

8. On or about October 7, 2009, The District's solicitor received a letter from Magisterial District Judge Dissinger stating that truancy fines are established by the Magisterial District Judge. (N.T. Reed p. 26 In. 8 – p. 27 In. 14; p. 29 In. 3-16; p. 36-37; Reed Ex. 2)

9. The District's solicitor did not receive any information about any changes to the amount of truancy fines already imposed. (N.T. Reed p. 29 In. 17 – p. 31 In. 5)

10. None of the Plaintiffs ever spoke with the District about the fines imposed, or whether they should be reduced. (N.T. Bowman p. 113 In. 7-12); (N.T. Hummel p. 14 In. 20-24) (N.T. Rodriguez-Fuentes p. 13 In. 16-18)

11. The District was not present when Plaintiffs plead guilty to the truancy citations. (N.T. Rodriguez-Fuentes p. 12 In. 18 – 21) (N.T. Hummel p. 14); (N.T. Echevarria p. 18 In. 23 – p. 19 In. 2)

12. Plaintiffs do not know how the fines are calculated. (N.T. Hummel p. 12)

13. Lenora Hummel received information from the Magisterial District Justice indicating that her unpaid fines were reduced to \$300.00. (N.T. Hummel p. 15 – 17, 20)

14. All of Madeline Echevarria's unpaid truancy fines were reduced to \$300.00 before this lawsuit was filed. (N.T. Echevarria p. 10-14)

15. The judge told Madeline Echevarria that the District had nothing to do with the truancy fines. (N.T. Echevarria pl. 19 In. 13-17)

16. Madeline Echevarria paid more than \$300.00 regarding only one truancy fine and that payment was made prior to July 1, 2008. (N.T. Echevarria p. 24)

17. Plaintiffs contacted the courts, not the District, to determine the payment status of truancy fines. (N.T. Echevarria p. 8); (N.T. Hummel p. 20 In. 13 – p. 21 In. 14)

18. Rosa Rivera received reimbursement checks from the court system but did not receive anything from the District regarding the reduction of any fines. (Rivera Response to Interrogatory 8)

19. Plaintiffs were advised of their right to appeal from the truancy fines imposed. (N.T. Echevarria p. 20 In. 11-13)

20. None of the truancy fines were appealed. (N.T. Echevarria p. 20 In. 8-10)

21. None of the named Plaintiffs are members of the NAACP. (N.T. Hummel p. 25-26); (N.T. Echevarria p. 24 In. 18-23); (N.T. Rodriguez-Fuentes p. 15 In. 8-10)

22. All of the fines complained of were imposed in 2009 or earlier.

23. PA-NAACP has not identified any individual NAACP members who have paid an amount exceeding \$300 plus costs on a single truancy citation. (NAACP Response to Interrogatory 5)

24. No later than August, 2009, the PA-NAACP was actively advising its individual members regarding truancy fines. (NAACP Response to Interrogatory 1)

25. At least three NAACP members filed truancy appeals which remain pending. (NAACP Response to Interrogatories p. 14)

26. Plaintiffs established payments plans with the Court and not the District. (N.T. Rodriguez-Fuentes p. 10); (N.T. Hummel p. 21 In. 22 - p. 22 In. 5).

KING, SPRY, HERMAN, FREUND & FAUL, LLC

/s/ John E. Freund, III
John E. Freund, III, Esquire
ID 25390
Rebecca A. Young, Esq.
I.D.83845
One West Broad Street, Suite 700
Bethlehem, PA 18018
610.332.0390