	314:10,19,25	235:23 272:24	240:22 242:3,25
11:24 12:3,6 19:9	located 42:4 96:4	looking 8:20 18:5	243:15 246:20
24:6,12 26:23 31:9	142:14	44:6 49:19 50:16	247:13 253:2,17,20
40:16 42:11 48:14	location 305:14	59:3 67:10 102:9	258:15,16 262:25
55:14 56:13 65:8	lock 243:14	104:4 114:11	263:18,19 265:2,3
74:3,14 77:6 81:4,8	logistics 295:2	117:21 123:23	266:14,14,24
84:5 85:11 88:10	logs 78:21	134:8 146:9 206:9	267:25 269:13
90:25 91:15,21 99:8	london 169:8	225:6 254:7,12	277:15 287:11,15
116:20 117:11	long 41:21 43:6	260:3 285:21	287:18,18,20 288:6
121:11 139:24	50:14 72:7 73:24	looks 61:17,21	288:16 293:5 295:5
151:12 193:5 202:9	86:7 108:2,12	103:16,17 116:5	296:7,8,23,23
202:19 205:10,11	112:25 118:3 121:3	144:9 171:15	297:10,21,25
205:20 219:14	176:13 178:14	172:18 174:3	299:18 301:2,13,14
221:15 235:24	185:3 194:18	182:13 311:22	303:21,25 306:23
239:9,18,23 249:25	196:12,14 202:2	lose 139:18 151:16	307:22 308:8
254:24 264:16	209:5 223:2,2,17	197:20 198:2	lots 170:4 177:21
265:8 266:21	226:17 240:20	248:22	184:14 222:9
268:14 274:21	271:6 295:8	losen 237:17,17	241:19
282:14,22 283:4	longer 24:17 151:22	272:24	love 7:22 244:18
287:16 293:12,17	151:24,25 181:21	loses 195:20	258:14 294:14,18
304:23	196:9 214:4	losing 145:7 227:20	low 42:6 52:11
live 89:8 105:8	longitudinal 36:5	lost 98:8 100:21	59:10 60:3 63:20,20
114:18 131:4	look 29:16 37:7	101:11 107:12	71:18 95:11 119:22
135:13 191:19	43:23 46:25 49:6	110:25 111:7,9	164:10 165:23
194:9 262:10	51:23 54:4 56:16	112:24 197:25	237:10 248:7 261:6
lived 19:24 39:4	57:13 61:25 65:8,12	274:5,5,6	262:22 282:5
72:6	65:13 67:12 75:16	lot 12:18 13:22 14:3	lower 14:25
lives 12:18 191:20	93:25 102:8 103:14	14:13 15:5,20 16:17	lowest 54:16,22
244:3 265:11 303:9	114:5 117:9,24	23:21 26:8,9,13	68:18 147:9 155:24
living 12:7 36:19	120:17 123:11	28:7,8,9,25 29:9	256:3
56:6 58:22 278:22	124:9 126:5,5	31:19 40:18 42:14	lre 116:5,6,23 136:6
lo 80:9 221:3	128:16 131:12,18	44:19 45:9 65:12,14	luck 77:5 202:19
local 44:18,20 84:4	131:22 132:2,10,11	71:13 74:9 80:21	lucky 300:6
148:12 158:15	148:15,23 166:16	87:15,16,17,17	lucrative 215:23
162:19 164:5,13	168:18,19 169:5	90:11 93:2 94:2	lunch 80:8,10,11
165:12 171:21	171:11 174:19	97:22 105:16	156:8 157:6,9
187:7 189:10,15	176:22 182:21	114:15 123:21,24	214:23 215:14
193:25 195:23	184:12 185:25	131:19 136:10,20	260:18 278:12
201:12 212:12	192:20 207:21	144:15,16 145:21	luncheon 157:10
277:4,17 278:3	208:25 257:15	167:9 179:8,9	

[luppescu - median] Page 350

luppescu 40:4	174:15 204:10	materials 204:18	307:24 310:11
lynne 89:14	management 68:13	226:4 235:19 262:8	meaning 241:5
lytle 37:11 38:2	164:17 170:21	277:14 279:24	263:9
65:20,24 66:16	manager 44:14	281:21	means 38:15 95:4
95:14 104:19	144:22	math 19:16 20:3	135:6 161:15
93.14 104.19		42:7 46:5 49:2	169:21 210:14
m	managing 70:18		229:2 240:8 243:13
macalester 158:13	mandates 28:14	50:23 100:5 127:19	
190:22	30:11 219:21	210:18 211:6,18	247:18 251:20
machine 193:22	mandatorily 221:23	241:17 285:25	270:8 278:15
246:14	mandatory 17:24	286:4	312:25
magnet 47:13	18:25	mathematics 49:25	meant 4:14 32:21
128:15 184:10	maneuvers 103:2	68:20 161:8 172:5	73:16 97:19 155:5
mail 75:15 296:5	manifestation	189:5,11	213:22 267:14,15
mainstream 209:21	249:23	matter 53:17 70:20	272:21 277:25
maintain 9:19 96:20	manipulate 14:24	79:19 83:12 84:11	280:6 291:7 311:19
major 151:21	202:8	90:18 139:20,21	measurable 33:16
207:13 275:20	manner 10:21	144:2,3 167:17,18	167:20
majority 86:9 92:21	map 54:12,13	181:10 193:8	measure 10:19
114:23 120:22	253:19	243:17	51:25 58:14,18
132:7 232:20	maps 162:12	matters 27:2 95:22	100:24 102:19
233:20,24 248:5,6	margaret 133:9	139:4 244:13	197:5 211:5
261:25 302:17	mark 210:16	288:24	measured 65:5
303:12	marked 248:15,16	maximize 32:20	177:7
making 11:4 21:8	market 1:23 67:8	maximum 74:13,25	measurement 40:5
23:12,19,23 25:4	68:8,10 105:2	85:5	measurements 65:4
36:20 68:4 77:24	marketing 206:19	mayor 2:24 3:17	measures 25:12
81:24 96:2,14,22	markets 104:21	76:10 84:15 167:24	53:11 58:6,8 62:4
123:20 143:21	maroon 49:16 59:13	181:12 187:6,7	66:19 102:9 218:19
237:10 254:9	marshall 4:4 5:7	188:11 277:4 279:6	measuring 102:20
269:23 286:7	martin 107:13,18	mayor's 188:4	117:3
306:16	108:2,9 111:2,4	mayoral 74:19	mechanism 170:25
male 127:2 131:16	115:25 116:8,10	mba 39:12	186:16,22,25
	121:6,7 135:20	mclaughlin 172:14	189:17 196:25
males 109:19 122:4	138:20 141:10,15	mean 18:6 20:12	199:5
122:10,18 124:6	142:22	32:20 64:22 71:13	mechanisms 193:24
131:13,23,25 233:4	marvelous 35:12	75:10 87:25 94:6	196:13,15 197:3
233:10,11 237:23	mass 136:9 292:10	132:22 139:4	201:12 227:24
malleability 133:25	293:13	141:18 149:10	255:17
malpractice 266:11	massachusetts 3:3	153:3 154:12 155:8	media 161:25
266:12	148:25	160:25 189:5,23	191:25 194:8
man 76:14 302:5	mastery 204:4	199:12,14 207:12	281:16 292:10
manage 157:21	match 229:16	250:3,7 260:4	293:13 314:3,5,7
manageable 10:6	material 45:18 46:3	261:12 271:4	median 54:14 56:9
managed 103:3	46:9 179:13 263:19	276:10,12 278:6	56:10,14
171:19 172:4	263:24	296:2 304:19,20	
	203.21	270.2 30 1.17,20	

[mediation - money] Page 351

modiation 227.16	mention 23:18	mionogaonag 24.17	migronyogonting
mediation 227:16 medical 65:12	89:13 124:14	microscopes 24:17 mid 1:23 187:6,11	misrepresenting 162:14
		187:24	
266:10	217:22 261:18	middle 36:5 37:20	missed 311:13
medication 65:9,9	mentioned 14:8		missing 166:22
mediocre 183:15	20:10 24:22 33:18	39:7 64:3 109:5	210:14 225:16
meet 8:2 26:17 41:3	58:9 115:11 224:20	149:5 242:16	231:6,7 267:12
160:23 240:25	230:16,22 236:5	miles 140:6	289:3,5 295:25
285:22 293:4	238:25 239:3	million 104:10,11	mission 36:25
294:24 297:2	244:10 249:11	123:25 138:7 140:7	mister 171:17
meeting 1:11 42:16	280:2 284:17 291:4	187:19 188:7,10	mistreated 145:12
136:4 143:11	302:3,6,22 309:6	200:2,5,16	mix 149:19 180:7
229:13 265:20	mentor 302:11	millions 6:8 199:20	mixed 187:14
274:3 311:2	mentoring 283:10	200:12	264:15
meetings 107:6	merck 158:15	mills 103:13	mixture 55:17,18
278:20 295:3	160:20 188:18	mind 83:19 164:11	mobile 198:18
296:14,24 306:25	merge 153:8	167:21,23 200:7	278:19 279:3,10
307:2 310:8	merion 14:25	300:12	mobility 52:7
meier 36:13 72:19	merit 68:6 69:25	minds 4:7	model 67:14 172:23
72:24 73:8,11,19,23	163:20 164:3	mine 82:14 127:24	172:25 173:23
74:20,22 78:3,18	mess 244:2 265:8	minimum 30:7	177:4 188:2 199:17
79:7,17 80:13 81:9	message 89:20	minnesota 158:13	269:7,13
85:17 91:16,22	293:18 299:5	minority 55:17	models 68:8 71:18
92:14 97:2 98:24	314:19	minute 31:8 55:13	110:11 120:14
99:14 100:11	messages 269:10	60:15 61:5 62:20	165:22 174:6,10
102:18 104:7 189:2	315:11	106:5 149:24 219:9	moderate 55:15
192:24 270:13	met 93:17 127:23	219:9 249:20 274:3	modicum 135:15
271:22 272:18	160:4 162:10	minutes 11:19 60:14	modifications
299:3 300:22	metal 213:17 214:19	60:15 121:2 136:12	182:22
member 106:24	218:17 220:25,25	149:25 182:10	modified 132:15
109:10 134:18	222:11	189:24 194:15,15	mom 265:24
212:9,12 276:20	metaphor 46:15	202:12 213:22	moment 19:2 26:14
280:18 305:11	51:14	264:20 274:5,14	31:10 63:14 84:14
members 157:13	methods 172:7	275:13 305:5	88:10 98:14 104:3
226:13 265:2	262:4	miraculous 80:4	231:10 272:14
278:21 308:9,25	miami 237:18	mirrors 142:12	293:7
314:2,5	michael 2:12 8:9,12	misbehavior 260:5	moms 208:16
memorable 5:8	8:15 9:23 11:17	miscommunication	233:18 234:4
memories 8:5	12:2 14:8 20:10	212:21	monday 316:2
memory 87:7	53:2 202:17 287:23	miseducation 22:3	money 6:5 27:22
memphis 162:10	291:20 309:25	misguided 182:18	123:7 137:10,16
mental 109:18 110:3	311:5	misleading 116:14	138:3,5,10,14,15,17
117:20 118:3 122:2	michael's 23:18	116:15	138:24 139:3,4,20
122:5 123:15	michelle 77:12	mismash 22:6	140:14 142:17
125:18 149:15	microphone 99:13	misplaced 311:16	144:3 148:10
268:17	150:2		162:22 163:20
200.17	150.2		102.22 103.20

[money - needs] Page 352

165:25 175:25	moves 80:24	national 1:22 36:3	62:23 73:5 76:6
176:14 190:15	movie 192:3 289:24	42:16 48:16,19	87:3 96:6,7 105:15
		56:14 84:3 110:17	, and the second
192:3,21,22 194:19	moving 93:7,8 137:12 149:11		110:13,13 123:5
196:6 204:6 215:22		158:14,19 160:22	130:16 132:9
218:2,16 219:3,6	177:14 232:6,7,7,11	161:2,16 162:24	143:24 149:17,17
245:2 256:20	284:3,25 286:15	164:20 165:11,12	151:13 161:12
257:19 287:20	308:17	169:10,19,24 170:7	164:10 167:5,8
monies 154:2	msnbc 2:19 3:10	175:11,21 177:8	170:23 172:2 178:2
monitor 288:6	multibillionaire	184:16,19 186:2	182:5 183:22
314:23	199:25	189:16,18 190:2	186:25 189:11,12
monitoring 253:23	multipart 288:22	207:25 274:15	189:17 191:5
monopoly 119:16	multiple 109:4	306:6 313:25 315:4	194:25 195:17
month 84:17 127:23	118:7,10 129:2	315:6,9 316:4	201:2 206:21 208:6
221:21 285:22	204:10 205:24	nationally 109:11	208:25 209:12
291:6 304:10 306:5	206:5 235:9 239:11	158:21 185:20	215:11 224:8 227:4
months 71:9 103:15	240:10	186:4 204:20	228:22 229:6,23
185:15 187:16	multiracial 264:14	209:10 314:10,21	230:2 232:3 240:16
212:15 215:15	muscle 134:2	314:25	242:4 243:20 244:5
231:5	music 20:7	nationwide 142:7	248:3 252:2 253:19
moral 90:24	mustard 63:21	236:8	254:19 257:19
moribund 5:25	mutual 83:3	native 17:12	258:2 260:6,6,19
morning 3:12,15	mutually 175:14	natural 78:15	263:21,23 264:4,13
38:19,21 108:23	myron 269:5	151:10	264:13,15 273:14
	_ •	-	
113:14,16 143:6	n	nature 110:2 164:25	273:15 274:21
113:14,16 143:6 220:23 222:9 271:8		nature 110:2 164:25 271:4	273:15 274:21 276:8,15 278:12
'	naacp 4:10		
220:23 222:9 271:8	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23	271:4	276:8,15 278:12
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25 move 55:25 80:20	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16 narrowing 177:5,7	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13 192:12,16 275:5	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9 needs 21:5,18 22:10
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25 move 55:25 80:20 115:5 149:21	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16 narrowly 7:4 84:4	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13 192:12,16 275:5 297:10	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9 needs 21:5,18 22:10 33:5 44:22 54:9
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25 move 55:25 80:20 115:5 149:21 174:16 267:2 268:6	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16 narrowing 177:5,7 narrowly 7:4 84:4 nation 7:13 115:7,8	nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13 192:12,16 275:5 297:10 need 2:18 3:18 5:8	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9 needs 21:5,18 22:10 33:5 44:22 54:9 104:23 138:18
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25 move 55:25 80:20 115:5 149:21 174:16 267:2 268:6 283:22 300:25	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16 narrowing 177:5,7 narrowly 7:4 84:4 nation 7:13 115:7,8 143:4 177:2 293:2	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13 192:12,16 275:5 297:10 need 2:18 3:18 5:8 10:12,18 16:2,3	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9 needs 21:5,18 22:10 33:5 44:22 54:9 104:23 138:18 143:11 150:6,8
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25 move 55:25 80:20 115:5 149:21 174:16 267:2 268:6 283:22 300:25 moved 42:9 75:4	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16 narrowing 177:5,7 narrowly 7:4 84:4 nation 7:13 115:7,8 143:4 177:2 293:2 nation's 2:24 161:5	nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13 192:12,16 275:5 297:10 need 2:18 3:18 5:8 10:12,18 16:2,3 17:8 21:12,23 23:8	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9 needs 21:5,18 22:10 33:5 44:22 54:9 104:23 138:18 143:11 150:6,8 155:11,13,18 175:8 195:5 208:16 216:3
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25 move 55:25 80:20 115:5 149:21 174:16 267:2 268:6 283:22 300:25 moved 42:9 75:4 108:13 movement 7:6 23:3	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16 narrowing 177:5,7 narrowly 7:4 84:4 nation 7:13 115:7,8 143:4 177:2 293:2	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13 192:12,16 275:5 297:10 need 2:18 3:18 5:8 10:12,18 16:2,3 17:8 21:12,23 23:8 25:13 28:24 29:16 30:20 31:16 34:6	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9 needs 21:5,18 22:10 33:5 44:22 54:9 104:23 138:18 143:11 150:6,8 155:11,13,18 175:8 195:5 208:16 216:3 229:14,17 251:20
220:23 222:9 271:8 291:6 312:8 mothers 18:10,11 motivate 139:13 302:10 motivated 100:19 257:8 303:5,6,11 motivates 303:2 motivation 26:12 151:10 184:18 mounted 178:6 mouth 165:25 move 55:25 80:20 115:5 149:21 174:16 267:2 268:6 283:22 300:25 moved 42:9 75:4 108:13	naacp 4:10 naep 84:18,23 name 5:13 212:10 240:9 301:20 305:10 306:21 307:9 named 12:4 40:10 names 87:7,9,9,16 270:24 narrative 135:8 narrow 101:15 164:2 173:21 180:21 182:16 narrowing 177:5,7 narrowly 7:4 84:4 nation 7:13 115:7,8 143:4 177:2 293:2 nation's 2:24 161:5	271:4 nbc 2:19 293:2 neal 303:17 near 292:19 nearly 141:24 290:9 necessarily 12:17 139:4 171:22 275:8 necessary 7:20 8:22 9:12 33:16 94:14 134:11,23 135:4 140:18,18 172:20 182:17 187:13 192:12,16 275:5 297:10 need 2:18 3:18 5:8 10:12,18 16:2,3 17:8 21:12,23 23:8 25:13 28:24 29:16	276:8,15 278:12 281:9 285:14 286:25 289:2,6 290:10,14 291:10 291:17 299:17,25 300:2,14 301:18 304:13,21,25,25 305:2,3 306:19 311:2,22 312:13,15 needed 76:24 154:9 213:7 217:7 219:16 282:9 needs 21:5,18 22:10 33:5 44:22 54:9 104:23 138:18 143:11 150:6,8 155:11,13,18 175:8 195:5 208:16 216:3

[needs - obviously] Page 353

299:19 311:3,14	84:8,12,13 86:16	nondisabled 151:9	november 127:4
314:9	92:24 93:9,10 95:15	noneducation 22:4	265:17
negative 58:11	102:23 103:14	nonimmigrant	nowadays 263:11
neglect 59:23 60:9	104:12,13 124:8	17:14	number 15:6 34:3
63:21 234:3	137:11,11 146:21	noninclusion 116:19	57:15 76:13 85:7
neglected 47:11	161:9 162:11	noninclusive 114:15	131:10,18 137:18
58:25 184:5	165:15,16 166:4	nonrelated 138:19	137:19 138:12
neighbor 126:20	168:8 169:2,9	nonthreatening	156:9 204:25 205:2
neighborhood 12:11	170:20 174:13,14	283:14	205:4 207:10,15
14:17,21 47:17	174:22 175:15	nontraditional	208:11 212:13
95:21 116:17	178:14 181:8,9	240:15,16 243:24	226:7 236:9 247:2
187:12 209:7	183:11 191:15,16	norm 38:5,6	253:21 269:23
243:19 294:18	197:14,14 201:6,8	normal 183:14	277:18 282:19
295:7,9	223:3 240:10	normalize 108:24	291:25 300:3,4
neighborhoods	270:25 271:3 278:3	128:10 134:9	312:11
54:14 58:19,20	300:9 306:21,23	normally 49:10 57:7	numbers 15:3 16:16
89:24 174:4 177:25	313:4,6 314:23	309:14	17:7 93:15 204:21
178:8 191:9 290:23	315:16	norms 42:16	204:21,22 205:7
neither 267:24	newest 223:4	north 140:7 188:3	230:24 292:3
288:12	newman 101:24	305:18 307:5	numerous 108:22
neoconservative	news 82:15 117:15	northeast 142:13	213:9
170:14	293:8	230:19	nunchucks 219:24
neoliberal 170:14	newspaper 70:24	northwestern 36:7	0
nephew 150:17	268:14	note 19:4 68:15	o 298:25
nervous 270:4	newspapers 293:5	125:11 155:3	o'clock 24:5 112:22
net 185:11,12,16	newton 140:7	220:18 282:24	oakland 313:7
network 198:19	nice 71:12 157:23	notebook 70:24	obama 2:22 31:24
275:2,14,14	273:23 313:9	296:17 300:7	40:10 69:4,9,10
networks 95:5 178:7	nicely 214:11	notebooks 139:17	140:11 145:16
never 13:16 22:11	night 82:20 112:2,3	291:22	163:16 171:17
68:25 77:16 80:15	112:17 113:25	noted 128:13 133:9	192:19
90:17 103:10 118:9	221:8 235:10 242:2	notes 72:25	objective 95:7
132:4 137:16	242:8	nothing's 229:22	objectives 101:13
144:13 183:6 193:7	nine 237:12 295:7	notice 42:3 50:8	objects 213:8
193:14 212:25	297:2,4	57:2 59:14 64:17	obligated 244:6
214:6 232:22 256:7	ninth 80:18 211:11	90:3 262:21	obligation 243:15
265:23,24 308:12	211:12,14,14,15,17	noticed 81:18 82:5	obtain 47:10
311:8	232:18,21 243:4	88:14	obtained 47:8
nevertheless 93:14	244:17 245:2	noticing 234:6	188:23
new 5:12,20,21 6:14	nitpick 200:14	notion 75:7 89:17	obvious 46:4 179:3
13:9 23:2 36:15,23	nitpickers 200:18	126:7 133:22,23	281:15
40:21 46:2,7,9	nobody's 71:10	134:3,4 162:11	obviously 31:4 96:6
73:15 75:7,13,14		notions 27:15	_
	nodding 258:24		114.2.222.13
77:20,22 79:3 80:2 80:24 81:18 82:4	nods 125:2	104:25	114:2 222:13 258:10

occasionally 78:15	65:18 94:11 98:23	opened 206:17,18	order 3:21 6:6,7 7:7
88:25 153:23	99:5 105:18 112:4	221:3 297:17	10:18 11:21,25 17:8
occur 113:13 181:22	113:20 114:11	openings 34:4	17:24 18:2 21:12,20
occurred 111:13,25	118:7,18 120:15,23	openly 179:24	25:13 28:2 42:17
113:14 226:8	121:7 122:25	operate 30:7 140:15	45:14 50:9,10 51:20
227:13	123:12 136:15	operating 290:5	92:11 117:5,12
occurs 130:4	138:23 139:2 141:2	296:10	134:23
october 280:12	143:5 145:8 146:8	operationalize	ordinance 225:10
odd 270:16	149:23 151:21,23	186:3,5,6,7,13	ordinary 289:16,23
oddly 176:24	153:15 188:13	operationalized	290:3 297:18
odds 42:22	200:20 202:7 207:4	186:3	organic 123:16,18
offense 248:12	211:17 230:14	opinion 36:3 281:23	organization 4:23
offenses 237:24	244:2 252:21	opinions 305:15	44:3 68:19 164:17
248:8,10	254:23 255:10	opportune 306:8	173:13 236:21
offer 28:19 90:10,14	262:19 271:22	316:6	286:13
126:3 149:3,4	273:25 274:11	opportunities 12:21	organizational
307:12 311:8 312:3	295:17 301:17	28:5 34:3,16,17,21	287:12
312:15	312:23	45:3 120:2 183:23	organizations 43:18
offered 187:19	old 77:17 79:14 84:8	290:9 314:20	44:21 68:13 158:15
office 2:25 43:19	91:4 127:5 149:13	opportunity 14:6	159:24 162:25
88:12 171:4 301:22	149:21,22 154:10	20:24 30:13 74:25	170:22 178:9,10
305:17 306:3	154:11 174:20	92:16 124:21	181:14 183:24
313:24	215:14 228:15,16	128:25 130:13	191:23 198:19
officer 40:3 204:4	242:5 243:3,14	139:6 151:9 227:2	275:15 283:9
221:14 222:22	256:13 271:16	227:21 291:3	290:11 291:7 300:5
officers 162:5	306:13,22	292:11,15 293:11	300:9,11 306:17
218:17,17 223:12	older 133:11	294:8 302:12	313:6
223:18,25 268:15	olds 133:2,6	316:10	organize 96:12
268:22 269:16,22	omission 27:12	opposed 101:5	97:25 129:23
official 2:15 277:5	once 46:6 86:15	109:21 125:23	283:24 303:22
officially 17:21	134:14,14 183:3	189:15 243:23	315:17
223:13	227:12 232:4	opposite 63:23 75:5	organized 134:12,16
officials 276:25	234:14 271:18	opposition 311:21	134:20 170:8
286:24	285:22 287:19	optimistic 53:24	198:25 276:10
oh 118:13,14 149:14	one's 134:25 135:14	option 215:19	290:24 304:23
177:10 188:4 209:4	233:9	229:21 240:17	305:20
233:16 243:5,6	ones 148:3 183:15	241:24	organizers 287:9,9
246:16 267:14	183:16 207:13	options 12:11	organizing 65:25
302:17	246:22,25 254:10	166:11 199:22	67:19,21 159:22
okay 19:11 39:14	ongoing 45:2 184:25	207:8 230:17	191:24 197:3 287:8
42:12 43:3 45:21	290:14	231:22 235:11	310:22,23
49:16,24 51:10	oops 56:2	241:25 245:10,16	orientation 51:24,25
55:25 56:2 59:3	open 31:18 140:7	305:19	52:11 225:15
60:12,16,18 61:4	200:7 266:24	orchard 147:9	orientations 170:13
62:7,10 63:16 65:11	310:20		

[oriented - paths] Page 355

		100 0 1 0 1 7 0 7	210 11 212 0
oriented 190:2	pack 66:11	108:3,4 245:25	310:11 313:8
original 151:17	packet 115:21	273:16 281:12	314:18 315:14
originally 103:21	124:15 262:9	287:8 296:5 300:11	partial 291:16
orleans 162:11	page 63:2,5 66:12	305:20 306:25	participate 48:19
165:16 170:21	66:13 115:24 116:9	310:23	71:25
191:15 201:6	116:22 124:16	parenting 208:10	participation 58:9
ought 28:20 29:25	203:11	parents 33:7,8,19,20	59:11,14
30:2 312:3	pages 117:19	34:4 44:20,22 67:10	particular 41:7
outcome 141:22	paid 122:12 257:3	70:11 86:11 89:12	74:12 121:25
outcomes 189:3	pain 179:18	91:24 92:10 96:14	143:18,19 146:16
outreach 206:19,25	painful 104:10	97:19 109:3 114:4,8	149:18 233:4
290:18	pains 179:23	129:9,16,17 135:25	252:17 280:23
outside 36:7,10	paint 243:7	136:3,9 147:20	282:5 285:9 287:23
59:18 131:6 173:15	paired 26:5	153:5,7 185:14	313:13
173:17 178:11	panacea 230:17	208:15 240:21	particularly 26:2
214:3 263:10 284:6	panel 9:25 35:11	266:20 271:25	33:7 44:21 45:13
outsider 94:16	38:11 101:2 105:19	272:2 275:9 295:25	67:14 68:16 104:9
outsiders 29:25	106:25,25 107:11	296:9,10,12,20	109:18 122:3,9
outstanding 76:17	108:7 109:9 110:9	297:3,9 305:19,20	131:4 132:4,17
oven 51:15,16	115:13,14 130:13	306:3 308:3,25	141:8 174:3 184:3
115:15,18	134:7 143:8 201:23	309:10 310:5	208:15 219:12
ovens 51:11	253:4,11 264:19	316:11	234:12 235:22
overall 141:16 143:3	274:4,4 293:25	parents's 75:21 87:9	260:18 285:18
overbroad 247:24	302:6	park 36:23 81:8	287:4
overbrook 213:13	panelist 34:23	99:21	partly 65:3
231:21 242:23	273:23	part 13:11 21:6	partners 173:11,16
overcome 178:21	panelists 33:12 35:2	41:13 54:7 88:3	203:22 279:15
overcrowded	38:17 107:13 137:3	90:17 103:2,6	partnership 186:17
215:18	156:6 262:15	106:15 111:19	188:17
oversight 137:9,14	316:17,18	113:5 120:24	partnerships 173:22
253:23	panels 105:24	138:17 144:4	188:25
overwhelming	203:15	147:23 148:16	parts 54:17 230:10
145:14 302:16	panned 118:17	154:10 159:20	288:23
owe 254:6	paper 67:13 70:6	160:16 168:5	party 82:21
owned 279:11	71:7 265:25	178:16 187:5	pass 12:2 87:24 89:7
p	papers 2:22 19:22	192:23 195:24,25	211:18 232:9,10
p 298:25	139:22 140:2	196:2 211:12	241:15,24 244:11
p.m. 111:25 157:11	216:11	213:15 216:9	244:14
157:11	paradigm 99:20,22	220:18 222:3,23	passed 193:12 215:8
pa 1:12 261:23	100:20,22 101:4,5	225:7,12 227:10	passing 211:16
285:19	102:24	228:2,3 254:5	275:25
pace 240:19	paragraph 78:22	260:12 265:12	paterson 278:4
pacing 46:5	parceling 141:18	269:25 285:16,16	path 172:7,8,9
pacing 40.3	parent 44:16 49:18	294:12 302:14	paths 276:20 292:23
	52:23 66:25 87:10	303:9 305:24	

pathway 240:12	17:21 18:7,21 21:11	164:14,15 165:7,14	315:7
pathways 204:10	25:19 30:6,16 33:21	168:2 169:22 170:2	people's 71:24 242:3
205:24 206:5 230:9	34:10 37:13 39:4,5	170:12,20 174:19	265:10
235:9 239:11	107:20 108:4	174:20,22 179:15	percent 4:9 15:18,19
240:10 242:20	110:11 114:19	179:16 180:9,16	15:22 18:8,9 23:12
275:24	115:5,7 116:3 118:6	183:4 185:13	28:17 42:5,6,15
patience 187:15	118:10 119:11	186:19,20,20	47:15 55:10,11,21
pattern 29:25 49:23	122:3,16 136:8	189:10 190:17,21	55:23 56:7,8,19,20
49:25	137:8 141:11,16,20	191:19 193:12,13	56:22 59:11,15 60:4
patterson 269:5	141:23,25 142:9,15	195:4,16,22 196:8	60:5 64:21,22 65:11
paul 158:13 171:25	142:19 153:21	197:4,9,23,24 200:4	71:4 77:23,24 78:3
pause 202:4	235:13 236:7,9	201:11 206:2,15,20	78:5 100:9 116:11
pay 68:6 70:2 88:15	243:13 246:2,3,23	206:23,25 207:5,10	116:25 117:6,6,13
131:21 163:20	250:12 256:23	207:14,18,21,22	117:23,23 118:4,9
164:3 175:22,24	261:19 262:2,5	208:4,8,11,13,23	122:17,19,21 124:6
184:14 205:8	268:18	209:2 210:9 212:3	128:3,4 133:7
244:23 255:14,16	pennsylvania's 5:16	222:9 230:3,21,23	147:11 162:22
309:20 315:7	penny 35:18 38:12	231:4 232:2,3,17,20	205:6 207:14,18,22
paying 244:22	38:14 66:20 94:4	233:3 234:13,13	208:10,12 210:13
250:25	156:15 157:3	240:11,16 241:24	210:19,24 211:8,9
pays 184:3	penny's 128:13	242:2,3,21 243:16	233:6 234:15
payzant 76:20,25	pens 220:12	244:4 250:17 254:6	241:14 250:11,12
pbe 248:14	people 7:23 11:22	255:19 256:2,15	250:16 251:4 256:2
pbs 259:8 260:13	26:20 28:2 35:12	257:3,6,12,17,18	260:25 299:22,24
261:15	36:17 38:11 39:16	258:16,25 261:25	percentage 49:14
pbs's 260:22	43:15,18 44:11 45:2	262:2,2,3 264:3	56:5 58:24 63:17
pccy 259:12 284:17	51:20 57:19,21,25	265:3,5,12,15	116:16 129:12
296:16 297:7	58:3,12,16,16,19,20	266:14,25 269:14	218:8 261:6
peck 11:3	67:4 71:18,25 72:13	277:24 278:7	percentages 47:10
pedagogical 135:5	72:16 74:10 76:13	282:11,24 283:2	49:8 59:23 60:8
pedagogy 298:3	76:15 78:7 80:16,20	284:6,8 285:6,13,17	percentile 52:10,14
299:4,11 312:10	81:9 85:7 88:20	285:18 286:3,17,24	perception 267:11
peer 227:16	93:16 96:13,13 97:3	289:16,18,22,23	perfect 65:4 66:7
peers 151:9 152:3	98:18,22 100:5,6	290:2,3,15,18,21	210:16 253:24
peeves 250:9	101:11 106:16	291:2,7,13 292:12	perform 313:11
pen 220:4	107:5 119:21 126:4	292:15 294:16,20	performance 68:19
pencils 220:13	127:20 129:12,22	294:23 295:4,8	70:3,15 71:18 99:24
penn 39:6	132:18 133:14	296:2,21 298:7	102:22 103:5
pennhurst 112:9,10	136:19 137:24	299:14,15,16 300:3	164:11 165:23
112:15,16 114:13	138:9 141:18	300:14,23 301:12	173:20 188:8
114:16	143:18,19 144:15	301:13,14 304:4,22	210:11
pennsylvania 1:24	144:16 149:13	304:24 308:2,6,8,12	performances
5:22,25 6:2,9 9:17	150:10 153:19	309:16 310:5,9,13	261:15
9:18 10:2,23 12:4,8	157:5 160:18,19	310:16,21,22	performing 10:20
12:19 14:22 16:16	161:10,14 162:12	311:13 314:4,6	68:18 147:9 273:7

[period - plug] Page 357

period 35:8 41:21	perspectives 180:22	philanthropy	201:24 205:25
42:8,18,21 49:15	308:14,16,17	148:16 160:18	207:8 224:21
52:9,10,12,23 79:24	persuade 301:4	180:21 190:3 192:9	227:19 245:9
80:6,7,7 85:4 98:11	perverse 238:18	190.21 190.3 192.9	254:10 282:18
157:6 180:2,4	-		
,	pet 250:8	philcop 2:5	286:8 295:20
181:21 197:10	ph 307:10	philcor 2:6	312:17
198:16 213:23	ph.d. 36:7 127:18	philcorp 2:6	placed 30:17 74:10
214:15 215:3	158:12	philly 209:13 213:14	127:5 248:19
267:22	phase 307:17	233:19 240:13	places 14:2,6 17:15
periodically 290:11	phenomenon 196:21	241:13,17 250:15	92:7 119:11 142:8
periods 260:17	philadelphia 1:6,12	250:16	148:2 164:15
perky 83:11	1:24 2:4 11:6 12:15	phone 88:21 139:24	191:19 193:11
permission 127:9	12:19 15:13 17:15	214:8,11 217:23	208:3 259:4,5 285:4
perpetuating 142:11	37:16 48:23 62:18	phrase 235:7 239:3	289:15,18 290:2
perry 107:14 108:16	66:24 67:9 68:9,16	305:6 311:25	314:24
108:17,22,23	70:19,23 72:9,10	physical 247:16	plan 7:9 94:22 95:3
124:20,22 125:3,9	74:4 75:11 76:15	292:8	111:20 171:24
130:11 132:16,21	77:10,12,21 85:24	physics 24:19	188:20 192:7 274:2
139:3 141:3,9	95:24 109:12 124:5	pick 30:16 99:8	278:24 279:8,10,14
146:12 152:21	138:8 141:16	143:18 203:4	282:7 287:18,19
165:7 166:14	154:20 158:5	picture 61:18,20	planet 3:9
289:13	168:19,21 178:19	111:10,12 113:6	planning 37:15
persisted 53:7	189:9 196:4 198:21	118:12	95:12 186:18
persistence 134:24	203:13,16,23 204:9	pictures 114:12	plateau 185:5
person 73:24 76:3	204:19 205:6	piece 66:4 67:12	platform 21:8
79:10 119:3,6,6	206:13 210:6 224:6	70:5 71:6 147:12	182:14,15,21
146:16 149:15,15	224:18 230:16,19	166:13 212:5	202:25
156:12 210:12	231:3,14 233:7	228:24 235:2 244:8	platforms 274:19
214:16 229:5	235:6,9,12,18	288:9	282:20 288:20
234:14 241:5 245:6	242:12 246:24	pieces 28:5 29:15	306:9
245:7 268:22 269:5	259:6 262:10,11	66:18	play 16:25 65:15
279:2 280:7 295:14	268:15 274:24	pilcop 2:5,6 5:24	71:14 117:5 209:10
297:18	275:16,16 279:22	6:16 7:5 156:24	277:10,13 279:17
person's 234:8	282:18 284:12	202:15 287:23	281:7 295:14
personal 227:6	285:5,22 288:5	pilcop's 6:11	298:10
265:5	292:8 294:15 296:6	pilot 93:22 128:18	played 287:25
personalization	296:7,12 300:6,18	242:14	playing 37:4
303:16	301:8 302:13	pinpoint 210:21	plays 11:8 278:17
personally 306:3	305:22,23 313:6	pipeline 234:18	please 106:5 156:16
personnel 138:6	314:23	pirate 9:11	159:10 306:23
143:25 244:2	philadelphia's	place 22:16 26:11,12	pleasure 301:23
perspective 101:11	217:18	43:25 69:14 85:6	plight 2:10
101:17 180:24	philanthropic 148:8	87:21 112:9 132:5	plug 286:22 290:15
181:3 190:3 224:8	161:25 183:5	137:18 174:10	291:3 294:22 295:6
292:20 298:8		184:4 188:21	

plugged 286:19	223:2,4,5,21,22	possess 220:21	predict 211:23
plus 138:4 165:19	226:20 227:10	possession 220:20	predictive 51:12
165:23	policymakers 40:23	possible 16:16 21:20	predominately
pocket 258:9	129:24 153:23	31:21 37:18 86:23	55:17 139:13
podium 202:15	political 103:2	87:20 135:16 143:9	preeminent 7:11
274:9	145:21 161:25	186:7 267:9 270:20	35:21 261:20
point 14:3 40:10	167:2,16 170:13	291:21	preempt 36:12
46:8,10 51:7 52:15	175:23 176:5,20	post 137:23	prefer 11:20
53:13,23 57:8 63:24	187:10 192:6 290:4	postsecondary	pregnant 185:14
69:10,25 75:19 90:6	312:16	152:17	208:9 233:18
105:5 125:20,21	politicians 29:17	pot 257:21	240:21
133:6 136:8 147:6	politics 180:19	potential 32:21	premise 3:4 66:25
153:10 155:22,23	poll 281:23 300:2	159:15	109:22 273:11
163:16 177:19,20	polling 281:23	potentially 292:5	276:7
177:21 180:18	pool 31:17	poured 196:6	preparation 241:21
185:23 198:16,17	poor 25:25 55:13	poverty 3:19,20,23	241:23
198:18 232:21	89:24 109:6 124:4	15:22,24 56:6 177:6	preparing 99:3
238:24 268:25	126:7	190:20 242:6	preschool 111:15
269:14 272:22	poorly 177:3	275:17 296:8	prescription 194:16
299:13 308:22	popular 171:17	power 6:10 46:20	prescriptions 65:14
pointed 22:12 133:7	172:24	75:24 98:6 145:6,10	165:13 194:6
133:17,19 153:23	population 18:13	145:13,20 192:8	prescriptive 20:18
pointing 67:13	82:6 92:24 234:11	218:11 283:23	32:7
84:13 185:20	250:11 298:6	284:7 285:10	prescriptiveness
204:17	populations 233:14	299:13 312:25	28:16
points 198:23,24	portfolio 171:18	powerful 45:15	presence 68:12
police 31:9 218:17	172:24 173:12	145:9 201:14	139:21
236:13 267:20	175:2 180:6	powerfully 181:3	present 2:13 3:24
268:14,21 269:7,8	portfolios 99:24,24	powerpoint 39:2	24:25 75:3 118:13
269:16,22,25 270:6	174:17	118:19 206:9	166:8 169:9 177:9
270:8,9,15	pose 130:12 161:4	powers 37:6 148:19	184:7 307:12
policeman 270:17	posed 151:2	practicable 41:4	313:25
270:23	position 11:16	practice 1:3 41:6,17	presentation 196:24
polices 237:8	169:20 205:15	53:21 54:2 101:25	205:11 289:18
policies 67:18,20,22	283:22,25 313:13	157:21	315:24 316:4
69:15 104:24	314:8	practices 32:24	presentations 99:25
184:19 214:5	positions 127:14	51:13,21 52:16	presented 1:5 125:5
219:15,19 228:22	303:21	53:16 225:10 236:6	156:12 166:15
239:4 316:8	positive 31:11,18,25	236:25 260:3	225:25 253:20
policing 267:8	102:3 157:16	261:19,22 262:13	313:10
policy 8:8 41:5	226:22 229:9 254:2	practitioners 261:21	presenter 115:11
67:16 68:24 81:24	254:11 258:23	pre 256:4 278:6	president 2:22
140:13 144:20	259:8 284:23 288:5	preach 303:9	39:22 40:10 46:16
214:10 217:19	294:3	preceded 90:19	145:16 162:5
219:10,11 222:25			274:25 277:5

presidential 69:11	principals 28:23	212:22 216:12	304:12,17 312:3,6
presidents 180:19	43:18 44:10 47:5	231:13 232:25	professionals
264:11	85:22 93:17,19	233:5 237:13	251:22 297:2
press 293:4	146:19 147:10	251:19 252:16	professor 37:12
pressed 45:17	164:16 173:4	256:12 267:11	108:17 127:3,4
pressure 92:8,10	197:25 214:22	269:11 298:20	301:24
pressured 2:16	principalships	problematic 20:14	professors 161:14
pretend 83:7	70:25	259:21 261:3	proficiency 161:6
pretty 15:17 48:10	prior 2:12 22:14	problems 18:19	240:23
53:24 100:17	48:5 102:25	29:24 31:4 41:10,11	proficient 175:17
202:11 211:5	priorities 218:22	90:12 144:9 149:8	191:12
246:17 253:19	prison 142:12	152:6 154:23 169:9	profound 54:6
259:2 269:20	234:18 239:6,6	170:6 208:22	program 3:13,15,17
285:20	prisoner 213:18	222:16 225:6 237:5	9:25 14:13 17:5
prevent 29:13	prisons 142:13,16	247:4,8,23 248:17	41:7,20 68:24 69:20
211:25 219:6	142:17	249:9,10 260:16,20	78:8,9 82:8,8 96:18
242:10 258:13	private 12:20 13:5	270:6 298:17,19	129:4 157:13
261:2	19:18 116:18	procedural 22:6	178:17 217:3,5
preventing 29:14	131:14,14 151:3	32:16	229:15 241:3,5,12
260:19	166:2 224:17	procedure's 38:13	242:16 245:23
prevention 259:13	privately 13:4	proceed 272:12	251:9 255:23
260:10	privatization 68:5	proceeding 202:5	265:15 266:6
preventive 218:18	privilege 91:11	process 45:19 93:14	271:21 275:25
258:14	probabilities 65:16	98:4,25 102:20	programs 13:2,23
preview 61:5	probability 59:19	103:8 135:5 272:4	14:14 31:25 130:6
previous 77:15,17	70:14 71:10,15	282:10 297:21	178:18,18,20
103:2 142:25	probably 2:25 33:14	produce 167:20	184:10 227:16
219:14 282:23	38:6 40:12 66:3	168:2,4 183:13	240:21 242:9
previously 36:2	93:15,16 107:8	193:6 194:3	245:21 247:3,12,13
price 97:25	112:17,18 121:19	produced 3:12 9:23	247:18 250:13
primarily 108:24	130:7 168:21,22	10:2	257:9 258:14
148:10 161:7,24	175:6 205:21	producer 3:7	261:19 264:2
163:4	222:25 229:17	produces 193:22	283:12
principal 37:19,20	245:15 248:2	producing 10:16	progress 32:22
37:21 44:9 70:11,12	251:20,23,25	92:2 165:3	33:15 36:4 42:24
70:21 71:3,9 73:20	268:10 283:6	product 164:19	45:6 48:17 125:4,14
81:13 96:19 98:14	296:10 300:5	190:11	143:21 145:15
98:16 137:20	probe 57:10	products 102:16	153:22 176:24
144:10,22 145:6,7	problem 5:11 32:9	profession 28:24	177:6,8 232:15
146:18,21,21 147:4	121:19 136:21	professional 27:24	progressive 126:21
147:8 163:18,25	137:8,24 138:25	44:23 45:9 61:25	project 25:24
171:3 172:12 190:6	145:24 149:9	62:3 111:12 163:22	236:22 242:15
223:23 272:10	164:21 177:19	170:5 172:9,16	projecting 116:2
299:15	190:4 199:23	174:16,21 175:3	projector 49:11
	200:21 208:7	196:2 295:10	

Page 360

projects 19:7 27:5	284:19	pulled 127:16,18	184:3 226:12
108:19 216:10	provision 220:2	punish 163:11	pyramid 9:4,18
304:10	229:8	236:23	260:24 261:5
proliferation 13:9	provisional 221:25	punished 237:23	
prolific 37:3	provisions 69:2	punishment 219:4	q
prologue 42:2	provoked 209:5	238:4	qualification 68:6
prom 111:13,22	psychiatrist 126:12	punitive 236:6	qualified 4:24 33:3
112:12,13,14 113:7	psychiatrists 218:19	punting 244:25	217:15
113:7,8,8,9,12,15,15	psychologist 108:12	purchase 105:23	qualifiers 200:18
113:21,22,23 114:8	109:11	157:4	quality 1:3 8:19,21 8:22 16:6 22:15
promise 69:11 84:16	psychologists	purchased 13:4	41:3 44:24 105:15
promised 182:6	218:20	pure 284:20	140:19 149:4
proms 114:20	psychology 158:12	purpose 90:22 105:6	156:24 181:11
prone 181:8	psychometricianist	272:12,16 273:16	239:14 247:6 251:9
properly 86:6 146:7	103:12	purposes 105:11	276:22 278:14
152:14 267:18	pubic 259:11	272:20	quarter 245:16
proportion 238:10	public 1:3,6 2:4 5:25	pursue 140:19 185:3	quarter 243.10 query 127:20
proportionality	8:8 9:20 13:8,21,24	193:5	question 17:25
237:25	13:25 15:7 19:17,20	pursuit 96:12	19:12,14 25:11,22
protection 5:3	22:13 36:8 68:8,14	push 18:4 135:7	29:11 42:21 43:8
protections 32:11	72:10 89:20 105:6	164:14 201:14	48:15 64:17 65:2,17
32:16	129:6,16 132:3,12	236:23 237:8,9	70:16 86:3 91:12
proud 227:5	156:23 166:2 193:3	239:5	95:16 99:20 101:10
prove 26:18 201:15	194:21 195:7	pushed 9:16 199:5	104:6,20 130:15
263:24	198:18 224:21	240:2 267:10	132:17 133:2,3,4
provide 18:17 19:2	225:2,4,11 226:16	pushing 25:11 28:7	135:21 141:6
20:24 33:7 74:12	243:12 253:17	put 25:12 27:22,22	144:11,13 150:25
96:10,11,19 129:12	271:24 275:2,6,14	33:14 51:16 58:10	153:15 155:23
159:23 162:21	275:19 276:4,9,10	66:10 92:8,24 93:24	159:12,14,24
178:10 210:23	276:13,15 277:3,18	109:25 111:6 119:6	161:11 165:10
212:2 244:6 251:7	279:18 280:3,4,7,15	122:24 123:2	182:25 197:10
252:11 283:13	281:6,16,22 282:2	125:13 127:9,19	199:9,11 224:4
292:14 309:7	284:17 285:21	147:4 148:10	247:25 260:7 267:6
provided 21:7,20	291:22 292:10	149:12 153:9	268:9 270:14
184:11 209:14	298:22 301:10	180:14 189:20	288:22 289:14
249:8 282:4 284:14	302:13 303:13	200:16 207:12	295:18,19,25
providence 187:3	313:5	209:20 214:18	307:13 308:15
providers 12:20	publically 75:8	221:6 229:20	questionable 99:4
173:11 283:11	publication 35:19	231:24 245:6	questions 10:11
provides 32:13	313:9 314:12	258:14 264:23	14:20 16:2 18:16
51:19,19 173:13	publications 95:18	266:22 267:21	21:22 23:8 25:17
292:11	published 8:7	268:2 303:20	26:15 27:8 38:18
providing 90:12	236:22	puts 20:11 185:12	40:24 62:21 67:15
136:24 208:6 229:9	pull 243:3,6	putting 4:23 51:15	99:6,8 107:21
229:19 230:3		85:6 92:11 165:24	

[questions - reason] Page 361

130:12 149:25,25	raises 67:14	50:22 63:14 78:4,8	204:22,25 208:16
159:4,16 160:12	raising 184:21	78:9,9 79:12 90:18	208:25 210:7 211:4
166:15 194:14	293:10	127:19 211:6	211:24 213:4
221:17 262:16	raking 204:6	241:15,16 245:3	215:21 216:14
264:20 270:11	rally 32:19	271:13,15 296:11	223:20 224:15
280:17,24 282:23	rampant 150:17	ready 61:9 157:12	225:2,17,21 226:10
quick 12:2 153:18	ran 88:11	161:11,13 290:15	226:11,13,23 227:4
206:11 209:24	range 71:5 153:11	real 3:25 6:5 29:18	227:5,13,20,21,22
260:13 279:20	175:8 189:13 235:8	32:23 35:16 36:20	228:16,22 229:5,11
quickly 4:16 6:4	247:6 260:21,22	50:19 92:20 99:25	229:23 230:4,4
106:6 146:4 149:11	ranges 56:6	100:3 106:14 126:2	231:23 232:16
172:2 216:4 258:20	rank 94:25	159:15 242:7	233:11,18 234:7,10
259:22 260:7	rare 53:22	246:18 251:5 258:2	234:12,19 240:25
269:13 286:15	ratch 177:13	262:22 263:4,14	242:15 243:20,23
quiet 65:21	rate 118:5 124:8	265:13 268:4	246:25 251:6,8,17
quit 19:4	206:3 219:12	315:18	252:14 253:2,10,18
quite 40:13 167:22	233:23 251:6 253:6	reality 36:18 162:13	253:22 254:8,10
175:4 204:5 258:7,8	rated 49:13	162:14,15 167:23	255:18 257:8,9,15
quote 29:23	rates 59:22 79:23	243:12	257:21 259:5,11
r	146:3 204:18	realize 92:22 129:17	260:2 263:2,15,23
race 15:17,19,25	272:25 307:21	232:13 253:14	264:17 265:4,6,9
55:5,5 67:17 68:22	rating 50:3	272:11	266:25 267:23
68:23 69:2,3,7,15	rationale 195:9	realized 114:2	268:4,5 269:21
69:19 83:17 127:11	rationales 150:10	realizing 265:10	270:9 272:15
143:2 166:3 176:9	reach 44:20 118:9	really 4:11,18 9:5	274:13 275:19
	169:3	22:12 23:9 24:20	276:2,7 277:10
192.14 225.14			
192:14 225:14 264:14	reached 6:10 185:5	27:8,9,11 28:10,19	278:11,14 280:8
264:14	reaches 178:8	31:10,20 34:11,24	283:21,24 284:22
264:14 races 264:15,16	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5 radar 315:4	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25 122:18,20 139:14	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15 123:13 132:8	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8 reapproach 195:10
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5 radar 315:4 radical 193:5	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25 122:18,20 139:14 139:18 145:16	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15 123:13 132:8 133:22 136:9	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8 reapproach 195:10 reason 7:22 106:14
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5 radar 315:4 radical 193:5 raheem 265:18	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25 122:18,20 139:14 139:18 145:16 153:2 232:6 245:7	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15 123:13 132:8 133:22 136:9 140:17 144:22	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8 reapproach 195:10 reason 7:22 106:14 109:23 110:9
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5 radar 315:4 radical 193:5 raheem 265:18 raise 8:6 197:16	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25 122:18,20 139:14 139:18 145:16 153:2 232:6 245:7 256:15 266:10	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15 123:13 132:8 133:22 136:9 140:17 144:22 149:16 153:2	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8 reapproach 195:10 reason 7:22 106:14 109:23 110:9 113:24 116:5 119:9
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5 radar 315:4 radical 193:5 raheem 265:18 raise 8:6 197:16 raised 42:21 86:16	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25 122:18,20 139:14 139:18 145:16 153:2 232:6 245:7 256:15 266:10 271:17 277:13	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15 123:13 132:8 133:22 136:9 140:17 144:22 149:16 153:2 155:19 157:6 160:3	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8 reapproach 195:10 reason 7:22 106:14 109:23 110:9 113:24 116:5 119:9 119:20 122:11
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5 radar 315:4 radical 193:5 raheem 265:18 raise 8:6 197:16 raised 42:21 86:16 289:17 292:12,16	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25 122:18,20 139:14 139:18 145:16 153:2 232:6 245:7 256:15 266:10 271:17 277:13 reader 244:18	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15 123:13 132:8 133:22 136:9 140:17 144:22 149:16 153:2 155:19 157:6 160:3 160:13 173:19	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8 reapproach 195:10 reason 7:22 106:14 109:23 110:9 113:24 116:5 119:9 119:20 122:11 142:10 151:5,13
264:14 races 264:15,16 racial 15:2 17:13 142:21 203:18 225:22 232:24 racially 55:20,22 racism 109:8 racist 4:7 155:19 268:5 radar 315:4 radical 193:5 raheem 265:18 raise 8:6 197:16 raised 42:21 86:16 289:17 292:12,16 293:12 308:21	reaches 178:8 reaching 200:9 304:24 react 260:6,6,12 reacting 219:4 reaction 120:19 259:14 260:10,11 273:18 read 5:8 37:7 56:23 64:20 97:5 113:25 122:18,20 139:14 139:18 145:16 153:2 232:6 245:7 256:15 266:10 271:17 277:13	31:10,20 34:11,24 35:10 36:21 37:21 40:21 43:6,8 46:22 48:8 50:25 51:6,8 57:9 64:14 69:15 81:17 84:6 90:14 95:25 97:19 101:16 111:21 112:11,25 114:20 115:17 116:12,14 117:15 123:13 132:8 133:22 136:9 140:17 144:22 149:16 153:2 155:19 157:6 160:3	283:21,24 284:22 284:25 285:8,11 286:3,13,25 287:14 287:25 288:5,10 290:14 291:18,24 294:21 295:21 297:4 298:18 302:5 303:8 304:25 307:25 308:12 realty 19:8 reapproach 195:10 reason 7:22 106:14 109:23 110:9 113:24 116:5 119:9 119:20 122:11

[reason - replicate] Page 362

212 4 222 12	1 76 10 252 25	e (0.11.77.6.0	1 4 1 51 10
213:4 222:13	record 76:10 253:25	reforms 68:11 76:8	relationships 51:12
239:13 263:8	259:2	185:3	51:18 53:6 85:2
267:22 272:6	recorded 8:5	refusals 22:5	89:5,11 173:25
294:13	recover 256:7	regard 252:17	225:18 265:5 312:5
reasonable 96:8	recreation 178:17	regarded 48:20	312:11,12
reasonably 16:19	263:9,9,17	regarding 96:16	relative 157:15
96:18	recruited 44:25	regardless 64:5	relatively 30:10
reasons 70:4,5 86:10	recycling 175:19	110:14 251:2	49:14 60:8
97:22,23 106:15	red 61:24 234:7	regards 264:11	relay 211:21
118:22 120:8	redeem 264:2,4	region 1:23	released 265:16
123:25 144:15,16	redesign 187:10	regional 186:6	relevant 101:6
recalibrated 168:8	reduce 269:23	register 305:6	reliable 40:6
recalibration	reduced 261:11	registered 35:9	relief 35:13
168:11	reducing 151:20	156:22	religion 225:14
recall 75:23 198:25	270:2	regular 17:4 93:25	religious 19:18 58:8
receive 7:20	reelected 84:16	113:11,11,22 114:8	59:10,13
received 123:9	192:20	122:13,15 123:7	rely 202:9
158:12,18	reenact 316:9	124:9 152:11	remained 129:20
recess 106:8 157:10	reenforces 185:16	154:15,16,16	remaining 68:14
274:7	refer 219:20	216:11 234:23	202:13
recipe 46:22 53:25	reference 242:3	248:2 250:21,23	remarkable 33:13
54:3	278:20	251:3,6 252:4,5	253:15
reciprocal 198:8,12	referenced 124:25	282:25 291:12	remarks 66:21
recognition 175:12	referrals 261:9	296:21 313:12	73:14
177:17	269:23 270:2	regularly 162:3	remedial 7:2
recognize 163:24	referred 122:5,6	regulations 6:18,22	remember 61:10
164:10 258:25	219:9 271:7 272:23	25:19	74:17 79:25 87:8
recognized 45:25	refers 235:8	regulatory 22:11	88:7 132:25 154:22
204:24	reflected 15:10	rehabilitative 230:4	197:15 255:8
recognizes 191:6	166:12	reign 84:21	311:16
206:2	reflections 224:13	reimagine 183:11	remind 4:20 11:14
recognizing 253:24	226:11 267:10	reinforced 200:14	39:16 271:11
recommend 103:18	reflective 62:5	reiterate 142:25	reminded 9:3
223:23 315:13	299:17	relate 264:8,9,13	remiss 110:5
recommendation	reform 41:9 43:18	related 86:8 156:20	remove 272:13
186:12	82:25 153:20 158:3	218:7,9,10	removing 273:15
recommendations	158:11,21 159:20	relates 46:15 144:14	renaissance 187:19
235:25 258:7	160:8,11 161:24	relation 59:4	renting 126:20
recommended	163:7 166:23,25	relational 70:9,17	repeated 238:13
223:19	172:21 175:24	72:12	248:7,10
reconstructing	177:2,16,22 178:3	relations 6:3 203:13	repeatedly 105:6
311:18	182:14 194:3	224:19 225:9	replaced 19:21
reconstruction	195:24 198:14	relationship 83:4	replacing 28:17
137:23	225:25 260:3 287:7	85:22 86:18 122:12	replicate 132:24
	300:12	173:16	183:14
	<u> </u>	I	1

[replicated - rieser] Page 363

replicated 53:5	requires 52:16	191:13,14 245:4	121:17 159:18
report 22:21 23:23	requires 32.10 requiring 7:7 71:25	250:19 256:25	161:18 190:24
23:25 31:8 49:3	requiring 7.771.23 research 29:10		
		277:8 282:3 314:11	288:4,7 results 1:1 36:5
61:17,19 83:20	35:25,25 36:3 37:15	315:17	
84:25 124:24,24	38:14 40:3,13,15,19	resources's 75:21	52:24 61:11 65:7
125:10 128:13	40:22 61:7 65:12	respect 57:15 67:3	69:21 84:18 95:6
176:23 205:3,3	67:19,23 83:16 93:6	67:22 82:18 85:25	102:3 103:17 165:4
207:25 210:17	108:19 124:17	86:2 121:13 141:4,9	167:20 168:2,5
226:2,6 235:20	131:20 138:4	141:10 217:13	170:12 177:11,16
236:22 253:18	147:13 150:12	226:19 312:7	183:13 185:21,24
259:11 265:13,14	151:6 166:13	respectful 85:2	188:23 192:20
314:24	168:25 172:17	respond 21:13 38:17	193:6,23 194:2
reported 208:11	181:16 191:23	164:21 188:16	261:9
293:8	210:7 253:20 254:7	189:17 192:7,17	retardation 109:18
reporter 1:13 8:6	258:22 284:15,16	193:24 282:5	110:3 117:20 118:4
82:14 114:2	284:20	responding 167:24	122:2,5 123:15
reporters 82:2	researcher 35:21	responds 31:5	125:18 149:15
reporting 1:22	40:3 133:4	182:16	retire 190:15
reports 22:17 23:20	researchers 41:8	response 150:11	retired 146:21
94:24 226:12	43:19 57:7,25	187:23 188:4	return 19:5 61:11
247:20 268:2 286:5	101:12 315:10	221:19,20 312:19	206:7,12 316:2
represent 101:18	reserve 7:18	responses 120:22	returned 207:23
162:13 188:16	reside 173:10	180:7 284:6	returning 240:3
representation	residency 41:21	responsibilities	reverse 237:7
162:15 250:4	residents 112:16	163:13 173:21	reversed 74:16
representative	225:3	responsibility 45:5	review 9:3 306:9
278:25	resist 179:22,22,24	148:5 164:13 254:4	reviews 93:22
represented 145:12	186:10	254:5 310:19	revised 31:22
250:10	resistance 178:25	responsible 45:5	reward 163:11
representing 310:16	179:3	191:18	259:19
represents 49:12	resnick's 133:24	responsive 312:16	rewards 163:20
reprimand 145:7	resort 173:11	rest 34:19 38:15	rewritten 77:14
republican 180:19	248:17	205:6 228:4 235:13	rhasheda 301:21
193:4	resorting 237:6	274:2 286:6 291:10	rhode 158:17,21
republicans 192:21	resource 8:23 25:10	restart 164:17 173:9	198:17 201:7
requested 315:25	26:16 269:16	restorative 261:18	ribner 6:6
require 191:12	resourced 251:5	261:18,22 262:13	rich 288:19 291:23
260:25 261:6	resources 20:22	restriction 15:14	rid 72:18 103:19
270:22,23	21:9,19 24:25 25:22	restrictive 6:25	123:12 126:7
required 22:19	26:17 28:8 47:2	108:8	144:25 145:17
140:14	64:18 84:4 96:11	restructuring	164:15 180:12
requirement 19:2	130:3 138:6,15	132:12	273:4,8,9,19
24:24 220:19	139:20 140:18	rests 44:23	ride 39:11 196:15
requirements 25:7	154:25 155:7 175:8	result 10:24 100:8	rieser 8:13,13 11:13
68:6 69:18 143:15	176:19 189:20	102:5 110:19	16:13 19:9 23:18

[rieser - says] Page 364

26:22 30:3 33:23	295:4 299:12	242:11 258:20	sale 156:21
right 2:25 4:25 5:2	303:13 304:10	264:22 266:23	san 76:20,23 165:16
9:8,25 14:15 19:5	305:3,6 307:8 310:7	274:12 283:5 296:5	187:2
34:11 35:24 49:5	310:15,21 311:16	301:13 308:8,12	sanction 30:12
52:5 56:2,24,25	rights 4:15 119:10	310:4,13 313:21	sanction 30.12 sanctions 163:19
61:4 62:2 64:4,20	119:14,16,18	rooms 193:17	164:3
	137:23 213:19		
66:11,19 72:20		200:11 278:22	sandoval 6:21
76:16 77:4 80:7,11	244:5 301:22	root 209:2 237:3	sandra 33:11
82:11 84:25 90:12	ring 79:15	287:8	sara 60:12,14
92:9 93:8 98:11	rings 17:20 78:22	roots 181:14 287:12	sarah 63:9
99:9 100:16 103:4	riots 180:13	roughly 49:23	sat 180:11 200:2
104:3 113:3 144:15	rise 51:16 176:25	218:15	262:22
144:16 145:11	236:7	round 194:3 197:14	sat's 262:21,25
154:12 156:3	rising 16:17	201:17 273:23	263:3 264:6
159:16 160:5	risk 177:2 242:17	277:21 316:19	satisfactory 26:19
161:13,21 162:4,19	253:7 256:25	roundabout 14:16	satisfied 103:25
162:21 163:2,23	257:18	rounds 195:24	satisfy 90:25
164:7,21 167:12,16	rite 30:25	route 5:23	saturday 112:3,17
168:7,14,16,23,24	road 253:19	routinely 129:24	save 62:21 184:8
169:10,18 170:9,25	robinson 5:14	289:16 290:2	218:24 234:25
171:23 173:4,9,12	robust 61:3	rubber 98:18	saved 76:16,24,25
174:11,23 177:13	rocket 53:15	rule 311:12	77:3 180:10
177:16 178:14	rodriguez 5:6 9:7,11	rules 30:16 239:21	saving 77:4
179:15,18,23 181:9	role 33:20 36:24	run 18:9 41:21	saw 26:6 35:4 50:19
181:22 182:2,19	37:18 120:14	173:14 178:19	132:23,24 155:3
183:7 185:8,12	146:22 162:18,20	202:18 316:15	166:12 188:2
186:9 188:13	163:23 164:4,5,5	running 12:20 87:15	212:23 262:11
190:18 192:24	166:19,20,21	140:11	313:2
193:3 195:8,14,19	182:25 183:23	runs 150:17 179:17	saying 32:20 44:13
195:21 196:19	189:4 276:24 277:7	rural 14:5 166:10	79:9 94:5 104:8
198:5,8,25 201:16	277:19 279:17	rushkin 307:9,10,15	194:18 206:24
205:20 212:2	281:8 287:25	russ 237:17,18	207:10,15 209:11
217:17 221:12,16	295:13 304:18	rutgers 8:8 301:24	214:8 223:7 229:20
228:7 230:17	312:4	307:10	268:3,22 269:6
231:21 239:20	roles 162:25 276:20	S	296:13 300:19
240:5,14 244:22	277:10,12,16	safe 67:7,10 253:25	303:25 304:8,24
245:11 248:23	278:16 282:11	safer 98:5	305:4 310:12
249:5 250:4,14	ron 286:12 301:9	safety 45:14 50:9,10	says 9:19 22:16 26:8
265:19 266:3	room 24:15 29:7		38:4 61:20 63:15
267:22 272:22	33:25 35:8,9 79:20	67:2 185:11,12,16 208:4 219:5	65:18 66:11 80:8
273:5,13 276:22	88:12,23 98:18		92:5 98:14,17 146:4
277:19 278:7	126:18 153:19	sake 272:7,8	172:25 188:9,19
284:15,16 285:10	156:21 165:7 181:5	sakes 187:7	189:19 209:14
286:4 290:25	183:4 200:2,6,17	salaries 184:21	210:7 220:2 221:23
293:19 294:23	213:21,23 214:4,24		222:2 225:11

[says - schools] Page 365

233:13 240:14 88:6,8 89:20 90:22 215:2,3,11,12,16,18 305:24 306:12 244:23,24 247:23 91:6,10 92:17 93:21 215:24,25 216:3,6 307:11,16 308:5 248:14 266:9 282:8 94:7,21,22 95:2 216:11,12,20 311:8 313:16 304:11 97:22 100:2,19 217:13,18 218:4,10 314:21 scale 165:4 169:3 101:16 103:4 105:6 218:13,16,16,17,19 school's 47:25 70:8 172:21 173:20 107:6 109:11 218:19 219:5 208:5 239:6 183:13 184:2 111:13,22,24 112:8 221:21 222:20 schooling 8:19 13:5 scanner 213:16 112:11,12 113:15 223:19,24 224:6 22:16 33:22 66:5 214:19 113:18 114:20 225:4,25 226:8 22:16 33:22 66:5 scapegoat 122:25 119:17 124:7 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 23:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 scholar ship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 schola
248:14 266:9 282:8 94:7,21,22 95:2 216:11,12,20 311:8 313:16 304:11 97:22 100:2,19 217:13,18 218:4,10 314:21 scale 165:4 169:3 101:16 103:4 105:6 218:13,16,16,17,19 school's 47:25 70:8 172:21 173:20 107:6 109:11 218:19 219:5 208:5 239:6 183:13 184:2 111:13,22,24 112:8 221:21 222:20 schooling 8:19 13:5 scamer 213:16 112:11,12 113:15 223:19,24 224:6 22:16 33:22 66:5 214:19 113:18 114:20 228:17,25 229:3,13 schools 3:4,5,19,21 scapegoat 122:25 119:17 124:7 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 244:16,24,24 42:9,14,17,18,19,20
304:11 97:22 100:2,19 217:13,18 218:4,10 314:21 scale 165:4 169:3 101:16 103:4 105:6 218:13,16,16,17,19 school's 47:25 70:8 172:21 173:20 107:6 109:11 218:19 219:5 208:5 239:6 schooling 8:19 13:5 scanner 213:16 112:11,12 113:15 223:19,24 224:6 22:16 33:22 66:5 105:13 scantiest 123:23 115:4 116:17 228:17,25 229:3,13 schools 3:4,5,19,21 scapegoat 122:25 119:17 124:7 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:55,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,67 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 schol 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12
scale 165:4 169:3 101:16 103:4 105:6 218:13,16,16,17,19 school's 47:25 70:8 172:21 173:20 107:6 109:11 218:19 219:5 208:5 239:6 183:13 184:2 111:13,22,24 112:8 221:21 222:20 schooling 8:19 13:5 scanner 213:16 112:11,12 113:15 223:19,24 224:6 22:16 33:22 66:5 214:19 113:18 114:20 225:4,25 226:8 105:13 scantiest 123:23 115:4 116:17 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 scary 11:24 126:14,17 127:6,11 230:22,25 231:4,6,8 12:14,17,20,21,23 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 14
172:21 173:20 107:6 109:11 218:19 219:5 208:5 239:6 183:13 184:2 111:13,22,24 112:8 221:21 222:20 schooling 8:19 13:5 scanner 213:16 112:11,12 113:15 223:19,24 224:6 22:16 33:22 66:5 214:19 113:18 114:20 225:4,25 226:8 105:13 scantiest 123:23 115:4 116:17 228:17,25 229:3,13 schools 3:4,5,19,21 scapegoat 122:25 119:17 124:7 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 12:14,17,20,21,23 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 <
183:13 184:2 111:13,22,24 112:8 221:21 222:20 schooling 8:19 13:5 scanner 213:16 112:11,12 113:15 223:19,24 224:6 22:16 33:22 66:5 214:19 113:18 114:20 225:4,25 226:8 105:13 scantiest 123:23 115:4 116:17 228:17,25 229:3,13 schools 3:4,5,19,21 scapegoat 122:25 119:17 124:7 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 12:14,17,20,21,23 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 schol 3:3 7:8 9
scanner213:16112:11,12 113:15223:19,24 224:622:16 33:22 66:5214:19113:18 114:20225:4,25 226:8105:13scantiest123:23115:4 116:17228:17,25 229:3,13schools3:4,5,19,21scapegoat122:25119:17 124:7229:14,19 230:8,113:22 5:18,20 10:20scary11:24126:14,17 127:6,11230:22,25 231:4,6,812:14,17,20,21,23schedule106:14128:18,20,20 129:3231:10,13,23,2513:5,24,25 14:2200:25 201:3 202:9129:4,6,7 131:15232:4,8,12,23 233:715:3,8,13,18,21,23202:10135:24 136:2,5234:9,22,24 236:1016:6 17:2 18:2 19:2schizophrenic269:9137:9 138:8,19236:12,14,16 238:721:5,19 23:6 26:7,9scholar 36:14139:10,11,22,25238:13,16,19,2028:14 29:22 30:6,18scholarship41:17140:4,7,15 143:15239:13,20,22 240:231:15,18,25 35:14scholarships275:25144:3 145:3,4,8240:20,20 241:9,1035:22 36:20 37:5school3:3 7:8 9:14146:23,24 147:2,7,7242:2,8,18 243:2,741:6,12,19 42:3,3,711:10 12:8,10,11,14147:9 149:14243:10,19 244:2,442:9,14,17,18,19,2012:24 14:17,18,20150:23 151:17244:16,24,2442:24,25 43:9,15,16
214:19 113:18 114:20 225:4,25 226:8 105:13 scantiest 123:23 115:4 116:17 228:17,25 229:3,13 schools 3:4,5,19,21 scapegoat 122:25 119:17 124:7 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 scary 11:24 126:14,17 127:6,11 230:22,25 231:4,6,8 12:14,17,20,21,23 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43
scantiest123:23115:4 116:17228:17,25 229:3,13schools3:4,5,19,21scapegoat122:25119:17 124:7229:14,19 230:8,113:22 5:18,20 10:20scary11:24126:14,17 127:6,11230:22,25 231:4,6,812:14,17,20,21,23schedule106:14128:18,20,20 129:3231:10,13,23,2513:5,24,25 14:2200:25 201:3 202:9129:4,6,7 131:15232:4,8,12,23 233:715:3,8,13,18,21,23202:10135:24 136:2,5234:9,22,24 236:1016:6 17:2 18:2 19:2schizophrenic269:9137:9 138:8,19236:12,14,16 238:721:5,19 23:6 26:7,9scholar 36:14139:10,11,22,25238:13,16,19,2028:14 29:22 30:6,18scholarship41:17140:4,7,15 143:15239:13,20,22 240:231:15,18,25 35:14scholarships275:25144:3 145:3,4,8240:20,20 241:9,1035:22 36:20 37:5school3:3 7:8 9:14146:23,24 147:2,7,7242:2,8,18 243:2,741:6,12,19 42:3,3,711:10 12:8,10,11,14147:9 149:14243:10,19 244:2,442:9,14,17,18,19,2012:24 14:17,18,20150:23 151:17244:16,24,2442:24,25 43:9,15,16
scapegoat 122:25 119:17 124:7 229:14,19 230:8,11 3:22 5:18,20 10:20 scary 11:24 126:14,17 127:6,11 230:22,25 231:4,6,8 12:14,17,20,21,23 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
scary 11:24 126:14,17 127:6,11 230:22,25 231:4,6,8 12:14,17,20,21,23 schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
schedule 106:14 128:18,20,20 129:3 231:10,13,23,25 13:5,24,25 14:2 200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
200:25 201:3 202:9 129:4,6,7 131:15 232:4,8,12,23 233:7 15:3,8,13,18,21,23 202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
202:10 135:24 136:2,5 234:9,22,24 236:10 16:6 17:2 18:2 19:2 schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
schizophrenic 269:9 137:9 138:8,19 236:12,14,16 238:7 21:5,19 23:6 26:7,9 scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
scholar 36:14 139:10,11,22,25 238:13,16,19,20 28:14 29:22 30:6,18 scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
scholarship 41:17 140:4,7,15 143:15 239:13,20,22 240:2 31:15,18,25 35:14 scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
scholarships 275:25 144:3 145:3,4,8 240:20,20 241:9,10 35:22 36:20 37:5 school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
school 3:3 7:8 9:14 146:23,24 147:2,7,7 242:2,8,18 243:2,7 41:6,12,19 42:3,3,7 11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
11:10 12:8,10,11,14 147:9 149:14 243:10,19 244:2,4 42:9,14,17,18,19,20 12:24 14:17,18,20 150:23 151:17 244:16,24,24 42:24,25 43:9,15,16
12:24 14:17,18,20
14:25 15:7 16:14,14 152:12 153:6,20 245:25 246:2,4 44:8,16,20 45:14,16
18:5,18,22 19:5,10
19:11,12,18,18,20
22:10,14,18,21 166:5 170:17,18,20 251:2,4 252:4,5 49:6,8,12,12,17
23:11,12,15 25:9
26:5 28:10 30:5,17 176:7,7,8 178:3,11 255:25 256:6 257:2 51:3 52:4,5 53:7,8
30:22,22 32:3 33:17 178:13 179:5,15,24 257:13,20 258:17 53:18 54:4,10,13,17
34:9 35:24 36:5,15
36:23,25 37:2,12,16
37:18,20,20,21 188:11 191:5,17 265:22 266:12,16 56:17 57:4,4,5,11
38:22 39:7 41:9,23
43:18 44:3,7,8,25
45:6 47:9 48:18 203:24 204:5,9,19 270:6,18,21,22,23 60:22,25 62:12,14
49:22 51:9,12,18 204:23,24 205:5,18 271:7 272:5 275:18 63:17,19,22,25 64:2
52:2,8,11 59:19 205:24 206:4,13,16 275:23 276:3 64:3,4,21,23 65:2
61:12,12,15,17,18 206:22,24 207:16 277:20,22 278:8 66:2 67:19 68:5,12
62:11 64:19 66:7 207:19 208:18,22 279:6,8 280:18,19 68:14,18 70:21,25
67:2,4,5,7,8,10 68:9 208:25 210:13,15 280:21 283:14,16 71:18,19,20 72:9,10
70:15 71:9 72:11,13 210:20 211:7,16 284:24 287:7,16 76:14 82:3,10 85:3
72:16 74:9 76:11 212:3,13,14,16,16 288:11 291:22 85:5 86:19 87:19
77:10,11 78:6 81:4 212:18,18 213:6,14 293:20 294:5 296:9 89:9 90:11 91:25
82:8,21 86:5,9,13 213:25 214:5,7,14 297:10 298:18,22 92:12 93:4,11,13,16
86:14,24 87:8,16 214:15,16,20,25 299:9 301:25 93:22,23 94:2,9,21

[schools - seen] Page 366

95:4,5,5,24 96:7	250:4,16,20 251:18	scripts 20:19	seduced 105:16
97:10 99:2 102:23	251:22 252:4,8	scs 55:5,6,15	see 11:8 16:9,25
103:20,20 104:4	255:17,23 256:25	se 141:7	17:3 18:12 19:7
105:10 113:19	257:22 258:7,12	search 41:10,10	32:25 39:11 42:14
116:18 122:22	260:5 261:8,14	seat 175:25 176:14	44:8 47:20,23 48:5
128:16 130:2 132:6	262:24 263:6,18	256:11	48:11 49:14,22,25
132:19 135:4 138:2	264:9 266:20	seats 176:17 250:20	52:13 54:16,20
138:5 141:16,17	267:17 268:16	sebring 35:18 38:19	55:12 56:18,24,25
143:7,17,19 147:6	270:6,16,17,24	38:22 60:16,18	57:21 58:3 59:16
148:7,14 150:18	271:3,5 272:10,24	61:23 62:17 63:16	61:20 62:12,13 67:9
151:3 152:24 160:2	273:2,3,7,11,14,17	64:25 74:18,21	68:20 69:6 82:9
163:10,10,11,12	276:7,21,23 278:2	94:11,18 101:10	88:5,21 90:3 109:23
164:11 165:23	278:14 279:18	102:4,13 156:15	111:22 113:4,24
166:7,9,14,17,18	280:5,10,11 281:8,9	sebring's 157:3	116:14,23 117:4
168:16,18,19,20,21	282:2,12 283:9	second 8:3 9:2 17:5	118:20 119:21
168:22 169:8,8,17	298:14 299:6,16,17	17:20 22:20 25:15	123:19 124:15
169:23 170:3,17	302:13 303:13	39:9 62:25 65:23	135:17 137:12
171:2,18,24,24	309:24 311:15,18	73:3 78:23 104:22	142:6 151:19
172:13,13,18,21,24	312:9,13 313:5,11	119:9 125:12,25	154:23 169:15
172:25 173:7,12,14	313:12 316:13	153:8 156:19,24	175:10 180:23
173:15 174:3,18	schoolteacher 266:9	175:14 180:4	190:6 192:2,2
175:9 177:24 178:4	science 20:4,7 24:5	246:13 255:21	193:23 199:19
178:7,13,23 179:21	24:9 25:14 53:15	256:5,9 263:8	202:18 216:23
180:14 181:23,25	100:5 128:22 172:6	271:11,12,16 276:4	220:7,12,14 230:24
183:12,15 184:4,7	286:2,4	276:24 310:3 316:3	236:2,24,25 238:10
185:2,3,18 186:17	sciences 40:12	316:3	240:14 243:4
187:11,13,20 191:8	scissors 220:11	secondary 31:22	246:19 247:6,23
193:6,10 195:11,25	scope 15:16	36:23 315:3	248:4 258:14,24
197:24 203:17,25	score 48:5 50:16	secondly 47:25	264:14 265:11
206:6 207:24,25	78:19 101:5 180:11	155:7 188:24	267:3 285:24
208:3,7,21 209:21	282:5	seconds 96:10 228:6	286:17 287:24
218:12 219:4,12,21	scores 40:7 47:3	271:20	294:14,18 306:10
220:9 222:4 223:16	79:4 81:23,25 82:9	secrets 242:24,24	seeing 12:15 67:18
223:21,22 224:10	83:18,22,25 84:6,9	section 42:4 66:11	67:20 236:14,15
225:12 226:14	84:13,15,23 94:3	78:14	237:4,4,6 238:20,22
227:18,19 228:10	99:22 101:12,15	sections 165:21	247:7 261:14
228:12 231:20	102:6 105:10	sector 188:17,25	seen 2:21 19:24
235:7,10,14,16,19	123:24 198:10	sectors 281:13	32:17 70:14 119:10
235:22,24 236:17	237:10 262:22,23	secure 303:7	119:11,12,14,17
237:6 239:10,11,19	scoring 271:13	security 213:14,20	120:4,5,5 128:14
239:25 240:16,18	scrambling 314:12	214:14 217:6	145:12 159:8
240:18 242:10,16	screaming 89:22	218:16,17 246:7,10	169:17 174:14
245:17,22 246:20	screen 116:2 315:4	267:8,17,19,25	175:15 181:4,17
247:3,7,8,9,10,14,15	scripted 71:20	271:3,6,9	186:14 196:7
248:5,12 249:13,25			219:17 261:8

[seen - set] Page 367

268:13 269:20	271:23 272:20	153:1 154:1 155:1	288:1 289:1 290:1
sees 61:13	separated 126:8	156:1 157:1 158:1	291:1 292:1 293:1
segregate 125:3	september 1:8 2:1	159:1 160:1 161:1	294:1 295:1 296:1
130:7	3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	162:1 163:1 164:1	297:1 298:1 299:1
segregated 111:15	8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1	165:1 166:1 167:1	300:1 301:1 302:1
121:16 130:18,19	12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1	168:1 169:1 170:1	303:1 304:1 305:1
141:17 150:14	16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1	171:1 172:1 173:1	306:1 307:1 308:1
152:7 153:25 154:2	20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1	174:1 175:1 176:1	309:1 310:1 311:1
segregating 141:12	24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1	177:1 178:1 179:1	312:1 313:1 314:1
150:5	28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1	180:1 181:1 182:1	315:1 316:1
segregation 119:21	32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	183:1 184:1 185:1	series 127:13 224:20
121:8 130:4,6	36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1	186:1 187:1 188:1	259:19 304:14
150:11	40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1	189:1 190:1 191:1	314:6
segue 107:21	44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1	192:1 193:1 194:1	serious 90:9 155:4
seil 99:7,14 100:13	48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	155:19 159:16
100:16	52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	210:23 220:3,7
selection 15:8	56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1	201:1 202:1 203:1	256:24 271:19
self 135:15 272:3	60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1	204:1 205:1 206:1	seriously 199:7
281:24	64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1	207:1 208:1 209:1	240:3
semester 127:10	68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	serve 175:8
seminar 127:12	72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	served 36:25 183:6
seminars 7:16	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1	216:1 217:1 218:1	service 18:24 269:17
senate 316:3	80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1	219:1 220:1 221:1	298:10
senator 31:23	84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1	222:1 223:1 224:1	services 111:16
315:25	88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1	225:1 226:1 227:1	154:2,4 251:13
senators 265:21	92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1	228:1 229:1 230:1	257:19 260:22
send 281:2	96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1	231:1 232:1 233:1	283:13
sending 266:19	100:1 101:1 102:1	234:1 235:1 236:1	servicing 59:22
senior 35:25 39:19	103:1 104:1 105:1	237:1 238:1 239:1	serving 82:6 108:6
39:21 40:3,9 274:25	106:1 107:1 108:1	240:1 241:1 242:1	131:19 174:3
sense 21:3 22:9	109:1 110:1 111:1	243:1 244:1 245:1	session 106:20
23:16 45:4 53:14	111:16 112:1 113:1	246:1 247:1 248:1	124:16 156:11,14
69:12 96:13,14	114:1 115:1 116:1	249:1 250:1 251:1	156:15 202:21,23
105:11 167:18	117:1 118:1 119:1	252:1 253:1 254:1	203:3 304:14
179:7 199:13 299:9	120:1 121:1 122:1	255:1 256:1 257:1	sessions 202:13
301:8	123:1 124:1 125:1	258:1 259:1 260:1	set 8:3,18 22:12
sensitive 315:18	126:1 127:1 128:1	261:1 262:1 263:1	40:20 59:8 70:18
sent 80:2 126:13	129:1 130:1 131:1	264:1 265:1 266:1	71:21 113:22 161:9
223:6 246:4 247:24	132:1 133:1 134:1	267:1 268:1 269:1	162:8 165:18
248:7,8,9,10 249:9	135:1 136:1 137:1	270:1 271:1 272:1	167:21,23 169:11
249:12,14,14,16	138:1 139:1 140:1	273:1 274:1 275:1	169:22 173:6
250:7 258:13	141:1 142:1 143:1	276:1 277:1 278:1	179:17 181:8
separate 4:19 16:11	144:1 145:1 146:1	279:1 280:1 281:1	182:16 183:11
109:6 113:8 126:3	147:1 148:1 149:1	282:1 283:1 284:1	188:20 189:3
128:11 129:13	150:1 151:1 152:1	285:1 286:1 287:1	192:15 194:5,5

[set - slows] Page 368

21 5 1 5 220 17 22	1.00 04 151 04	207.27.200.12	20.21.00.11
216:16 228:17,22	shift 102:24 151:21	287:25 288:13	sitting 28:21 89:14
274:16 275:4 281:3	236:15,19	significantly 133:10	136:12 263:13
setbacks 34:18	shifting 191:20	silent 163:21 166:18	264:22 312:21
sets 186:8 271:10	ship 184:9 316:15	166:20,21 168:15	situation 3:20 23:17
setters 167:14,14	shipped 265:25	170:16 172:19	32:10 227:14
setting 150:14 160:9	shock 238:8	silos 301:12	situations 234:7
161:10,17 229:6	short 65:19 299:25	silver 31:17 244:19	253:3 297:3
276:21	shot 89:10 205:3	similar 6:12 49:25	six 7:20 62:8 71:9
settings 116:20	304:13,14 311:9	60:6 75:6 283:6	96:10 147:9 152:9
117:25 229:13	shoved 214:12	291:12	155:10 185:14
settlement 115:22	show 50:13 61:16	similarity 253:15	229:12 233:19
116:7,21 118:24	66:6 111:10 118:15	similarly 36:24	292:22 300:8
setup 216:14	133:6 159:8 180:2	272:25	sixing 119:5
seven 42:8,18,21	204:22 220:5	simmons 108:18	sixth 210:8,12,18,22
48:7 49:15 53:4	249:22 293:4 314:3	127:11 157:16	size 25:18,19 52:6
55:7 74:8,8 84:20	showed 102:3	158:2,11,18,22,25	143:15 187:6,24
147:10 231:2 266:3	211:15 314:3	159:3,6 174:13	213:24 297:11
271:15 274:3	showing 64:19	179:20 182:11	sizes 26:2,5
seventh 241:18	shown 17:20 218:14	187:18 194:13	skepticism 79:12
265:23	shows 42:17,19 49:8	195:6 197:9,13,19	skiba 237:17 272:23
severe 237:23	52:7,22,23 54:12,19	197:23 199:4,18	skiba's 237:18
severely 250:10	59:20 61:23 63:17	200:23 201:2	skills 31:11,15 33:4
266:2	63:17,24 64:5,8	202:24 203:4	110:23
sex 98:19,21	84:19 114:15	254:13 312:24	skin 133:9
sexual 225:14	125:22 138:4	simmons's 274:18	skip 54:24 60:19
shaded 54:14	150:12 151:7	simple 66:25 71:13	62:24
shame 185:12	177:10 196:24	simply 25:2 95:16	slam 199:4
shape 66:8 71:22	205:17 218:21,23	97:17 98:24 126:7	slashed 261:10
293:14	231:8 273:21	170:17 191:7	slate 282:19
shaping 28:4	shuddering 249:19	198:22 231:9	slavery 137:23
shapiro 204:3	sic 66:2	294:15 308:11	slide 42:12 111:6
205:19 228:6	sick 214:23	simulated 119:25	112:6,23,24 114:7
230:14 239:15	side 19:19 26:4	simultaneously	114:13,16,17,18,24
240:5 250:14	28:22 29:2,3,5	134:3	114:25 115:5,6
255:13	54:21,21 56:24,25	singapore 185:10	117:22 210:10
share 38:11 167:6	63:25 66:17,19 73:6	single 14:12 18:9	211:3 233:21 240:6
172:12 224:25	103:13 188:3,3	37:17 57:2 61:12,12	slides 47:20 48:11
225:5 226:10	243:22 245:19	80:15 100:23 223:3	159:7,9 182:7
shared 40:25	288:12	sit 95:8 139:25	slightly 65:6 77:24
sharing 226:24	sidekicks 139:23,24	200:6 215:17	142:2 216:12
shave 202:12	sign 265:24	256:10	260:25
sheets 278:5	signed 118:24	site 226:14	slogan 79:14
sheila 295:16	significant 53:10	sites 129:14	slowly 4:14
shelves 40:20	136:22 140:4,8	sits 40:19,19	slows 167:12
	161:4 222:16		

[slug - spring] Page 369

1000	1 1 77.10	4 4 2 2 2 2 1 4 2	100 14 14 07 100 0
slug 182:2	somebody 75:19	sorts 46:22 281:19	122:14,14,25 123:2
small 18:7 26:2,9	154:20 169:21	286:5	123:6,12 124:11,19
49:14 81:4 86:19,24	197:15 207:3	sound 83:11 300:10	125:24 126:15
90:4 93:15 127:19	284:17 299:19,19	sounded 194:17	127:6,9,25 128:2,5
128:18 180:12	300:16 301:5	sounds 143:22	130:5,20 132:14
187:20 193:5,10,17	someplace 35:4	221:11	137:7,10,16 138:16
195:25 215:20,22	something's 82:11	source 6:5 160:5,7	146:2,3,19 149:11
268:14	82:11 207:24 229:6	sources 285:2	150:6,7,8,18,23
smaller 3:5	229:13	south 4:6 54:21	151:2 152:4,6,8
smart 24:10 76:2	somewhat 28:21	187:11 203:16	153:21 154:3,4,15
79:3	39:12 83:10 100:4	southeastern 268:18	155:10 233:13
smith 6:6,6	177:19 302:10	space 292:8	250:17,24 251:3,4
snapshots 206:11	son 61:20 87:11	span 172:3 233:19	specialize 109:16
209:25	150:18 246:4	spans 167:16	specific 25:7 116:13
sneak 88:5	sonya 106:21,25	speak 83:20 84:18	225:8 300:23
soap 285:13	139:3 249:20	99:11 121:10	307:22
sobering 54:5	soon 216:17	135:20 154:5	specifically 131:12
sobol 103:3	sophisticated	160:15,23 166:11	251:10
social 18:24 31:11	128:10 130:8	181:3 205:21	spectacular 157:17
31:15 51:19 54:8	sorry 59:10 60:5	268:10 290:7	speeches 8:4
57:13,17,17 58:7,11	100:13 104:20	296:15,15,16	speed 4:14,15
58:15,15 59:7,18	111:23 217:25	308:18 310:10	240:19
63:20 100:6 148:6	223:17 310:2	311:3	spell 29:20 298:22
167:2 175:23 176:5	sort 11:25 12:5 13:4	speaker 63:5,11	spencer 133:9
176:20 177:23	13:7,20 14:8,15	99:10 125:7 136:14	spend 23:21 53:2
185:11,16 189:12	17:6 21:14 38:17	150:4,15 153:17	74:3 137:10 159:18
191:14 218:20	78:14 112:20	154:18 157:14	160:9,17 167:9
257:19 269:17	143:14 172:15,19	197:7,12 199:2,16	219:8 261:11
socially 109:25	184:15 207:25	246:16 264:21	287:20 291:8
123:16	208:19 209:18	267:5 295:17	spending 138:13
societal 97:23	218:3,21 220:16	298:24 315:23	218:3,15 219:4,6
society 86:10 105:8	223:20 225:18,21	speakers 17:12	257:25
sociologist 47:8	227:22 229:4,8	143:6 156:20	spent 30:14 137:9
sociologists 57:14	230:16,20 232:4	197:18	137:16 138:5,6,18
soft 259:25	235:2 236:6 237:2	speaking 82:23	142:16 191:2
software 24:10,11	240:7 242:23	226:19 237:21	192:22 216:8
solely 167:4 189:4	245:13,13 258:15	265:19,20 275:20	224:16
solution 184:7,11	258:17 259:18	301:12	spewed 212:21
225:7 228:3 269:25	260:3,24 269:24	special 12:21 14:10	spin 68:17
307:12	272:3 273:17	33:20 107:12,23,24	spoke 96:21 302:19
solutions 41:11	275:20 276:11,19	107:25 108:3,14	sponsored 2:3 31:24
71:21 255:18	279:2 280:19 281:3	109:25 110:6,10,25	spot 152:18
274:17 311:8	281:24 293:7,11,21	111:7,9 113:6,7,17	spread 15:23
solved 90:12	303:24 308:23	113:23 114:21,23	spring 111:13 112:9
	309:6	115:3 121:16	172:20 302:13

[square - strategy] Page 370

		I	I
square 213:24 214:3	96:16 158:11	104:13 107:19	stepped 214:3
st 158:13	161:17 162:23	110:18 114:22	steps 92:6 134:19
stability 96:21	163:5,7,8,17 165:20	120:4 122:20	253:5 281:21
stable 96:18	169:11,12,13,15	124:24 137:15	stereotypes 155:20
staff 2:7,8 28:17	173:7,14 177:14	141:24 142:14	steven 269:14
44:11,25 45:7 88:12	184:16 189:8,11,14	161:2 162:4,19	stick 13:7 264:8
106:24 112:19	196:3 311:19	164:13 165:11,12	sticking 106:13
127:14 137:12	standing 82:16	176:4,6,6,15 186:5	149:21
138:16 157:13,20	215:13	189:18 205:3	stickler 106:19
203:21 247:16	standpoint 167:4	219:20 240:9	stifle 145:14
254:23	standpoints 135:15	244:13,15 246:23	stigmatize 119:23
staffing 165:22	stanford 38:9	252:8 256:23	stimulated 43:3
313:17	star 25:24	257:16 262:22	stimulating 90:15
stage 8:18 274:16	stark 15:17 166:22	286:14,14,18 306:4	stimulus 69:4
275:4	start 9:2 12:9 25:5	state's 164:4	stop 6:16 9:17 34:6
stages 255:19	43:15,23 66:24	stated 302:17	57:7 145:24 156:4
256:14	77:22 81:10 85:23	statement 46:4	189:23 199:12
stagnant 94:10	91:4 97:23 99:6	299:25	209:25 273:15
177:11	111:2 115:24 146:9	statements 72:5	311:6
stagnated 56:17,20	183:19,24 202:21	states 5:21 9:8 10:3	stopped 4:17 86:15
57:5	204:17 205:13	25:18,24 68:3 69:7	176:24,24
stagnating 54:19	211:17 232:10,11	69:8 92:23 141:13	stories 78:20 135:12
stagnation 47:22	234:10,16 271:14	142:2,15 155:24,25	135:14 156:25
48:13 56:25	290:15 311:12	161:5 166:3,6,20	225:5 251:11 265:3
stake 196:19	312:18,20	175:25 176:16	story 4:12 12:3 26:6
stakeholder 276:10	started 6:4 23:3	277:6	53:24 72:19 82:14
stakeholders 131:7	31:3,3 40:17,17	statistic 100:17	155:15 221:12
148:5 285:21 289:5	46:7 48:3 50:16	116:11 141:14	222:16 224:25
stakes 237:2,8	79:9,11 89:18 115:6	statistics 47:8 58:10	226:24 265:21
244:12	115:14 152:3	115:22 116:23	straight 83:5
stand 27:2 201:24	156:21 196:5 202:8	141:7 143:2 217:12	strain 257:11
213:21,24 217:22	214:21 264:24	217:16 218:5 253:2	strange 251:17
289:10 315:22	307:18	statute 23:19 33:13	strategic 44:9
316:18	starting 164:24	219:20,25	278:24 287:17
standard 10:6,19	171:12 253:4	statutes 7:2 11:9	strategies 160:12
22:2 30:2 32:18,23	282:18	30:15 33:16,21	178:25 179:4
33:8 38:3,5 117:2	starts 258:5 259:15	35:15	185:22,24,25 186:8
136:5 280:20	265:6 297:8	stay 91:14 179:24	186:23 266:7
standardized 20:20	state 5:5,11,15 6:5	205:5 214:4 218:13	275:21
100:23 161:8	9:16,19 10:13 11:3	stayed 9:15 42:10	strategy 68:16
standards 10:14	11:7 16:24 18:17,21	43:2 246:7	166:17 176:2 178:3
19:21 20:6,11,14,21	19:25 20:2,5 21:17	staying 156:10	178:6 181:11
21:2,7,12,22 23:3,6	22:23 30:11 39:6	179:25	186:14 187:14,22
23:7,10,13 24:3,23	69:20 84:3 85:8	stays 9:4	190:11,19 191:5
25:12 26:17 41:3	102:24 103:20,21		267:2 276:4 279:3

[street - sudden] Page 371

1 1 11 22 71 10	120 10 140 17 10	250 10 251 21	1
street 1:11,23 71:19	130:18 149:17,18	250:18 254:24	subject 2:17,23 13:3
230:18 231:12	152:10 155:24	259:16 264:9	179:7 194:4 203:10
305:18 307:3,5,6	178:25 190:4,8	267:21,24 268:2,20	204:15 205:16
streets 1:11 215:19	191:18 204:13	275:9 283:14	274:22 292:7
strength 51:13	220:24 222:18	302:14,16 303:13	subjects 90:19
strengthen 163:9	229:11 248:21,25	310:5 313:18	subsequent 6:12
201:13	254:25 255:2 287:9	students's 34:17	9:11
stretch 201:25	301:24 305:21,23	54:9	subsequently 279:5
206:22	307:10 310:22	studied 36:4 77:6	subsidy 153:21
strieb 89:14	students 10:18 11:6	235:21	substance 90:13
strikes 98:11	11:7 13:19 15:12,18	studies 41:3 57:23	297:21
striking 219:3	15:22 16:11,15 18:4	90:7 96:24 100:6	substantial 42:24
237:20	19:25 23:10 28:3	102:2 103:9 108:17	47:21 66:4
strong 16:19 29:6	36:6 42:15 44:2,22	108:22 110:18,18	substantially 49:9
44:16,17 45:24	45:16 46:2 47:5,10	166:14 189:12	49:15 50:3 59:12,16
48:12 49:6,17,18,22	52:18 55:19 58:24	204:17	60:4,24 63:18 64:21
50:2,7,9,21 51:21	62:8 72:12 78:4	study 21:11 43:4,22	84:22
53:18 59:19,21,25	102:16 103:5	46:5 47:7 54:7	substantive 283:22
60:2,5,10,23 64:4	107:25 108:20,25	57:24 58:3,5,25	288:24
64:12,15 92:20	109:5,12,16,23	75:4 79:13 86:4	suburban 109:3
184:20 313:16	110:8,18,21 111:3	89:8 92:4 94:6	126:6,25 127:14,25
stronger 53:7,8	111:24 113:12,17	95:15,20 99:16,17	128:4,9 129:14,18
109:20 188:17	114:10,23 116:16	101:3,20 102:4	130:8 166:10
305:2	116:23 117:4,6,9,20	103:7,7,22 110:17	suburbs 129:10
strongest 133:3	118:3 120:9,12,12	110:17 132:23,24	139:9 184:10 191:4
strongly 268:7	120:18,20 121:9,11	156:12 237:16	succeed 26:7 173:24
struck 218:5,7	121:14,21 124:11	257:16 258:5	245:9
239:12 261:24	124:19 129:2 131:4	272:23,24	succeeded 64:14
267:7 302:5	131:18,21 132:20	studying 139:7	190:13
structural 166:18	137:5,6 138:22	217:17 237:19	success 71:15 76:9
structure 16:23	139:14 141:5,6,10	stuff 24:13 35:16	76:11 84:17 102:10
247:5,16,22 251:16	143:12,12 144:6	191:25 214:18	131:8 132:13
252:17 284:7	150:5 151:2,3	239:21,23,24,24	168:14 190:12
316:12	152:22,23 155:9,10	240:3 263:21	192:13 194:25
structures 13:13,16	161:6,10,12 166:7	264:13 298:13	successful 28:23
struggle 308:5	174:4 179:4 184:8	312:21	29:9 43:25 45:16
struggling 187:2	197:24 210:22	stunned 85:7 126:16	46:24 89:4 131:23
209:19 233:11	212:20,21 213:8	stunning 236:8	168:6 175:18 176:3
251:24,25	214:6 218:6,13	259:2	successfully 14:4
stuart 40:4	219:21 223:7 225:4	stupid 195:8 239:23	178:22 190:15
stuck 263:4	226:25 227:21,25	314:13	successive 168:13
student 12:4,7 18:13	231:6,11,12 235:11	styles 102:10	successor 180:19
23:11,14 39:6 47:3	235:18 238:21,22	subheader 207:12	succinct 293:19
48:2,4 70:3,3 96:5	238:24 240:24	subheadings 207:9	sudden 210:4
101:21 110:20	241:2 247:25	201.7	232:17
101.21 110.20	271.2 271.23		232.11

[sued - symposium] Page 372

sued 266:11	118:15 153:12	112:3,11 119:5	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1
suffering 85:4	155:15 159:21	141:7 151:14 152:2	15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1
273:10	166:6 171:2 173:16	221:7,12 223:25	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1
sufficient 22:18	173:20 184:25	224:3 225:15 236:5	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1
134:11 135:4	187:13 194:21	252:24 254:9 286:9	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1
140:19 216:24	199:15 242:6 259:9	286:20 295:3	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1
sufficiently 86:21	276:8 280:15 281:8	304:16 308:18,20	35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1
suggest 18:9 103:21	281:10 283:9 313:4	310:20,24 311:22	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1
157:7 201:24 301:7	313:9	314:14	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1
suggested 91:13	supported 28:12	surprise 163:15	47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1
suggesting 3:3	31:20 45:19 67:18	surprised 221:2	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1
suggestion 81:7	72:2 158:9	surprisingly 12:24	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1
182:4	supporter 154:22	surreptitiously	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1
suggests 36:17	supporters 174:25	200:3	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1
71:14	supporting 27:20	surround 106:2	67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1
suing 176:12,13	28:20 31:7,24 162:7	surrounded 11:21	71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1
266:12	166:19 184:19	151:11	75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1
suit 5:25 6:3 119:4	279:17 282:2,12	survey 61:7,11,18	79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1
suite 1:23	supportive 32:7	62:7,8 94:24 297:8	83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1
summarize 114:21	283:15	surveys 40:6 47:4	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1
258:20	supports 31:10 44:4	61:9 62:7	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1
summary 124:16	44:5 46:21 50:20	susceptible 181:19	95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1
summer 287:22	53:8,9,19 54:11	suspects 281:15	99:1 100:1 101:1
sunday 3:13	59:5,5,21 60:2,6,11	suspendable 248:11	102:1 103:1 104:1
super 76:14	60:23,24 61:3,13	suspended 179:25	105:1 106:1 107:1
superintendent 9:24	64:3,6,11,15,19	212:25 213:5 220:9	108:1 109:1 110:1
23:23 37:22,23	94:20,23 172:19	220:17 236:3	111:1 112:1 113:1
43:14 79:3 85:20	175:5 178:10	suspending 273:3	114:1 115:1 116:1
98:17 103:3 104:13	183:12,20 185:8,16	suspension 124:3	117:1 118:1 119:1
104:14 159:25	187:11 194:19	213:4 237:14 238:7	120:1 121:1 122:1
188:11 222:2	258:23,24 284:24	238:16 272:25	123:1 124:1 125:1
225:24	313:18	suspensions 236:10	126:1 127:1 128:1
superintendents	suppose 30:11	237:20 238:8,10	129:1 130:1 131:1
22:17,20 23:19 38:5	supposed 21:15	sustainability	132:1 133:1 134:1
76:22 85:14 93:24	214:20 216:13	181:19 313:15	135:1 136:1 137:1
160:10,22,23	223:14 240:19	sustainable 306:11	138:1 139:1 140:1
168:14	256:21 267:21	sustained 198:22	141:1 142:1 143:1
superman 3:9,14	suppressing 83:14	314:10	144:1 145:1 146:1
289:24 292:14	supreme 4:2,10,16	swayed 193:4	147:1 148:1 149:1
297:16,17	5:5 6:9,20,23 9:6	sweet 65:19	150:1 151:1 152:1
support 17:8 29:3	293:9	swept 197:13	153:1 154:1 155:1
31:25 32:12 33:2,10	sure 19:23 20:12	syllable 17:10	156:1 157:1 158:1
34:17 51:13 67:23	22:2,8 25:14 26:20	symposium 1:5 2:1	159:1 160:1 161:1
73:9 76:6 82:23	33:9 82:22 98:20	2:3,8,8 3:1 4:1 5:1	162:1 163:1 164:1
86:19 96:19 118:14	105:24 109:13	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	165:1 166:1 167:1

168:1 169:1 170:1 303:1 304:1 305:1 tables 310:4,10,17 205:14,16 207:5 171:1 172:1 173:1 306:1 307:1 308:1 tackle 255:21 208:20,21 211:24 174:1 175:1 176:1 309:1 310:1 311:1 tackle 255:21 208:20,21 211:24 177:1 178:1 179:1 312:1 313:1 314:1 tackle 4:21 11:25 229:3,24 230:7,13 180:1 181:1 182:1 315:1 316:1 17:23 19:13 22:5 233:14 235:3,14,24 183:1 184:1 185:1 symposiums 106:15 24:4 26:11,12 31:16 239:9 245:22 186:1 187:1 188:1 syndrome 111:11 43:6,7 50:14 65:9 249:19 253:11 189:1 190:1 191:1 synergistically 65:11 80:9 91:11 265:7 267:15 192:1 193:1 194:1 157:24 92:6 94:5 103:7 269:19 274:17 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:3 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1
174:1 175:1 176:1 309:1 310:1 311:1 tactics 218:23,25 217:12 219:14 177:1 178:1 179:1 312:1 313:1 314:1 312:1 313:1 314:1 take 4:21 11:25 229:3,24 230:7,13 180:1 181:1 182:1 315:1 316:1 17:23 19:13 22:5 233:14 235:3,14,24 183:1 184:1 185:1 symposiums 106:15 24:4 26:11,12 31:16 239:9 245:22 186:1 187:1 188:1 syndrome 111:11 43:6,7 50:14 65:9 249:19 253:11 189:1 190:1 191:1 synergistically 65:11 80:9 91:11 265:7 267:15 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 24:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10
177:1 178:1 179:1 312:1 313:1 314:1 take 4:21 11:25 229:3,24 230:7,13 180:1 181:1 182:1 315:1 316:1 17:23 19:13 22:5 233:14 235:3,14,24 183:1 184:1 185:1 symposiums 106:15 24:4 26:11,12 31:16 239:9 245:22 186:1 187:1 188:1 syndrome 111:11 43:6,7 50:14 65:9 249:19 253:11 189:1 190:1 191:1 synergistically 65:11 80:9 91:11 265:7 267:15 192:1 193:1 194:1 157:24 92:6 94:5 103:7 269:19 274:17 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 24:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 219:1 220:1 221:1 147
180:1 181:1 182:1 315:1 316:1 17:23 19:13 22:5 233:14 235:3,14,24 183:1 184:1 185:1 symposiums 106:15 24:4 26:11,12 31:16 239:9 245:22 186:1 187:1 188:1 syndrome 111:11 43:6,7 50:14 65:9 249:19 253:11 189:1 190:1 191:1 synergistically 65:11 80:9 91:11 265:7 267:15 192:1 193:1 194:1 157:24 92:6 94:5 103:7 269:19 274:17 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 1alked 26:23 157:3 <t< td=""></t<>
183:1 184:1 185:1 symposiums 106:15 24:4 26:11,12 31:16 239:9 245:22 186:1 187:1 188:1 syndrome 111:11 43:6,7 50:14 65:9 249:19 253:11 189:1 190:1 191:1 synergistically 65:11 80:9 91:11 265:7 267:15 192:1 193:1 194:1 157:24 92:6 94:5 103:7 269:19 274:17 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:3 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 214:3 221:7 235:9
186:1 187:1 188:1 syndrome 111:11 43:6,7 50:14 65:9 249:19 253:11 189:1 190:1 191:1 synergistically 65:11 80:9 91:11 265:7 267:15 192:1 193:1 194:1 157:24 92:6 94:5 103:7 269:19 274:17 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
189:1 190:1 191:1 synergistically 65:11 80:9 91:11 265:7 267:15 192:1 193:1 194:1 157:24 92:6 94:5 103:7 269:19 274:17 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
192:1 193:1 194:1 157:24 92:6 94:5 103:7 269:19 274:17 195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
195:1 196:1 197:1 synergize 106:18 104:6,18 106:5 275:5,12 279:21 198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
198:1 199:1 200:1 synopsis 107:16 117:7,12 118:2,3 282:17 283:2 284:5 201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
201:1 202:1 203:1 system 7:12 9:20 119:24,25 120:21 284:11 286:23 204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
204:1 205:1 206:1 11:4 19:17,20 34:13 152:12 153:18 289:7,17,19 290:7 207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
207:1 208:1 209:1 37:18 61:8 62:14 169:3 171:11 291:13 296:22 210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
210:1 211:1 212:1 74:6,9 92:8 94:19 180:13 186:13 297:3 298:16 213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
213:1 214:1 215:1 101:6,8,8,9 102:21 194:18 200:15 300:12 302:14,18 216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
216:1 217:1 218:1 132:7 143:16 201:25 213:16 303:8 307:23 312:6 219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
219:1 220:1 221:1 147:24 154:11,11 214:18 215:15 312:10 222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
222:1 223:1 224:1 162:17 163:12 231:4 241:8 245:18 talked 26:23 157:3 225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
225:1 226:1 227:1 164:8,19 165:3 263:3 280:25 214:3 221:7 235:9 228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
228:1 229:1 230:1 166:15 169:3,11 282:11 293:11 278:12 282:13
231:1 232:1 233:1
234:1 235:1 236:1
237:1 238:1 239:1 173:10 175:5 178:3 315:8 talking 23:6 25:5,6
240:1 241:1 242:1 179:5 181:20,23 taken 69:12 79:24 39:17 83:21 87:10
243:1 244:1 245:1
246:1 247:1 248:1 187:24 196:21 274:7 167:11 168:2
249:1 250:1 251:1 197:2 225:5 234:15 takeout 61:21 171:10 183:9,10
252:1 253:1 254:1 239:2 256:6 257:11 takeover 74:19 193:19 201:11
255:1 256:1 257:1 269:24 276:18 takes 43:24 47:18 224:12 227:6
258:1 259:1 260:1 280:9 97:24 123:6,6 231:10 235:14,16
261:1 262:1 263:1 systematically 149:18 181:21 239:4 241:22 247:9
264:1 265:1 266:1 134:22 135:7 292:13 295:5 254:12 258:16
267:1 268:1 269:1 systemic 92:15 304:22 283:19 286:5
270:1 271:1 272:1 136:10 talbert 172:14 296:20,20,21
273:1 274:1 275:1 systems 33:2 101:17 talented 40:2 297:20 301:17
276:1 277:1 278:1
279:1 280:1 281:1 164:20 169:6 34:4 36:12 45:13,23 talks 32:23 33:3
282:1 283:1 284:1 171:13 183:10 48:24 51:7 54:8 94:6 208:2 265:22
285:1 286:1 287:1 198:8,13 259:19 66:22 83:10 95:6 tap 8:25 245:5
288:1 289:1 290:1 306:12 105:25 106:16 taped 213:25
291:1 292:1 293:1 t 116:5 146:18 target 213:12
294:1 295:1 296:1 table 39:9 289:3,5 158:22 160:19 242:17 255:19
297:1 298:1 299:1 308:17 310:19 25 161:22 170:23 256:14 286:25
300:1 301:1 302:1 195:12 204:14,18

targeting 237:5	25:20 27:25 28:16	technology 169:14	tends 58:12
task 11:18 86:7	28:24 33:3 43:17	169:25 184:18	tennessee 25:24
129:21 165:3 168:3	44:11,17 47:5 62:5	240:25	tens 6:8
171:13 198:17	62:8 69:17 70:10,10	teen 208:15,16	tensions 17:14 176:5
203:18 225:22,23	70:12 72:8,8 87:2	234:4	tenth 92:24
253:18	88:17 96:15 97:15	teens 240:22	tenure 146:25
tasks 46:13		telford 39:10	term 86:7 117:10
	98:13,17 102:14		
taught 21:5 69:21	114:4,8 119:13	tell 11:9,11 12:3	183:2 185:3 196:9
69:22 86:6 131:24	131:2,5,10,16,18,21	29:22 30:18 63:7,14	196:12,14
237:12 246:9	133:19 134:4 135:6	73:2 78:20 79:22	terms 14:3 16:20
259:16 302:4	136:4,7 137:25	80:14,19 83:4,6,16	17:17 28:19 66:20
tax 192:9 277:9	144:5 145:5,11,12	91:16 106:12	75:4 83:3,18,23
taxi 72:22	145:18 146:15,23	116:10 118:19	105:3 117:2 121:8
teach 22:19 72:7,8,8	147:11 148:2,21	123:19 126:24	125:15 129:25
72:10 80:4 92:21	155:4,16 160:11	135:12 159:17	137:4,15 138:16,22
95:17 97:15 132:4	163:21,21 164:16	168:20,24 182:12	141:12,15,17,21
139:8 143:9 144:6	169:15 173:4 184:8	182:20 192:18	142:11,21 143:10
146:11 148:21,25	184:21,21 191:8	195:4 202:16 210:8	143:11 149:14
149:2 153:2 169:13	192:4 197:25 213:9	217:24 238:19	151:2 154:15
237:11 244:17	214:22 216:18	243:8 244:3 246:5	155:22 172:18
263:21,21,22	261:10 283:13	254:16 265:3	206:8 216:22
264:10 297:9 298:4	288:10 302:22	275:23 279:10	231:16 234:17
298:14	304:16 305:25	280:19 298:4	244:5,7 250:18
teacher 24:18 27:2	306:15 309:13	telling 28:16 35:15	278:6 280:3 301:15
36:13,22 37:19	311:18 312:5	64:24 218:3 274:4	311:23
41:20 43:25 67:2	teachers's 51:25	311:13	terrible 82:3 90:7
68:5 69:22 70:2	75:20 101:22	tells 205:4 233:22	204:21 223:20
72:15 73:20 77:22	teaching 27:8,15,19	temple 150:19	224:9 246:25 253:2
96:19 98:15 120:11	28:2 39:5,7,24	ten 4:13 22:14 37:25	253:3 271:10
120:15 124:3	44:19 62:6 89:17	50:22 77:2 101:20	terribly 9:5
132:11 139:7,9,11	102:8,11 127:10	103:23 117:23	terrific 21:7 103:16
139:16 144:10,23	144:18,24 147:16	121:2 154:14	194:13 276:6
144:24 145:8,22	153:11 155:9 156:5	162:21 198:23,24	teske 269:14
146:7 148:22	164:12 173:3,6	207:23 218:15	test 40:7 47:3 48:5
154:20 163:18,25	184:19 271:15	231:24,25 234:15	69:21 78:11 79:4
171:3 172:11 180:3	286:8,10 287:14	236:9 243:10	82:9 83:22 84:5,9
180:4 181:13	288:8 303:18 304:8	280:20 281:13,21	84:15 94:3 99:22
184:20,25 190:5	team 137:11	281:25	101:4,12,15 102:5,6
191:17 237:11	teamwork 45:10	tend 14:22 27:18	105:10 141:23
280:18 297:12	tears 298:15	29:16,17 128:6	236:23 237:10
306:25	technical 159:23	142:10 247:14	241:15 261:15
teacher's 46:17	166:25 167:4	290:19	tested 133:11 215:8
120:17 133:22	technique 18:2,3	tended 51:5	241:5
teachers 17:7 20:16	techniques 18:23	tendency 239:5	testimony 222:9
20:16 22:19 25:17	20:18		266:25

[testing - thinking] Page 375

testing 14:25 20:20	61:10 64:7 66:25	261:16 262:23	140:20 141:25
68:4 69:20 136:23	70:7 71:2 74:2 76:2	263:5,20 264:10	144:8,19 145:10
237:2 244:12	84:7 91:13 98:3	266:19 275:24	146:13 147:12,24
255:25 293:21	101:14 104:7	277:19 278:12	148:8,22 149:24
311:20	112:20 123:4	283:8 284:8 285:7	152:21 153:4
tests 15:8 20:10	125:10,12,25	288:4,25 297:13	154:15 155:15,18
69:16 70:3 100:23	126:24 129:8 133:8	298:16 299:16	156:3,4,14 160:18
161:8 168:8 215:8	145:3 148:22	304:15,21 305:16	164:23 165:5
293:20	156:19 162:22	304:13,21 303:10	169:21 172:16
texas 141:21,23	198:6 215:7 221:9	307:24 309:9,12	175:4 179:9 180:24
142:9	224:24 236:4,11	310:7 311:17	182:21 185:19
thank 7:16 8:6,14	237:21 238:18,23	think 10:5,12,17	191:22,23 195:18
8:17 34:21 64:16	256:17 257:14	11:19 13:3,20,21	196:8 204:5 209:10
107:2 108:15 110:4	260:2,13 269:12	16:7 17:3 21:23	210:9 217:14
111:5 121:4,6,7	270:16 272:21	23:5 24:21 25:5	220:11 224:4
124:13 130:8,11	281:3 290:10	27:7,12,18 28:11	228:11 230:2 234:8
136:11 138:20	293:13 302:2,5,6	29:10,16,17 31:22	234:22 239:11
141:2 154:18 156:4	303:14 309:22	32:2,17 33:25 34:5	240:7 242:2 247:14
156:5 157:9 159:3,3	things 15:6 16:6,10	34:20,24 35:3,19	247:19,20 250:2
194:10,12 201:21	19:15 20:6,8,16	37:14,17 43:5 49:10	251:18 253:18
202:20 217:10	21:24 24:12 28:6,18	51:10,15 53:20	254:8 258:20
264:18,19 273:22	65:14 67:6 69:6,15	54:24 57:16 64:7,20	259:22,25 264:24
282:21 307:2,7	70:20 80:19 81:15	65:3 66:23 75:25	265:7 269:11
316:13,16,17	83:5 90:17 93:2,4	76:5 77:23 79:24	270:16 271:10,23
thanks 91:6 107:4	93:21 95:9 96:6,22	83:21,24 84:25 85:4	272:4,12 273:13,19
157:18,19 224:11	97:3 99:15 106:11	85:5 86:4 87:11,18	274:20 276:6,9,19
267:3 275:11	125:19 131:17	89:6,8,9 90:5,11,11	280:2 282:23 283:4
theater 111:18,19	133:13 135:3 136:6	90:21 91:11 92:4,14	284:4 285:6 287:4
theoretically 220:22	136:19 140:5,10	94:5,15 95:11 97:2	288:4,13,14 290:10
theories 171:14	149:10,22 156:8	97:6 98:3,4,4,8,9,10	290:19 291:10
theory 1:3 3:19	164:22 169:3 170:4	98:12,25 99:2,16	292:7 293:13,23
10:15 163:6 171:16	189:7,22 194:19	101:3,16,24 103:13	294:2,8 295:13,18
therapist 108:13	201:11 207:20	104:4,22 105:5	295:19,20,24
theresa 107:14	210:2 217:21	112:2,10 113:2	296:19 297:13
108:16 165:7	218:10,16,21 219:2	114:20 117:10	299:7,7,10,10,18,19
166:13 177:21	219:7 220:10,13,14	119:18 120:7,23	299:21,22,25 300:2
190:22	220:15 223:16,18	121:2,7,18 122:10	300:3,6,13,13,22
thick 196:4	226:15 227:15	124:23 128:8,23	301:2,17 302:2,21
thicket 9:14	228:8 235:5,8	129:8,16,21 132:10	303:3,5,6,15 304:4
thin 70:15	236:13,18 237:21	132:11,13,22 133:8	305:3 307:24,25
thing 2:25 8:2 13:19	238:6,11,12,12,14	133:13,14,16,21,21	308:7,20,22 309:20
13:20 19:4 21:6	240:17,23 247:7,19	133:24 134:7,11	310:3 311:22
23:7,20 26:24 32:2	249:17 251:17	135:11,21,24 136:7	313:21 315:8,19
39:15 45:22 53:12	254:16 258:11	137:14 138:16	thinking 14:9 53:13
54:2 56:9 59:16	259:23 260:8,23	139:3,4 140:3,5,9,9	73:13,14 74:4 76:12

[thinking - town] Page 376

77:5 114:12 149:14	threw 216:18	256:13 261:10	223:8 224:5,8,13
182:12 212:4	throw 71:18 185:6	263:10,14,17 269:4	226:20 227:10
228:13,14 277:2	257:21 301:15	274:12,13 286:15	228:9,25 229:2,7,25
280:22 299:4	throwing 213:8	288:17 291:8 306:2	236:24 281:18
309:23	thrown 2:24	306:5,8 309:6 316:6	284:23
thinks 178:3	thrust 91:21	316:10,15	tolerances 265:16
third 2:3 15:12	thursday 1:8 291:6	timeframe 167:17	tom 60:12 76:20
55:15 119:20	tide 242:10	timelines 161:17	103:3
127:17 153:9 208:9	tie 69:16,20 239:4	times 26:6 34:11	tomorrow 82:20
233:25 234:4	315:4	49:20,21 50:10,22	ton 245:11
256:17 277:7 308:4	tied 124:8 309:18	57:20 82:4 86:16	tone 271:10
thirds 234:5,23	ties 39:9 44:16,17	122:4,6 123:22	tonight 6:7 9:4
thorough 5:15 9:20	70:2 183:21	131:19 145:2,22	tony 39:20,21 76:19
10:8,20	tight 316:15	202:10 209:5	81:20,23 305:10
thoroughly 263:22	tilted 31:6	218:15 222:4	tool 130:5 291:21
thoroughness	time 7:19 8:3 13:3	233:14 251:24	292:4
134:24	17:20 23:21 27:23	263:2,10 295:8	tools 155:6 275:7,9
thought 8:18 24:7	28:9 30:14 34:6	296:23	275:12 279:20,20
75:5 76:23 79:13	40:17 44:6 45:19	timing 2:17 94:23	279:23 280:4,17
88:9 103:13 136:17	47:24 49:7 50:16,17	tip 231:15	281:3 282:14
195:8 213:11	50:18 51:3,8 52:8,9	tipping 136:8	284:13
266:22 309:2	52:12 53:3,9 54:25	tips 282:4	top 9:3,18 42:16,17
thoughtful 293:6	56:15 60:12 61:11	tired 297:15	51:23,23 52:22
thoughts 94:8	62:13 69:25 71:15	title 2:16 35:24	67:17 68:22,23 69:2
153:19 228:5	72:7 73:3,25 74:3	36:21 37:14	69:3,7,15,19 75:12
291:15	75:3 83:20 85:14	titles 139:12	147:7 166:3 172:6
thousand 257:23	86:24 88:4 93:18	today 2:20 4:22 5:17	198:2 253:5 254:16
thousands 235:18	95:2 97:4,11,16,20	7:6,10 8:20 11:12	261:4
three 5:21 17:10,22	97:24,25 101:19	39:17 98:5 110:24	topic 4:23 107:11,17
34:25 50:10,18,21	104:5 106:20 107:6	157:19,25 158:22	203:4 205:7 208:19
50:23 51:4,7 52:13	107:9 108:2,12	172:24 180:16	topics 226:9 292:2
52:22 53:19 55:9	109:14 114:14,22	191:6 203:9 204:13	torch 35:3 37:11,12
66:12 72:14,25	120:12 129:3 152:9	205:9 212:8 253:20	37:17,24 65:18
76:16 92:23 103:15	154:14 159:18	276:5 283:20 293:4	95:13 104:15,17
107:13 126:15	160:9,17 167:9,13	293:8,8 297:24	166:15 170:15
142:5 146:20	167:14 171:5 177:9	298:6 310:25	172:15
167:13,20 171:14	178:14 181:7,21	311:14	tort 22:3
174:10 175:7,13	184:6,22 194:13,18	told 9:16 25:2 53:2	totally 82:6 114:14
185:5 192:19	195:12 197:2,4,11	126:21 127:15	115:19 145:18
196:16,18 202:13	198:16 202:12,18	128:3 179:12 249:5	touch 22:24 33:25
253:5 255:13,23	210:14 211:8,19	265:24	touched 203:5
256:5,11 274:5	215:3 216:2 221:22	tolerance 31:7	tough 11:20
276:19 304:15	223:2 231:7,11	217:19 219:10,15	town 126:23 127:2
305:5 311:17	241:11 246:14	219:19 221:16,17	128:17 179:17
313:14,20 315:11	251:11 253:16	222:23,24 223:2,4,5	181:9,10 187:18

[town - u.k.] Page 377

188:10,19	tremendous 42:15	truth 3:8	tutoring 277:11
township 39:10	trend 57:6 76:13	try 12:2 15:2 18:23	279:15 283:10
track 259:2	236:8	33:23 41:2,7,10,23	twelve 231:3
traction 174:7	trenton 9:24 37:23	55:3 106:20 107:8	twice 160:24
tradition 83:8	trial 4:5	110:5 185:6 197:5	two 3:14 19:16
traditional 13:25	triangulars 116:25	202:18 206:10	25:15 31:4 36:9
183:11 242:20	tried 6:17 26:7	234:20 240:11	38:2,4,17 42:2
247:17 249:4	132:23	242:16 258:19,20	43:10 47:22 50:17
traditionally 220:10	tries 145:6	260:17 275:18	51:4 52:4 60:15,17
train 268:20	triggered 229:8	276:2 277:19	60:18 72:14 73:16
trained 33:3 146:7	trimmers 220:11	283:24 288:14	83:25 97:2 106:5
262:12 267:18	trip 73:3	297:9 303:21	112:6,7 113:3,5
268:16 270:20	tripled 236:10	trying 18:18 19:7	117:19 122:23
training 41:20	trouble 123:25	23:3,17,21 26:20	127:13 128:17
108:13 155:6,18,19	208:23 209:3	33:9 43:7 87:10,12	133:11 136:16
261:24 268:21,23	233:17	143:14 183:11	137:19 150:15
269:2 270:9 305:15	troublemaker	206:3 211:21	152:8 154:23
307:17 312:7	106:21	228:17 240:25	155:12 156:22
trains 202:18	troubles 84:7	242:10 245:10	168:13 170:23
trajectory 42:20	truancy 18:10	267:6 269:22	192:19 202:13
transcript 243:4	238:11 248:9	286:19 287:2 294:7	204:17 206:17
transfer 223:23	truant 211:18	295:3 298:4 299:10	216:5 222:24
249:6	truants 238:17	299:23 301:4,5	230:15 234:5,23
transferred 145:2	truck 279:12	304:18	240:23 252:13
220:9	true 71:3 78:6,7,12	tub 246:14	253:5 254:18
transformation	79:23 80:13 85:20	tuesday 224:23	258:15,21 268:12
28:15 164:18	85:21,23 199:3	tune 120:14	269:9 271:23
transition 111:19	236:11 285:8 300:5	tunnel 87:4,4	272:19 273:17
transitional 316:12	truly 55:12 56:7,10	turn 30:2 32:5 38:12	274:15,22 275:13
transitioning	56:18 57:3 229:9	66:12 156:17	275:20,20 279:20
302:15	trust 36:9 37:5	157:12 164:10	279:23 285:2
translate 1:3 277:22	51:11 52:8,12,25	215:23 262:15	296:18 304:14
transparency 69:23	67:5 70:9,9,10,11	269:6 279:20	305:21 306:3
transportation	70:13,17 71:11,22	288:21	313:25 316:7
186:20	72:12,13,16 83:2	turnaround 164:18	type 218:16,19
trapped 214:20	84:24 85:9,10,15,20	166:16 222:17	238:18 308:11
trash 228:12	86:3,17,18 92:2,16	turnarounds 34:18	types 228:23 297:5
traumatic 123:17	93:16,18 94:12,12	turned 4:16 20:17	typical 111:21
treat 7:11 37:10	94:14 95:11 97:18	147:10 216:15	119:24 120:10
treated 95:24	170:6 312:12	turning 166:7	typically 182:19
treats 86:11	trusted 79:10 83:2,3	199:21 202:14	283:16
tredyffrin 39:7	trustful 84:25	229:20 274:8	u
tree 78:22	trusting 51:11,17	turnover 70:21 71:4	u.k. 169:7 185:11
trees 78:21	52:20	71:12 124:4 174:10	

[u.s. - vision] Page 378

u.s. 105:7 142:13	unequal 109:7 126:4	111.17 150.10 21	valid 40:6
301:21	126:9 128:11	111:17 150:19,21 157:15 158:4	valu 40.0 vallas 74:17,23
ucla 237:18		237:19	valuable 309:22
	129:14 198:3		
ultimately 27:13	unexcused 17:23	unpacking 30:25	value 47:25 50:19
28:19 122:23	unfamiliar 233:15	unquote 29:23	53:10 98:8 309:10
244:11	unfathomable	unresolved 10:21	values 87:23,25 88:2
umar 107:13 109:10	169:10	upcoming 314:20	109:16 171:8
121:10 124:13	unfortunate 45:12	updated 251:14	281:18
130:23 141:8	unfortunately 73:23	upper 109:6 127:8	variation 42:15
148:20 151:4	74:24 121:20 139:8	upsetting 262:6	varies 295:12
unable 17:2	145:5 152:5 154:11	urban 2:10 25:25	variety 70:4 270:24
unclear 74:14	169:4 246:19 252:2	41:11,14,18 45:13	various 95:9 126:2
underachievers	259:6	57:15 66:4,7 109:4	227:24 278:5,16
135:9	unidentified 63:5,11	126:5 128:8,9	303:22
underdevelops	99:10 125:7 136:14	129:14,20 152:24	vast 232:20
179:6	150:4,15 153:17	152:24 160:10	vehicle 291:11
underestimate	154:18 197:7,12,18	166:9 171:13 176:7	venue 305:14
312:25	199:2,16 246:16	198:14,17	verbally 267:24
underlying 29:11	264:21 267:5	urgency 167:19	veritext 1:22
undermine 51:5	295:17 298:24	195:10,20 197:14	versa 156:2
58:12	315:23	urging 92:13	verses 17:14
undermines 181:18	uniform 95:25	usa 293:8	versus 48:12
underneath 68:7	uniforms 137:11	use 10:10 14:22 18:2	vested 149:9
underresourced	215:12	18:4,22 95:11 96:13	vice 46:16 155:25
313:2	uninformed 165:13	102:21 107:22,23	274:25
understand 9:9 17:2	union 39:10 46:17	115:13 118:25	victim 227:17
17:10 21:24 23:4	92:23 144:20	130:20 163:19	victory 6:11
43:8,10 68:20 91:18	145:13 155:23	164:9 165:21	videos 298:12
91:20 105:7,21	181:13 192:5	176:18,18 179:2,4	view 51:17 69:10
107:6 121:7 160:14	212:12 288:11	183:2 195:18	167:3 176:25
186:25 190:16	293:20 305:23	234:20 235:7 236:5	177:20,20,21
195:16 196:9 230:9	union's 304:18	258:5 270:7 291:25	178:22 214:15
232:4 240:11 263:5	unions 25:21 145:10	292:4	310:14,17
264:17 276:16	145:20 146:14	useful 33:9 94:16	views 37:8 166:25
278:7 281:9 296:19	155:25 160:11	uses 1:3 221:5	violate 311:12
296:22	unique 68:23 164:18	usual 136:6 149:8	violence 203:16
understanding 21:4	301:8	usually 159:7	219:16 224:9
47:18 196:10	united 9:8 277:5	170:22 251:23	violent 220:16 223:7
266:20 278:15	290:22,23 305:12	utilizing 32:24	virtually 86:12
290:4	310:24 311:2	v	87:19
understands 311:24	unites 300:2	v 5:14 110:12	virtue 131:8 141:22
underwritten 96:25	units 20:18	vacations 185:15	visceral 273:18
unemployment	universities 161:15	vague 265:8	vision 1:1 87:4
307:21	university 36:2,4,15	14540 203.0	112:24 189:18,18
	37:13 41:4,14,22		

[visionary - website] Page 379

visionary 36:16,20	want 5:7 7:15,16,23	271:11 284:10	206:7 225:20
visit 129:3 170:4	11:11 20:16,23 35:3	288:12 303:14	229:10 244:17
316:3	38:25 56:2 57:9	wants 81:17 123:13	245:18 257:4
visiting 88:7	66:22 67:2,2,3,4,7	188:5 244:21	268:24 270:14
visits 226:14 306:4	82:19,21 86:22,25	warm 205:20	272:19 284:20
vocabulary 78:14	87:19,21 88:2 89:13	warned 82:18	293:6,14,19 296:21
78:19 90:18	92:15 93:8 94:4,15	warning 73:4 234:6	298:4,14 300:14
voice 227:3 291:14	95:14 98:9 99:19	warped 162:16	304:5 308:13
305:2	104:17,19,21	warren 158:2,24	ways 18:19 32:6
voices 25:8 265:13	112:20 119:4 123:2	201:21 276:6	90:4 94:8 98:2
voiding 181:20	124:20,22 125:20	278:12 285:7	109:4 126:3 128:10
voila 68:18	125:25 126:4,24	289:17 293:17	129:2 154:10
volition 206:16	130:12 131:23	299:5 310:12 311:6	220:16 226:22
voluntary 162:25	136:13 137:10	312:23 314:15	265:8 270:15
vote 69:10 277:3	139:14 142:22,24	warren's 299:13	278:16 283:15
280:14,22,25	144:12 155:12,17	305:3	309:19
voters 276:13	167:6 169:5 182:20	washington 70:19	we've 12:4 16:17
voting 92:22	183:2 188:2,3,19	159:12 160:13	18:5,15 33:18 34:19
vouchers 13:2	193:23 194:9 200:6	169:22 177:18	45:21 79:21 99:16
vulcan 115:15	200:8 202:22	313:24	113:4 115:3,8 134:8
vulnerable 147:23	204:16 206:16	wasted 232:15 256:5	143:5,6 175:14
194:4 315:19	217:12 234:19	watch 67:8	185:5 186:14
W	243:2,5,23 250:2	watched 246:11,14	188:22,23 189:8
wait 62:20 195:4	252:22,24 254:15	watching 3:8	200:21 203:6
289:23	257:20 264:23	wave 77:14,16,17	209:13 219:17
waiting 3:8,14 10:23	271:25 274:13,16	way 14:16 19:22	228:9 235:10
215:13 289:24	274:17,17 283:17	20:9 26:14 27:3	245:20 247:2
292:14 297:15,16	285:3 286:17	31:6 33:4 34:9	249:11 252:25
waits 221:21	288:20 289:10	40:21,22,25 45:22	261:8 266:24
wake 232:17	293:15 304:9,9	47:3 51:18 56:7,11	274:12 276:5 281:9
waking 86:9	307:11 309:24,24	57:8 58:18 64:13,20	281:12 282:13
walk 35:9 134:15	312:15,20 313:15	66:8 71:22 72:21	297:20,25 308:22
150:3 206:21	313:16,18 315:23	77:21 79:4,8 80:5	309:11 312:7 316:2
213:16 214:19	316:5	80:22 86:10,20 90:7	weak 16:23 49:6,12
walked 129:5	wanted 10:10 22:2,8	91:15 92:17 95:7,7	50:4,7,11,23 51:3,5
220:24	39:15 57:10 73:2	101:24 107:12	59:7,18 64:2
walking 76:7	86:22 88:17 100:20	111:2,8,10 112:25	weakened 190:24
walks 24:9,15	115:10,19 119:2	114:8 115:9 119:5	weakest 155:25
walks 24.9,15 wallace 95:15,19	121:10 124:14	121:3 130:4,5,8	weakly 49:13
96:9,24	125:11 154:19	136:2 149:10	weapon 219:22
walt 270:25	155:13 199:17	164:21 168:6,15	weapons 219:23
walter 8:10	212:14 215:21,21	172:10 177:10	220:11 223:8
wanamaker 265:23	216:2 226:3 236:4	178:11 179:21	website 95:19
manumusei 203.23	238:23 239:17	181:13 195:3,13	280:10,16 281:22
	240:3 262:17 264:5	197:15 199:12	291:22 306:20,24

[websites - writing] Page 380

websites 292:23	286:14	237:25 299:24	workers 218:20
week 2:21 3:15 30:7	widened 177:12	312:20	working 19:7 38:7
226:2 245:24 252:6	wife 3:11,11	wordy 252:21	41:9 51:20 57:21
252:12 266:11	williams 204:13	work 3:21 16:18	64:23 85:19 86:23
268:12 280:11	212:7,10,11 239:17	17:17,18 23:15 24:2	101:7 186:15 190:5
293:3 314:4	254:18,21 255:10	27:7 28:11 30:20	213:6 222:18 229:7
weeks 268:13	262:17,20 267:14	32:15 35:7 36:20	229:22,25 234:10
welcome 2:2,2,3,9	willie 172:14	43:12,13,17 51:24	245:12 265:12,15
11:23 158:24	willing 196:11,11	52:11,17,17 57:19	273:11 275:18,22
257:12 305:17	289:9 300:18,24	58:13 65:25 66:4,23	276:2 288:25 289:2
306:5,19	303:8	70:8 72:16 77:7	306:7
went 4:6 6:17 19:10	windows 126:18	90:9,11 92:19 100:5	workings 43:9
22:13 28:2 48:4	wisdom 91:9	100:7,18 101:21	works 34:5 35:14
79:4,8 80:5 81:2	wish 74:2 77:4	105:4 109:19 114:3	72:16 109:12
100:9 112:8 117:23	258:9 300:16	123:6 126:12,14	132:12 153:10
120:10 126:16	wished 89:2 118:21	133:24 134:2,14	workshops 297:8
129:4 150:18 152:4	wishes 262:14	135:2 147:2 148:6	world 20:7 72:7
152:8 190:23,25	withdrew 243:9	154:12 160:3,6,7,9	88:4 89:12 91:2
195:16 212:17,25	witness 227:17	162:3 167:19 171:5	99:25 100:3 104:2
213:13 214:7,25	witnesses 212:23	171:20 174:25	119:24 135:12
216:5,22 217:4	woman 127:16	178:22 179:14	157:23 168:17
221:2 223:5 242:22	146:17	181:6,16 182:24	178:22 180:23
261:23 298:21	women 127:13	184:22 186:19,24	189:12,13 197:17
302:8 308:24	won 4:8 6:19,19	189:24 197:2	197:21 232:10
west 54:21 111:17	wonder 120:19	198:24 199:19	258:17 262:4
188:2 213:14	234:16 257:7	203:24 215:6	271:14 286:6
262:10	272:18	216:19 217:17	310:14
western 245:25	wonderful 7:21 92:4	218:23 219:2 221:6	worlds 46:11 180:22
246:2	106:24 154:7 162:9	224:17 229:11	worried 47:17 81:22
whatnot 208:21	179:21 194:17	236:18 242:5,7	worry 82:16 105:11
whatsoever 206:19	201:17	244:17,17 262:8	204:22 217:6
whereon 132:23	wondering 94:7	265:9 268:24	299:23
white 125:15,24	139:17 141:8	269:20 272:4	worse 42:11 117:16
133:3,7,9 141:22	289:14	273:11 288:7 289:2	141:12 142:2,7
142:11 149:5	wood 78:21	289:3 291:7,10	152:3 177:16 273:7
176:23 228:10	wooden 24:16	295:10 296:2,4	worst 42:7,20
233:8 265:20 314:4	word 10:9 70:22	297:19 301:9 309:4	117:15 124:7
whitman 270:25	83:13 84:24 90:16	311:23 312:2 314:9	178:13
who've 239:13	90:20 147:5 162:9	314:18	wrap 33:24
wholeheartedly	183:3 186:9 293:21	worked 25:9 36:2	wraparound 313:18
303:20	297:22 298:20	78:6 79:18 85:22	write 140:2
wholly 105:3	worded 288:19	128:18 174:9 183:6	writing 5:7 57:14
wide 96:17 143:20	words 17:10,11	187:4 296:17	90:18 139:22
158:10 172:5	47:21 78:18 90:22	304:21	140:13 312:19
233:12 247:6	220:20 236:12		

[written - zeros] Page 381

written 35:20 36:9	232:15 233:19	93:9,10 102:23	228:8,25 229:2,7,25
39:18 66:5 89:15	240:20 243:3	103:14 124:8	236:24 257:2,5
156:23 277:15	255:24 257:5	165:16 169:9	265:15 284:23
wrong 82:11 83:13	264:25,25 280:23	174:14,14 175:15	zeros 180:8
83:17,17 93:2,4,5	287:3,15,22	178:14 191:16	
119:6 147:19,21,22	years 4:14 6:4,24	201:8 240:10	
200:20 207:17	8:11 19:13,15,15,16	270:25 271:3 300:9	
228:18 249:2	21:11,25 22:14	313:6 314:24	
252:15 289:25	32:18 39:4,21,22	yorker 75:14	
wrongfully 130:18	40:17 42:8 43:12,20	young 108:21	
wrote 82:14	46:4 48:7 51:4	111:11,14 112:5,6	
www.philasd.org	52:13 53:4 57:15	113:2 114:18	
226:5	72:14 73:16 74:8,8	117:22 206:2,15,19	
www.unitedaction	74:8 77:2 78:5 79:2	206:23,25 207:5,10	
306:20	79:25 84:20,20 85:9	207:14,18,21,22	
y	90:8 91:3 101:20	208:3,8,10,12,23	
y 298:25	103:23 110:11	209:2 210:9,12	
y'all 137:13 212:7	115:2,6,22 116:6,21	212:2 229:5 230:3	
yac 218:14	117:7,12,24 118:5,6	230:21,23 231:3,25	
yang 20:13	118:16,23 119:8	232:17,20 233:3	
yeah 14:11 72:24	126:11 128:17,24	234:8,14 240:16	
197:12 200:23	147:10 154:14,21	241:5,24 242:3,21	
267:14 269:3,10	160:5 165:8 167:20	243:16 244:4 245:6	
year 7:8,17,23 8:4	167:25 168:6,23	245:7 250:17 254:6	
10:16 18:11 32:22	170:23 174:15	255:19 256:2,15	
32:22 40:9,10 42:18	176:12,12 181:7	257:2,6,12,17,18	
42:21 46:3 48:5,6	191:2,10,20 192:19	265:3,5,12,14	
49:15 52:22 71:2	193:12 206:17	266:14,24 302:5	
72:15 78:11,11,11	212:13 216:5	306:12 309:6	
78:24 80:19 82:7	224:16 236:9	youth 217:16 235:15	
91:4 111:13 113:6	237:12 242:5	247:12 259:12	
114:19 126:25	243:14 247:2 255:3	264:24 284:18	
127:5 133:2,6 136:5	255:3 256:5,11,13	305:22 306:14	
146:20,20 147:8,11	264:3,4 266:4	311:2	
151:18 155:9	271:15 272:10	youth's 306:15	
160:24 167:13	278:4 296:17,18,18	yu 203:12 224:11	
179:14 185:5	298:5,9	253:9	
196:16,18 203:16	yellow 49:10	Z	
206:13 212:18	yesterday 39:11	zero 31:7 81:14	
216:8,9,14,20,22	yesterday's 26:6	217:18 219:10,15	
219:22 223:9	yin 20:13	219:19 221:16,16	
224:22 228:15,16	york 36:15,23 73:15	222:23,24,25 223:4	
230:23 231:2,11,15	75:7,14 77:20,22	223:4,8 224:5,8,13	
231:25 232:12,13	80:2,24 81:18 82:4	226:20 227:10	
,	84:8,13 86:16 92:25		